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Introduction

The British colony of New Zealand developed under the glow of the industrial revolution. The wealth, resources and technology of the British Empire spread a red swath across the globe, initially exporting manufactured product but later the production plant and skills. For example, barbed wire was being made in New Zealand in 1882, albeit from the imported "best Staffordshire hoop iron" while corrugated iron roofing was being made by 1886 from English black iron. It could reasonably be expected that local nail manufacture would have developed to support the extensive use of timber construction. However, although different authors have postulated the existence of an indigenous nail manufacturing industry in the mid- to late-1800s there has been no systematic effort to identify the possible location, size or production of such an industry.

This paper reviews the earliest records of metal nails in New Zealand, and then provides a comprehensive New Zealand review from the 1840s to 1910s of nail imports; published newspapers; trade catalogues; and patents. It concludes that while there may have been a small scale hand-made nail industry, it was not until the late 1880s that the first wire nails were manufactured in New Zealand and not until after 1910 that the industry became established to any extent.

Earliest European Visitors

Prior to the arrival of European explorers, New Zealand's indigenous people, the Maori, did not have metal. Their buildings were made of plants or trees, often in combination with earth. Reed or thatching was woven into place by vines or sewn with fibre from flax or other leaves. One view of early Maori housing was that "one is impressed not by their strength, but by their frailty". Stone was widely used for tools, weapons and ornaments.

When Captain James Cook arrived in New Zealand in 1769, he recorded in his journal that the Maori did not recognise iron nails:

"Wednesday, 15th [November 1769]. ... [Sail from Mercury Bay, Coromandel Peninsula, North Island - 36° 49' S 175° 44'E] ... Neither of the Inhabitants of this Place, nor any other where we have been, know the use of Iron or set the least Value upon it, preferring the most Trifling thing we could give them to a Nail, or any sort of Iron Tools."

Throughout the Pacific, Cook traded iron nails for food and mana (status), while once the value of iron nails had been recognised by the native peoples his men found other opportunities, including payment for prostitution. Yet in on 19 June 1770, when his ship Endeavour needed repair at Endeavour River, Queensland, possibly the first nails manufactured in the Pacific were made as in Roman times - by blacksmith forging each one.

Early European Settlers

New Zealand became a colony of Great Britain with the signing of the "Treaty of Waitangi" in 1840.
Following this, the number of colonists rapidly increased – by 1842 there were 10,932 civil European settlers in the main towns and settlements, with an estimated 100,000 to 150,000 indigenous Maori. Even from the earliest days, there was a strong preference for wooden housing (Table 1). After forty-six years of colonisation, the 1886 Census reported a total of 121,951 houses, of which 106,189 (87%) were made of wood – the remaining 13% were mainly of stone or brick, mud or tar.

### Table 1: Count of Houses by Main Materials by Census Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Census Year</th>
<th>Count by House construction</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>10,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stone or Brick</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other materials</td>
<td>2,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1838</td>
<td></td>
<td>12,812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1861</td>
<td>15,139</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1864</td>
<td>25,463</td>
<td>1,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1867</td>
<td>38,844</td>
<td>1,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1871</td>
<td>45,951</td>
<td>1,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1874</td>
<td>54,523</td>
<td>2,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1878</td>
<td>73,366</td>
<td>3,223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1881</td>
<td>87,664</td>
<td>4,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1886</td>
<td>106,189</td>
<td>5,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1891</td>
<td>116,801</td>
<td>5,697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896</td>
<td>134,092</td>
<td>6,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td>153,945</td>
<td>7,517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1877</td>
<td>178,551</td>
<td>8,359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1911</td>
<td>209,760</td>
<td>9,650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although prior to 1862 no nail import statistics are available, newspaper advertisements and shipping reports from 1840 provide information. For example, the shipping report of the 7 March 1840 lists the arrival at Wellington from London of the New Zealand Company ship Glenbervie, carrying 20 kegs of nails for three different retail and auction businesses (1 keg = 100 lb or 45.4 kg), as well as many ‘packages’ and ‘crates’ which possibly included nails directly ordered from England by settlers.

### Imported Nail Supplies

From the first newspapers in 1840, hardware advertisements were differentiating country, manufacture and type of nails – Table 2 provides some examples, although it is not intended as a comprehensive survey.

The 18 April 1840 issue of the *New Zealand Gazette*, the first locally printed issue, included two advertisements for nails. The business of Hunter and Co advertised ‘iron bar, bolt, nail and sheet’ landed from the ‘Glenbervie’, while the *Britannia Hotel and Stores* advertised ‘Nails direct from Britannia Works’, which most likely refers to the Britannia Nail Works of Birmingham, England.

A common trade name found in the nail advertisements was the British Ewbank Patent Wrought Nail. Ewbank nails were reportedly first made in 1835 at J. J. Corde & Co.’s Dos Works in Monmouthshire and were available in the Australian colonies by 1844 and in New Zealand by at least 1847. Ewbank’s nails were widely available. In Auckland, Connell & Ridings were advertising ‘20 Kegs Ewbank’s Patent Wrought Nails from ½ to 3 inches’ to be sold at auction on 12 July 1848.
Very few nails were imported from these “Other” countries – the highest levels of imports was in 1877 when 90 cwt were imported at a cost of £92 (1 cwt = 1 hundredweight = 112 pounds = 50.8 kg).

Although imports were attributed to the country of shipping origin, this may not have been the country of manufacture. For example, in 1877 when total nail imports totalled 32,634 cwt of value £34,531, only 88 cwt of nails (value £91) were sourced from Fiji. Whether this was an indigenous Fijian industry or redirected exports from another country cannot be determined.

Although some nail imports were later exported, for the purpose of this paper it has been assumed that neither the volumes nor values are significant. For example in 1910 nail exports of 448 cwt of total value £386 had duty repaid (“drawback”), compared to the total nail imports of 120,464 cwt of £70,232 value.

Figure 2 shows a steady growth in nail imports from 1871 (13,793 cwt) to 1910 (120,464 cwt) – an increase of 670% over 41 years, an average compound growth of 5.4% per year. Until 1893 the main source was clearly British – whether from the United Kingdom or its (then) Australian colonies. Starting in 1893 the sources changed away from Britain to firstly Europe, then the United States of America, and then Canada. Nails from Canada were not subject to preferential rates of duty, so it would appear unlikely that American mails were being trans-shipped for export.

Table 3 provides five-yearly data from 1871 to 1910 for the unit cost of nail imports by country of origin (no data could be found for 1870). The average cost was calculated from the total import weight and cost.

Table 3: Cost per unit of nail imports – selected years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>U.K.</th>
<th>‘Australia’</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>USA</th>
<th>Europe</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1871</td>
<td>£1.37</td>
<td>£1.44</td>
<td>£0.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>£1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1875</td>
<td>£1.20</td>
<td>£1.47</td>
<td>£2.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>£1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>£0.98</td>
<td>£1.40</td>
<td>£3.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>£1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1885</td>
<td>£0.68</td>
<td>£0.88</td>
<td>£3.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>£0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890</td>
<td>£0.65</td>
<td>£1.38</td>
<td>£2.80</td>
<td>£0.79</td>
<td>£0.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895</td>
<td>£0.54</td>
<td>£0.70</td>
<td>£2.97</td>
<td>£0.52</td>
<td>£0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>£0.77</td>
<td>£1.59</td>
<td>£0.64</td>
<td>£0.68</td>
<td>£0.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td>£0.72</td>
<td>£1.76</td>
<td>£0.53</td>
<td>£0.54</td>
<td>£0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1910</td>
<td>£0.64</td>
<td>£1.31</td>
<td>£0.50</td>
<td>£0.54</td>
<td>£0.68</td>
<td>£0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows that the cost per unit for imports from the USA plummeted from £2.97 per cwt in 1895 to £0.64 per cwt in 1900. The consequence can be seen in Figure 2, with USA imports increasing from 4% to 52% of the total. The reason for this price drop was probably due to the establishment of the “Wire-nail Association” – a cartel of USA nail manufacturers which commenced on 1 May 1895 and lasted until 1 December 1896. It was designed to increase local prices by restricting supply.34 It is likely that the constrained USA market permitted the nail manufacturers to profitably export their product elsewhere in the world.

Figure 3 shows the nail imports from 1871 to 1910 in terms of weight per head and value per head. The population count is based on the census population (including Maori) assuming a linear increase between the census years. Although there are some large swings in imported weight per head between 1871 and 1885, it then settles to a slow increase. The cost per head also settles after this time, increasing far slower than the weight imported per head, suggesting the cost benefits of the improving international nail manufacturing technology.
In the early days of European settlement, timber was used for all building types—residential, commercial, industrial, and agricultural. The use of nails was limited largely to fixing of boards for claddings and linings, whereas in framing there was more reliance on mechanical connections such as mortise and tenon, often with a tree-nail or wooden peg. As nails became more readily available these construction methods could change, although standard plans to permit a comparison have only been found for housing.

By 1883 settlers desiring a house could be guided by Brett’s “Colonists’ Guide and Cyclopaedia” which provided a series of four cottage designs with from four to eight rooms, requiring on average 209 lbs of nails in various sizes at an average cost of 2.4d per pound.35

For comparison, in 1883 a total of 3,352,160 lb of nails were imported (average cost 2d per pound)36—based on the Brett’s average, this would have been enough for some 16,100 houses. Census data shows that between the 1881 and 1886 Censuses there were an average of 3,774 houses built per year, with 69% having from three to six rooms, and 20% with more than six rooms.37

Figure 3. Nail imports per head -1871 -1910 By Value & Weight

Use of Nails

Inventors & Manufacturers

The creation of new and improved types of nails lead Victorian inventors to bring forth a range of innovative ideas and patents. For the period from 1 January 1883 to 31 December 1909, 41 patent applications relating to nails have been found in the pages of the NZ Government Gazette47—this compared to a total of 26,210 patent applications over this period.48 In the following text, the patent numbers are given in braces ().

The inventor’s occupation was given in 32 of the 41 nail patent applications: 13 were plumbers; seven engineers; and the rest from a variety of trades and professions. Thirty patents were from New Zealand inventors; eight were from Australian based inventors and two from England.

The majority (25) of the applications were for corrugated iron head headed roofing nails. It was not until 1891 that David Estler Blacke, Manager of the Austral Nail Company (Limited) of South Melbourne patented an “improved wire nail making machine” (Patent number 5,201)49—prior to then the patents concerned only the addition of a lead ‘cap’ to the nail.

Lead-head nail manufacture requires skill, whether produced by hand or machine. It was essential to ensure that the lead cap sat properly on the nail, sealed tightly onto the roof and would not be damaged by the hammer blows of roofers. Although many patents were taken out for such nails, this did not stop local plumbers producing their own versions. Even in the twentieth century the plumber would use...
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Pressured by leftover scrap lead (which had already been paid for by a client) and the apprentice’s spare time to make their own lead-headed nails, allowing them to undercut the prices of the “legitimate manufacturer.”

---

Table 5: Early New Zealand nail manufacturers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Business</th>
<th>Patent</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Nail Type or Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1883</td>
<td>Samuel Parker</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>Auckland</td>
<td>Galvanized lead-headed roofing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1883</td>
<td>Joseph Venables</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>Christchurch</td>
<td>Tie wire, solid lead-headed roofing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1884</td>
<td>Alfred Robb &amp; William Stokes</td>
<td>1,152</td>
<td>Christchurch</td>
<td>Lead-head roofing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1884</td>
<td>John Sinclair</td>
<td>1,165</td>
<td>Christchurch</td>
<td>‘Acme’ &amp; ‘Mushroom Head’ Nail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1885</td>
<td>Henry Davenport</td>
<td>1,643</td>
<td>Wellington</td>
<td>Perfect fitting solid-headed arch-shaped roofing nail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890</td>
<td>Ballinger Brothers</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Wellington</td>
<td>Roofing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890</td>
<td>George McCaul</td>
<td>3,489</td>
<td>Auckland</td>
<td>Composition-metal lead roofing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1894</td>
<td>John Alexander</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Wellington</td>
<td>Lead-head nails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1897</td>
<td>Horace Thompson</td>
<td>9,436</td>
<td>Christchurch</td>
<td>Split nail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1897</td>
<td>D. Nicolson &amp; Co</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Dunedin</td>
<td>‘Varnish and wire nail factory’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1906</td>
<td>Crown Nail Company</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Dunedin</td>
<td>Lead-head roofing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Auto Machine Manufacturing Co. Ltd</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Auckland</td>
<td>Unspecified – wire nail</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Southern Cross Iron Works:

Samuel Parker, an English plumber, set up his galvanising and corrugated iron rolling plant in Auckland in 1866. Three years earlier, on 30 July 1883, he had applied for a patent (877) for his ‘Galvanized lead-headed nail’. Parker’s invention was to make use of a galvanised, rather than a non-galvanised, nail. He claimed the advantage “that it makes a more durable roof as the present lead-headed nails not being galvanized rust and corrode away, thereby causing the iron to get loose and so cause the roof to leak.” The patent drawing shows a wire nail with a hemispherical lead cap (see Fig. 4).

In 1884 John Sinclair, engineer, patented (1,165) his “Improvement in nails for roofing purposes by the combination of the nail and washer in one piece, so prepared as to be incorrodible (sic), and called the ‘Acme’ and the ‘Mushroom Head’ Nail respectively” (see Fig. 5). The ‘Acme’ was wire nail fitted with a washer that stuck to the nail head as it was galvanised, acting as a rain hat to the hole the nail made in the roof. The ‘Mushroom Head’ was a lead-head on to it, making it solid and not liable to separate from the nail when being driven. It was claimed that the “wire nail makes a smaller hole in the iron than the ordinary galvanised nail. The solid head prevents leakage. The combination saves time in dispensing the necessity of threading washers required in the use of the ordinary galvanised nail and can be supplied at less cost” (see Fig. 4). In 1899 Joseph Venables again applied for a patent for “An improvement in the manufacture of lead-headed nails, and apparatus for employment in connection therewith” (11,384) (see Fig. 4). In 1900 Joseph Venables again applied for a patent for “An improvement in the manufacture of lead-headed nails, and apparatus for employment in connection therewith” (11,384) (see Fig. 4).

Joseph and Philip Venables:

Joseph Venables, a plumber, was first listed in the Wises New Zealand Post Office Directory as a ‘nail maker’ in 1900 at ‘240 South Belt, Christchurch’. The Venables family first appeared in Wises directory in 1896 as living in “South Belt, Christchurch”, where Joseph was a plumber and his son Philip Henry was listed without any trade. In 1893 the Christchurch electorate, both Joseph and Philip Venables were both enrolled as plumbers. From 1900 to 1908 (at 240 Moorhouse Ave, Christchurch) Joseph Venables was the only New Zealand nail manufacturers listed in Wises. Joseph Venables died on 5 Jan 1914 and the business was promptly taken over by his son Philip, suggesting he had already been involved for some time. The business ultimately became P.H. Venables Ltd.

Joseph Venables applied for a patent on 13 September 1883 (915) for “Tin Wired Nails with solid lead-heads and washers”. His invention first tinned the nail and then cast the lead-head on to it, making it solid and not liable to separate from the nail when being driven. It was claimed that the “wire nail makes a smaller hole in the iron than the ordinary galvanised nail. The solid head prevents leakage. The combination saves time in dispensing the necessity of threading washers required in the use of the ordinary galvanised nail and can be supplied at less cost” (see Fig. 4). In 1899 Joseph Venables again applied for a patent for “An improvement in the manufacture of lead-headed nails, and apparatus for employment in connection therewith” (11,384) (see Fig. 4).
Henry Davenport:

A British trained plumber, Henry Davenport started business in Wellington in about 1874, not in 1864 as suggested by Thomson. In 1885 he patented (1,643) a "Perfect fitting solid-headed arch-shaped roofing nail" (see Fig. 6). The patent lapsed in 1891 through non-payment of the renewal fee.

In 1890 Davenport was advertising his own lead-headed nails:

"H. Davenport & Son, Manufacturers of Patent and Other Lead-Headed Roofing Nails, Will Indemnify all buyers of their Cup-headed Self-adjusting Nails in any proceedings Mr. Stokes may take against buyers."72

William Stokes, who had patented his design in 1887 (see below) actively defended his nail patent—his advertisement appearing in the same column on the same dates:

"Anyone purchasing or selling self-adjusting lead-headed roofing nails without "Stoke's (sic) Patent" stamped on each head will be prosecuted."72

Court action followed in June 1892, but the High Court decided the patent had not been infringed. Stokes then went to the Court of Appeal which reversed the lower court decision and decided that the patent had been infringed, awarded costs, any profits and a "perpetual injunction."73

Even after the court case, H. Davenport and Son continued to actively promote their lead headed nails. In February 1894 they claimed "superior Leadheaded (sic) Nails, with firm stamped heads" which "took second prize at the Dunedin Exhibition"74, while in September 1894 they claimed an output of "50 tons per year"75 (1 ton = 20 cwt = 1,016 kg) and in February 1896 that they "have the only machines in the colony that Mould and Stamp Lead-headed Nails, which makes heads solid and firm thus making no loose heads, which are the cause of so much trouble and expense."76

Davenport's business continued to at least 1911, when it was advertising for "Boys, 14 to 16, for nail factory; good wages paid. Apply 216 Tinakori-road."77

Alfred Robb and William Stokes:

It is likely Robb & Stokes of Christchurch, were the earliest New Zealand manufacturers of wire nails from about 1887.

Alfred Robb and Williams Stokes, carpenters of Sydenham, Christchurch, were awarded a patent on 3 July 1884 and amended on 28 August 1890 (1,152) for a "Cup-headed, self-adjusting tinned-wire roofing-nail requiring no washer."88 This nail differed from other lead-head nails in the "cupping of the head with a cup shaped flange which being of soft metal such as lead causes the self adjustment of the same to the corrugated iron when driven down thereby making a perfect fit which renders the same perfectly water tight". Figure 4, taken from the patent documentation, compares their nail to other patented nails including the Venable nail "which requires dressing down" (i.e., the head being hammered down onto the iron to form a seal) and the Parker nail "which by reason of the solidity of the head does not adjust itself".87

Robb & Stokes' nail was also patented in pre-Federation Victoria (Patent 3862) and registered in New South Wales (Patent 841/1864). On 7 January 1886 Robb mortgaged his ownership in the patent to Stokes for £494 7s 6d, to be paid in six unequal instalments from 1 July 1887 to 4 January 1889. On 14 June 1887 Stokes then sold the mortgage to Edward Ashby, a Christchurch accountant.80

On 8 October 1886, Robb wrote to the Customs Department in Christchurch inquiring if there was a tariff on the machinery to make wire nails.81 This letter was copied to Wellington where it was received on 11 October and on 19 October the reply letter stated that there was no tariff.82 The publication on 17 December 1886 of a summary list of tariff decisions stated that nail making machinery was to be admitted free of duty.83 If a previous nail making machine had been imported, then a new decision would not have been required, suggesting that this decision was for the first wire nail machine to be imported into New Zealand.

This is supported by Stokes appearance as a 'nail manufacturer' before the 1895 Tariff Commissioners, where he stated he had been 'ten years in business in Christchurch', suggesting the business had been profitably making lead headed nails for some years before importing wire nail making machinery.84 At his appearance he also claimed to employ six boys and requested "the duty on wire nails to be increased from 2s to 7s per cwt"—which the newspapers noted would "add 1d per lb to an article of everyday use."85 Stokes reported his production was £100 per month and he argued that the cost of imported was so low it was not worth his while to continue make wire nails86 - £1,200 per year was about 5% of the £25,965 value of nail imports in 1895.

"Stokes, William Christchurch" also had the first listing for a "nail maker" in the Wises New Zealand Post Office Directory from the 1887 edition. This listing continued for five issues until 1897 - no further advertisements have been found.

Stokes and Robb (and later Ashby) defended their patent on "10 or 12 different occasions" against the Patent Office issuing other similar patents.87 They also made use of the courts to protect their patent, as already noted, but more interestingly in 1890 against George McCaul (see below). In this case the jury found against them, on the grounds that Parker's cup-shaped lead-headed nail, had been manufactured in Auckland before their nail was patented. The judge, Mr Justice Connolly, was of the opinion that the specifications were good, notwithstanding this circumstance.88

Ballinger Brothers and George McCaul:

Stokes was joined in Wises Directory listing of nail makers in 1890-1 by 'Ballinger Brothers' of Wellington and Petone, and 'George McCaul' of Auckland, who were both listed as manufacturers of corrugated iron and spouting, and also roofing nails — although they both only appeared in that year and in no following issues.89 'Ballinger J.' had first appeared in 1883-4 Wises Directory listed as a plumber in Wellington.90 In 1894 Thomas Ballinger was advertising his "Lead-head Nail Manufacturer."91

George McCaul patented "McCaul's Composition-metal headed nail" (3,489) in 1889 (see Fig. 7). In the 1890 Wises Directory McCaul's works claimed their nail as being "acknowledged by architects and builders to be the best roofing nail ever manufactured in the southern hemisphere."92
John Alexander advertised in the Wellington Evening Post of 25 June 1894 that he was a "Plumber, Gasfitter, Bell-hanger, Sanitary and Hot Water Engineer, Lead-headed Nail Manufacturer". His advertisement appeared in the classified advertisements section daily for the next two months, until 25 August 1894 when they stopped. No later advertisements have been found.

Horace Thompson:  

Horace Thompson, a pianoforte tuner of Christchurch, patented his 'split nail' (9,436) in 1897.6 The invention was a "nail having a division extending lengthwise from the outer extremity or point to within a short distance of the top or head, so that as the nail is driven into the wood it will divide into two parts, each spreading in an opposite direction, thus obtaining a better fit and firmer hold than with an ordinary nail"97 (see Fig. 8).

Thompson attempted to commercialise his invention, but perhaps unsurprisingly given the inherent impracticality of the invention, five months later the "Split Nail Company (Limited)" was voluntarily wound up98 with the patent lapsing the following year."99

David Nicolson:  

This short lived 1897 business appears to have been the first New Zealand wire nail manufacturer not based around the production of lead head nails.

In 1894 David Nicolson, "wire-drawer and wire mattress maker" of Dunedin, was awarded two patents - one for the weaving of a three and five-ply wire mattress (6,695); and one for "Nicolson’s spiral wire- woven floor cloths for kilns and drying rooms" (6,705).100 The patent application for the wire mattress lapsed the following year.101

David Nicolson had started in business as a manufacturer of wire mattresses in May 1894, presumably based on his patent. In July 1896 he sold his business (D. Nicolson & Co.), the factory, and the leasehold on the land to William Parker and Co. for £860, £350 in cash and the rest as promissory bills. On 18 August 1896, Nicolson’s patent number 6,705 for the wire floor cloth was licensed for four years to "William Parker of Dunedin, Accountant".102 Mr Parker was a long term resident of Dunedin, and been employed "in a position of trust by Kempthorne Prosser and Co. for 20 years".103

Nicolson was first listed in the 1896 Stones directory as a 'wireworker'104 but the following year D. Nicolson & Co is listed as operating a ‘varnish and wire nail factory’ in Willis St, Dunedin.105 During 1896 the Dunedin firm of Paterson & Barr, ironmongers, agreed to import 20 tons of various sizes of nail making wire to be turned into nails for them to sell, while Nicolson "commenced to get his machinery in order". The wire arrived in January 1897 but Nicolson only delivered about 24 cwt of finished nails.106 Although the reasons for this failure to deliver have not been found, it appears the nail making machinery was working, as 'W.H. Scott, Mechanical Engineer' undertook "Repairs to Cams of Nail machine £012, 6d" on 5 March 1897.107

David Nicolson was adjudged bankrupt on 24 April 1897.108 Unusually the bankruptcy took considerable time to be processed, with nine separate news reports during May 1897.109 It appears to have been most unhappy, with blame being placed on Parker who had not honoured the promissory notes with which he purchased the business. The highest tender received for the leasehold, plant and stock was £339. This was not accepted, and after auction on Saturday, 22 May 1897, it was sold to Mr C.W. Harvey for £420.110 No evidence has been found of the business continuing to manufacture nails.

The bankruptcy valuation list for David Nicolson includes:  

"1 large nail machine £40" and  

"4 small nail machines £120"

Thus £160 out of a total plant valuation of £660 6s 7d was in machinery to produce nails. The factory stock was valued at £247 Is 8d, but included no wire or unfinished nails, although it includes a range of raw materials for the manufacture of varnish.111

David Nicolson is again listed (but no company) in the Stones local business directory in 1898 as a wireworker112. He was released from bankruptcy on 23 August 1898.113 No further evidence has been found of him in Dunedin either in business directories114 or local electoral rolls.115

Proceedings to put W. Parker & Co. into receivership were commenced on 12 October 1897116 and William Parker was made bankrupt on 4th December 1897, paying on 5 shillings in the pound (25%).117

Crown Nail Company:  

The ‘Crown Nail Co. Ltd, Fredk Beadle, mgr’ was first listed in Stones Otago & Southland Directory in 1906, located at 10a Great King Street.118 The Crown Nail Co. Ltd. continued to be listed in the local Stones directory to 1910119 but only appears in the Wises Directory for the 1908 year – the only other
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The Auto Machine Manufacturing Company:

The Auto Machine Manufacturing Company Limited started life in Auckland on 10 May 1909 – formed by the family of Mr William Squire Fowler for the purpose of “putting on the market in Auckland or elsewhere a large machine capable of making one length of drawn pipe at one operation”. Although the machine was not ultimately successful, even during its first year the company was interested in a wider market. The company’s name was an abbreviation of the word ‘automatic’ – that being the type of equipment the company was to deal with.

The company archives hold a letter dated 9 October 1909 from The National Machinery Co. of Tiffin, Ohio U.S.A. responding to a request for information on nail making machinery and welcoming a future visit from the company. However, the company records suggest it was not until 1913 that the recommendation was made to the Board of Directors for the company to purchase a nail making machine. The company merged with P.H. Venables in 1941.

Conclusion

“A typical small cottage had two small rooms under a gable roof, with a frame of studs and plates – the studs mortised into the plates to reduce the need for nails which were still an expensive imported item. In the 1840s and 1850s many nails were still hand-made, although mass production had been possible since patents in 1810 in America and 1827 in England. In the past other fixings such as wooden pegs or even sheep’s bones had been used, but the timber-framed and weatherboarded house of the 1840s onwards depended on iron nails for its strength and ease of construction.”

The earliest nails in New Zealand were brought from Europe by explorers such as Captain James Cook. Once European migration commenced, in order to create European style wooden housing it was necessary to import European style nails. In the early years these were hand-made, shifting to cut nails and then towards the end of this period to wire nails.

Although it is not possible to state with any certainty whether hand-made wrought iron nails were made in the early years of European settlement, it can be expected that these were within the skills of many, if not all, blacksmiths. Forged horseshoe nails were being made in Christchurch in 1880.

New Zealand in the 1800s and early 1900s was an innovative society, shown not only by the number and range of patents or different types of nails, but also in the way the industry developed. The earliest New Zealand patent for a lead-headed roofing nail dates from 1883, although the first commercial directory listing for a ‘nail manufacturer’ or ‘nail maker’ was not until 1887.

Analysis of the volume of imported nails compared to the construction of new houses suggests it that although lead-head and other roofing nails were manufactured in New Zealand from the 1880s to the end of the period covered by this paper, evidence has been found linking only one of these manufacturers to the production of wire nails. Alfred Robb and Williams Stokes, of Christchurch, imported wire nail making machinery and then manufactured wire nails by about 1887, although advertising for the business only continues until 1896.

The first non-lead head nail manufacturer of wire nails was in Dunedin in 1897, by David Nicolson and his business D. Nicolson & Co. (later William Parker and Co.). This business was short lived – not apparently due to the product, but rather to the financial failure of the major investor. No evidence has been found to suggest the plant was re-established following the second receivership in 1897. It would appear that the next business to manufacture wire nails started investigation into the import of an American wire nail making machine in 1909 was the Auckland based Auto Machine Manufacturing Company Limited. This came to fruition in 1913.

Although only a minor part by cost of a timber building, iron and latter steel nails have played a critical role in the development of timber buildings in modern New Zealand. British nails may have been supplanted by American sourced nails in the 1890s, but the global red swath of the British Empire has remained, coloured by the rust of iron and steel nails. The ready availability of imported low cost iron nails supported the rapid expansion of European settlements into the New Zealand countryside, and ultimately led to today’s landscapes of timber buildings.

Correspondence: Nigel Isaacs, School of Architecture, Victoria University of Wellington, PO Box 600, Wellington, New Zealand 6140. nigel.isaacs@vuw.ac.nz
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