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Abstract

The multi-ethnic tension among the three main ethnics in Malaysia has a great impact on tolerance and political unity. The Bumiputera Policy gives privileges to the Malay ethnicity, which further strains this issue, dividing the nation. Recognising this, the government introduced the 1Malaysia Policy as a possible solution to mitigate this issue to promote equality and attaining unity in diversity. This ultimate purpose is to integrate Malaysians to cooperate in a mutually respectful manner, towards the development of Malaysia.

The inevitable consequences of short sighted government policy, development and westernisation in Malaysia have negatively affected the cultural uniqueness of the three main ethnic communities. In domestic architecture, terraced houses have been a direct translation of western terraced housing. The influences from the West have diverted Malaysia’s sense of Asian culture. The objective of this study is to support the aims of this current 1Malaysia Policy, by finding a solution to terraced housing, through the design of the 1Malaysia Home.

This thesis looks at an adaptable home for multi-cultural families to live and practise their unique cultures in a multi-ethnic community. The implementation of this design, is through research on case studies of the respective traditional houses of Malaysia’s three main ethnic groups. The main design consideration of this flexible home is through a negotiated spatial organisation, in regards to the aims of the theories that informed the traditional houses.

This study further explores the cluster design of terraced housing in a multi-ethnic neighbourhood community. The traditional programmes of shop house and other multi-cultural activities in Malaysia are reinstated for a common sense of belonging in the neighbourhood, which ultimately preserves Malaysia’s unique Asian culture and supports its international branding as ‘Malaysia Truly Asia’.
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Chapter 1: Introduction
The 1Malaysia Policy is an on-going programme implemented by the Prime Minister of Malaysia, Dato’ Seri Najib Tun Razak since 16 September 2010, emphasising on ethnic harmony, tolerance and national unity. Malaysia is a multi-ethnic nation, which values and respects the individual ethnic identities of each community in Malaysia. The 1Malaysia Policy (Figure 3) is an outcome of the previous Bumiputra Policy, which favours the Malays and indigenous people of East Malaysia.

Malaysia’s Prime Minister has implemented several housing programmes to promote a multi-ethnic community. One of these programmes is the Perumahan Rakyat 1Malaysia (PR1MA) Corporation or the 1Malaysia People’s Housing Programme (top of figure 3) initiated by the Malaysian government to develop affordable housing for the middle-income households. This was set up in 2011 to plan, coordinate projects and distribute housing to eligible recipients throughout the nation. Although this programme is implemented under the 1Malaysia Policy, little emphasis is given to the cultural relevance of housing to Malaysian communities. The design is driven from the influences of western terraced housing models rather than the local Malaysian culture. Furthermore, the homes constructed under this programme are designed for families to adapt to the house, but does not allow the house to adapt to the cultural practices of the diverse ethnic communities of Malaysia. Thus, the loss of cultural values among Malaysian families today.

A key concept of the 1Malaysia programme is ‘People First, Performance Now’ (PR1MA 2011). The main objective of all these policy and programmes is to promote equality and attain unity among the Malaysian citizens. The ultimate goal is to achieve development in Malaysia by integrating Malaysians to cooperate in a mutually respectful manner, through the aims of this national policy.
Figure 3 Welfare services offered through the 1Malaysia Policy
1.1 Background Problems
1.1.1 Racial Discrimination

Figure 4 Racial violence amongst Malaysian ethnic communities: Burning of shop houses on the May 13, 1969 Riot

1.1.2 Cultural Significance of Terraced Housing

Figure 5 Loss of cultural significance in contemporary terraced houses in Malaysia
1.1.1 Racial Discrimination

“It shall be the responsibility of the Yang di-Pertuan Agong to safeguard the special position of the Malays and natives of any of the States of Sabah and Sarawak and the legitimate interests of other communities in accordance with the provisions of this Article.”

(Article 153, Constitution of Malaysia 1957)

Malaysia is a multi-ethnic country which comprises of three main groups—Malay, Chinese and Indian. Each group has its individual traditions and cultural significance. Differences between the ethnic groups have segregated Malaysians. The privileges the Malay ethnic group possesses under the Bumiputera Policy further divides them from other groups causing disputes and ultimately leading to racial discrimination.

Before Malaysia gained its independence on the 31st of August 1957, the Constitution of Malaysia as the supreme law of Malaysia came into force, comprising of 181 articles. The numerous interpretations of this clause by critics and Malaysians have created unnecessary conflicts and racial distinction among the different ethnicities.

Figure 6 Communist Party of Malaya (CPM)’s Interpretation of May 13, 1969 Riot

Figure 7 200 dead people after the May 13 Riot
The Malays that make up the majority of the population benefit through the implementation of affirmative action policies and discriminates the minority ethnicities (Brant 2009). As a result, the community backlashes leading to race riots such as the ‘May 13 1969 Incident’ (Kua 2007) (Figure 4, 6, 7, 8 and 9).

The government has attempted to address this issue in order to unite and restore harmony among Malaysians. Over the years, various programmes have been implemented by the government to overcome this problem by being fair and equal to all three races.

One of the recent and effective policies implemented by the government is the 1Malaysia Policy (Figure 3). However, the effective application of this policy is not fully explored in the architectural sector, which can provide great potential to resolve the issue of ethnic differences and celebrate Malaysia’s unique multi-cultural identity.

**Problem Question:** How can architecture act as a facilitator of the 1Malaysia Policy in its aims to unite different Malaysians in a multi-ethnic community, but at the same time being fair and equal to all three races?

*Figure 8* ‘Huge pillars of smoke rose skyward as houses, shops and autos burned’ - Time 2009

*Figure 9* Kua’s photo book cover describing the May 13 1969 Incident
1.1.2 Cultural Significance of Terraced Housing

Most contemporary housing projects today are designed without consideration of relevance to Malaysian cultures.

The influences of western education and culture has brought about an architecture that is distinctively different to Malaysian traditions of living. Architectural graduates who returned from England and Australia since the end of the 1950s’ have been keen to establish ‘their new skills and capabilities’ (Ismail 48). Consequently, the result has been a loss of Malaysian identity in mass housing developments.

The present terraced housing has minimum reference to the traditional and historic terraced housing and Malay architecture of the country and also the rest of Asia, unlike the widely seen tradition of shop house living. The Western influence of the Victorian Terraced Housing style (Figure 10) is clearly reflected on the design of the local terraced housing of Malaysia today (Figure 5 and 11).

The design of a local domestic architecture should have been the core influence on the design of the terraced housing developments. The environment friendly shop house is a multifunctional type of row house present in Malaysia since the 19th century (Ho, Hassan and Noordin) for families to live and work together in a shared domestic space in a community environment.
The western terraced housing came about to satisfy the need for housing for industrial workers. The minimalist designs of these houses (Figure 12) differ from the simple yet ornate traditions of the Malaysian and Asian shophouses. In addition, the Asian shop houses tend to be arranged in community clusters although isolated by ethnicity.

As a result, terraced houses today (Figure 11, 13 and 14) depict more of the western style of living rather than the original cultural way of living, which shop houses once portrayed.

From a larger perspective, while contemporary terraced housing has contributed to the loss of a sense of togetherness and restricted cultural practices, forcing Malaysians to adapt to this housing. Other issues related to today’s terraced housing are illustrated in the following pages.

Problem Question: How to design a home that adapts to the needs of its occupants?
Lack of indoor and outdoor social spaces.

Congestion from the close proximity of terraced houses.

Fixed indoor spaces restrict families to hold family functions with ease.
Restricted spaces for private home activities and businesses.

Absence of private, open spaces to occupy crowds for larger occasions due to limited spaces.

Inadequate designated community spaces.
1.2 Research Question and Objectives
Research Question

How can architecture be utilised to support the aims of a national policy?

Working Question

How can culturally specific domestic architectural traditions be amalgamated in the design of a model for public terraced housing for the 1Malaysia People’s Housing Program (PR1MA)?

The aim of this research is to investigate whether a domestic architecture adaptable to the traditions of diverse cultures is able to support the aims of the 1Malaysia Policy. The research explores adaptability in terraced housing, to preserve the local cultures through a ‘flexible’ home design.
1.3 Structure of Thesis

Assessment of current problems

- Racial Discrimination
- Loss of Cultural Significance in current Terraced Housing

Solution - 1Malaysia Policy

Domestic Architecture

Indian
- Literature Review + Case Studies

Malay
- Traditional Malay House

Chinese

Site Considerations

Product

The Response of Families
- IMalaysia Home
- IMalaysia Terraced Housing

Modular Design
- Application
  - Circulation
  - Privacy

Healthy Living Environment
- Air Quality
- Flooding
- Congestion

Cultural Considerations
- Adaptable Family Design
  - Malay
  - Chinese
  - Indian

The Response of Community
- Funeral
- Sports Activity
- Family Outdoor Activity
- Night Market
- Cultural Carnival

Final Outcome
1.4 Limitations

The cultural practices among the three major ethnic groups are vast. This research concentrates on day to day cultural practices – activities or norms within the family and large scale family functions such as weddings, funerals and cultural celebrations traditionally held within the home.

There are diverse types of domestic architecture by the Chinese and Indian ethnic groups. These have been adapted into the context of Malaysia over a long period of time. For the purpose of this research, only the main types of domestic architecture from each group is focused, particularly the shop house and row house traditions. Due to the lack of academic study on domestic Indian houses in Malaysia, similar house types from India are selected to aid this study.
Chapter 2: Literature Review + Case Studies
Benedict Anderson claims that nations are ‘imagined communities’ and when present in a single polity, we have a multi-cultural society (160). Ethnic is used to classify Malaysian citizens, shaping the nation’s ‘imagined community’ since colonial times. Back in the 19th century, the three main ethnics were divided under the rule of the British to prevent them from social integration.

The Indian labourers migrated through the Kangani system, working in rubber plantation estates (Bhattacharya, Kripalani 15) while the Chinese labourers migrated through the Kangchu system, working in tin mining (Trocki 710). Their individual employed systems eventually informed their settlements, affecting their social mobility preventing them from engaging with other ethnics, isolating the three main ethnic communities from one another.
Consequently, the migration of the Chinese and Indian labourers brought about their unique cultural significances influencing the architectural development of Malaysia. Rapid economic growth during the British Colonial era, forced these migrants to move into the commercial sector, starting trade businesses. Hence, the arrival of traditional, multifunctional type of row houses (commercial and residential) within individual ethnic community (Figure 27 and 28).

Besides their determination in seeking for political status and economic roles, their culture and customs had given an impact to the local architecture (Hasan, Ho and Noordin). These preserved and restored heritage shop houses have left significant influences on Malaysia’s cultural development from the many foreign cultural heritages and legacies that existed in the past.
2.2 Facilitating Malaysians through Architecture - Merdeka Square

Merdeka Square is an architectural strategy to facilitate the ‘convergence’ of multiracial communities and celebrate the new national identity (Figure 29). This reflects Kusno’s theory, stating urban design as a ‘technique for turning cities into fields of social, cultural and national identity production’ (97). This public space not only marks Malaysia’s Independence from the British ruling on 31st of August 1957, but symbolises the acceptance of the Chinese and Indian races as Malaysian citizens. Thus, this civic space acts as a medium to converge the unity of three individual ethnic communities as one, advocating ‘a sense of belonging’ amongst all Malaysian citizens. Hence, reflecting the well-known national identity of the Malaysian society today as a ‘plural society’ or a ‘society with plural features’ (Nah 511) through architecture (Figure 26).
This communal space has successfully bridged Malaysians all over the country. Nonetheless, domestic architecture has not been fully explored and tested to act as a mediator to enable this. Unlike the Merdeka Square designed at an urban scale, this proposal functions from a smaller scale, to create a new sense of multi-cultural neighbourhood community.

Therefore, this research focuses on studying individual settlement patterns and domestic architecture of each ethnic community in regards to their cultural significances to inform the new domestic discovery that will facilitate the union of this three ethnics.
2.3 Malay
2.3.1 Malay Village

The traditional Malay settlements or *kampung* originated from the migration of an individual Malay family or in a group from the same district in Malay Archipelago (Sahabuddin 2012). Tjahjono states that ‘rural settlements have grown in geographically distinctive locations that vary from hilltops, valleys, riverbanks, lowlands, estuaries and coastal areas’ with individual areas evolving in a variety of aspects, such as ‘economy, social organisation and built environment’ (2003) (Figure 31). Most Malay villages were formed around natural resources to cater socio-economic activities. Agriculture and fishing are the main works during the early times of the Malay settlements (Hanafi 1994).

*Figure 31 Typical Malay village*
The settlement of a Malay village developed from the significance of the communal, religious centre. The random arrangement did not develop according to a proper planning or guideline. Instead, the settlement grew surrounding the mosques, within the audibility of the *azan* (Figure 32). Due to this random arrangement, privacy was minimal as trees and large spaces filled up spaces from one *rumah* or house to the other.

The surrounding villagers practised an open concept with one another and often encountered each other at the centre of the village for religious prayers at the mosque. As a result, no physical boundaries to demarcate the house compounds were necessary as villagers had a mutual respect and understanding with one another.

*Figure 32 Centric diagrammatic flow of a Malay ‘Kampung’ environment*
2.3.2 Arrangements of Malay Houses

Figure 33 Colourful ‘Kampung’ Life
Random Pattern

The layout of the traditional houses are arranged randomly. This ensures that ‘wind velocity in the houses in the latter path of the wind will not be substantially reduced’ (Yuan 1987). The detached houses create ample of external spaces between them to allow circulation of fresh air (Hanafi 1994) and neighbours to socialise (Figure 33 and 34).

As a result, circulation of air is retained as wind is evenly dispersed in a village (Figure 35). Additionally, this arrangement orientates the houses to face Mecca (Figure 36) for religious devotions which minimises areas exposed to solar radiation (Yuan 1987), preventing the house from overheating. This arrangement informs the next pattern.

Figure 34 Detached arrangement of traditional Malay houses in the city

Figure 35 Flow of wind in a random arrangement of a Malay village

Figure 36 East-west direction
Concentric Pattern

This pattern functions to position each house in a circle to allow a shared and often a larger compound among a few other houses around. The serambi or verandah faces the public space (Sahabuddin 2012) to accommodate a communal space for the extended families living around in a cluster (Figure 37).

However, this pattern might not be ideal for inhabitants that are not related or prefer to extend their level of privacy than to associate in a shared compound. Consequently, the main planning design consideration today is privacy. Thus, the common and recommended solution, is the linear pattern which is mostly observed in the urban areas (Figure 38).

Figure 37 Concentric patterns illustrating Malay houses facing public spaces

Figure 38 Aligned arrangement of Malay houses in a Malay rural setting
Linear Pattern

This systematic pattern functions to arrange the houses in an aligned approach, facing economic resources and transportation links (Sahabuddin 2012) (Figure 39). Housing estate houses today implements this pattern that often ‘disregards orientation for minimising solar radiation’ and is a ‘jigsaw puzzle of fitting the most units into the site within permissible densities’ for profit intentions (Yuan 1987).

This ‘rigid pattern create barriers that lock the passage of wind to the houses in the latter path’ (Yuan 1987) (Figure 40), which stresses on privacy for each family. The setback of this pattern creates less open and safe outdoor spaces, which diminishes their social interactions with one another, dividing the communities today (Figure 41).
2.3.3 Basic Malay House

Figure 42 Basic Malay house illustrating the 5 main areas

1. Anjung (covered porch)
2. Serambi (veranda)
3. Rumah ibu (main house)
4. Selang (passageway)
5. Rumah dapur (kitchen)
A Malay house has different elements and spaces that are not rigidly fixed in their functions and can be used for any purpose, within certain limitations imposed by the culture (Abidin 29).

There are five basic spatial elements (Figure 42); the first is the *anjung* as an important focal point for the entrance (Lim 76). This sheltered porch is an extension placed perpendicularly to the main axis of the house (Abidin 30). It is the first, sheltered part of the house in the front where visitors are greeted and invited by the owners. This space acts as an interface before entering into the main house.

The second component is the *serambi* or verandah is defined as ‘a vestibule just within the front door’ (Hilton 143). The *anjung* stated previously, could be classified as a subset of the *serambi*. These two elements are often integrated to make up the front part of the house (Figure 43 and 51).

*Figure 43 Basic interior spaces of the five main areas*
Figure 44 The external environment of a Malay house

**Figure 44 The external environment of a Malay house**

- **Coconut Tree**
  - For shading
  - Food, making implements
  - Construction
  - As a fuel

- **Attap Roof**
  - Made from natural materials
  - Waterproof
  - Made by villagers themselves
  - Cooling and does not absorb heat

- **Jitra Toilet**
  - Pour-flush toilet
  - Easy to build and maintain
  - Cheap and hygienic

- **Well**
  - For washing clothes, bathing and sometimes drinking
  - Coconut leaves form privacy barrier
  - Concrete slabs as pavement

- **Storage Space**
  - For fuel (firewood, coconut leaves, etc.)
  - Padi and padi-planting implements
  - Bicycle

- **Raised House Level**
  - Prevents floods and animals
  - Provides privacy
  - Catches winds of higher velocity

- **House Compound**
  - For drying materials
  - As space for social interaction and work
  - As children's playground

- **Drainage**
  - Waste water from kitchen drained and soaked into sandy ground
The main centred spatial component is the *rumah ibu* or mother of the house (the core house) (Yuan 1987). This space consists of the private generic space for the family where the *bilik* or rooms are placed (Figure 43).

The *pelantar, jemuran*, or deck is an outdoor platform with a roof to shelter women entering the house and for drying objects in the sun (Abidin 31). The *selang* or passageway is associated with this deck as the women’s entrance (Figure 43).

*Dapur* or kitchen is the second largest space (Sahabuddin 11) at the back of the house on the lowest floor level and separated from the other living spaces (Lim 77) (Figure 43).

There are two other spatial elements (Figure 44) detached from the Malay house. The *jamban* or toilet and shower spaces are external due to the need to be in close proximity to the pond or well for water supply (Abidin 31). The *bangsal* or shed is an unconventional sheltered, outdoor space for the inhabitants to carry out casual activities such as cleaning and resting (Abidin 31).
2.3.4 Rumah Selangor

Figure 45 Spatial analysis of the 'Rumah Selangor'
There are three basic entrances. The rear entrance or women’s entrance is common among the Muslims, separating male and female members of the family and visitors, which is very pronounced and significantly affects the activities in the house (Abidin 31) (Figures 45, 46, 47, 48).

The front entrance is commonly utilised by the men in the past. However, both these entrances are not stereotyped according to gender today. Therefore, both men and women are entitled to use both entrances including the back.
There is a significant rise of levels to the centre of the house (Figure 49 and 50). The *rumah ibu* or the core of the house is of the highest level, symbolising a greater significance to the occupants in the spatial hierarchy of the *Rumah Selangor*. The private bedrooms are located here (Figure 45 and 52). Therefore, this region of the highest level represents a high level of privacy for the occupants.

*Figure 49 Change in levels at different spatial spaces*
Figure 50 Back elevation of the ‘Rumah Selangor’

Figure 51 Front elevation illustrating the ‘anjung’ and ‘serambi’

Figure 52 Increasing levels (yellow) from the back to the core of the house, ‘rumah ibu’
Response of Malays families to the spatial functions
Response of the house to climate

(Adapted from Lim 1987)
Surrounding trees provide good shade and does not hinder winds at the house levels.

Roof spaces are properly ventilated by the provision of ventilation joints and panels in the roof construction.

Large roof overhangs and low windows control glare.

Good protection against rain, good shading, open windows for ventilation.

Minimal interior partitions in the elongated open plans which allows easy passage of air and good cross ventilation.

Lightweight construction of wood has low thermal capacity, holding less heat and cools well at night.

Figures 54 Analytical diagram and design sketches illustrated in sections
2.3.5 Variations to the Basic Malay House

Figure 55 Common additional sequences in a traditional Malay house
The addition possibilities shown below are only the basic types. They can be combined in other ways to make the range of house extensions even larger.

Figure 56 Additional possibilities in a traditional Malay house
2.3.6 Spatial Organisations and Relationships - Traditional Malay House

The information extracted from the case studies are summarised into working diagrams by the author.
Figure 57: Extraction of information from case studies into working diagrams (Malay)
2.4 Chinese
Figure 58 Illustration of a traditional Chinese Courtyard House in China
2.4.1 Traditional Chinese Courtyard Houses - Beijing, China

These traditional dwelling typology translates to quadrangles from Siheyuan or historical Chinese Courtyard House which is courtyard surrounded by four separate built forms, informing the basic layout (Figure 58). This tight enclosure of a courtyard within a quadrangular building has been a common significant element in Chinese dwellings since the Western Zhou period, between the 11th and the 10th century BC (Knapp 31). This similar enclosed design is reflected on the Chinese settlements in Malaysia but in a larger scale of surrounding shop houses in a defensive settlement, analysed further in 2.4.3 Chinese Compound.

Figure 59 The entrance gates and open courtyard
As the core layout, the house emphasises on the centrality and symmetry. The exterior central courtyard is open to certain guests but is relatively private as the family dwells surrounding this space (Li 21) (Figure 59). Fundamentally, social rituals are practised and domestic functions are held here according to patriarchal relationships sanctioned by tradition (Wang and Ye 594).

The symmetry is achieved through the built forms, highlighting the social hierarchy of spaces for the family and women. Li notes the progressive and increasing level of privacy of the house to accommodate unmarried daughters with access to only family members at the end (21), reflecting the social hierarchy and privacy for the family and women (Figure 60).
Privacy

The privacy and access of spaces move linearly, from public to private among outsiders with the occupants when investigated in a traditional courtyard house. The gradual shift from public to private and often back to public are highlighted by the entrance gates (Figure 59), acting as a symbol to psychologically inform the public the house is private after this barrier (Li 21). The spatial transparency of public to the inside private spaces is emphasised by the gate and not the courtyard (Li 21). Additionally, the passageways act as an interface, blurring the separation between the indoor and open spaces (Figure 61).
The privacy and access of spaces among the occupants are studied further (Figure 62). Instead of a linear pattern, the spaces work in a radial configuration. The courtyard acts as an intermediate space between inside and outside and an evident central open space for the occupants to carry out traditional customs and rituals (Li 21).

The spaces function from here in a circular motion to the private bedrooms. The level of privacy increases, as the radius of the different circle spaces extend to the boundaries of the house. The combination of both configurations results in a harmonised balance of privacy between the public and private spaces throughout the courtyard house (Li 21).

Figure 62 (plans on top and section on the left) Privacy study models highlighted in green (public) to red (private)
2.4.2 Theories
Human Body

The courtyard house is a traditional housing typology established on traditional cultural traits. People's spiritual conducts embody the courtyard house’s rich cultural values. The human body theory is a discourse explaining the formation of a courtyard house.

The structure of the human body derives the symmetrical layout based on the cross axis (Li 25) (Figure 63). The centrality of the courtyard indirectly represents the heart of the body while the exterior structures enclosing the house implies the sense of security the skin protects the body from harm (Li and Zhang 105).

Figure 63 Enclosure comparison of human body and courtyard house
Confucianism

The main theories are represented by Confucianism and Daoism in these courtyard houses. Confucius mentions *Rite* as the central philosophy highlighting the symmetrical quality of the courtyard houses. The elderly are wise, respected and henceforth, superior to the young, resulting in this current spatial hierarchy of the bedroom for sons first before the elderly (Li 24).

The inferiority of unmarried daughters compared to the significant role of men isolates their rooms to the back for privacy. The geometrical symmetrical structure of the courtyard house is expressed superior in the middle than the inferior sides clearly (Figure 64) (Ou 24). The clarity of the central spaces dominating the house is a physical expression of this ideology.

*Figure 64 Contrast of spaces (Black - inferior)*
Daoism

The concept of duality is emphasised in Daoism: rational and emotional, artificial and natural, dynamic and static, firm and empty (Xue and Zhu 29). The Yin Yang Theory suggests that Yin and Yang coexist and interdepend (Li 24) but adaptable and not fixed (Figure 65). The courtyard articulates the duality of interior and exterior creating a spatial contrast (Lo 2010).

The void where the invisible courtyard exists is Yin while the solid visible fragments of the house is Yang. This unity of opposites, where the house and courtyard are reciprocal and interchangeable, informs the ambiguity of the traditional spatial distinction (Sun, Wang and Zhang 176).

Figure 65 ‘Yin Yang’ Trigram
A theory involving the built environment based on Chinese history is well-known as *feng shui*. It is a technique of 'investigating geographical features used in site selection and construction' in the built environment to 'create a harmonious coexistence between humans and nature' (Travel China Guide) (Figure 66). According to Li, *feng shui* is scientific in architectural ecology as it is based on the many years of construction experience (25). The significance of the courtyard and symmetry in traditional Chinese Houses, are informed by this theory.

The interdependent relationship between the building and environment is emphasised by the traditional courtyard houses in China revolving around the five elements. The main building in a courtyard house faces the sun for sufficient lighting and the entrance at the southeast corner to face the predominant wind direction in Beijing for proper ventilation (Figure 67) (Li 25). The position of the fire element on the south and wind on the southeast in the diagram justifies this theory (Figure 68).
Chinese Shop House
Figure 69 Typical Chinese Shop Houses in Malaysia

- The airwell acts as an internal courtyard. It helps ventilate the house and also lights up the rear section which has windows opening onto the airwell.
- The kitchen, bathroom and toilet are located at the rear of the building, adjacent to the dining area. An open drying area may be attached to the back of the kitchen.
- The living areas at the rear and on the upper storey of the house are often partitioned into cubicles and sublet to tenants.
- The jack roof is an elevated roof segment above the main roof. It allows hot air to escape through the roof as well as light to enter the upper floors.
- The business area is always situated in the front section of the shophouse which opens onto the five-foot way.
- The five-foot way at the front of the shophouse is used by the public although it is private property (see box).
2.4.3 Chinese Compound - Khoo Kongsi Complex, Penang, Malaysia

Since the rise of British power in the Far East, Penang was a potential island for many settlers especially enterprising traders and established businessmen. During the British rule in the 19th century, *Khoo Kongsi* along with four additional Hakka Clans, were known as the Five Big Clans rooted and formed their congregation practised in respective bases informing the adjoining and protective settlement in the colonial city (Khoo Kongsi) (Figure 70).

This defensive establishment reflects the Hakka’s *wei* or enclosure and *bao* or fort resulting in a closely-knit building complex, which informs the ‘strong centripetalism and solidarity of the Khoos in the past’ (Khoo Kongsi) (Figure 71). The diversity of a migrant society with a colonial, urban and commercial reflects the adaptability of the clan in this settlement in Penang.

*Figure 70 Highlighted ‘Khoo Kongsi’ Settlement*  
*Figure 71 Panoramic view of the ‘Khoo Kongsi’ Settlement*
The Khoo Clan revolves strongly at the protected main clan house in a ‘defensive instinct to safeguard the inadequate public security’ (Khoo Kongsi). It promotes ‘clan solidarity and to foster Confucian core values such as piety and respect for elders’ (Tourism Penang). The Canon Square serves as a public space for social and cultural activities at the opera stage, to preserve these traditional values among the villagers and future generations (Figure 71). Surrounding the central region, the sixty two units of terraced and shop houses configure the labyrinthine to appear similar to a village (Khoo Kongsi) (Figure 71 and 72).
2.4.4 Shop House - Penang, Malaysia

The basic elements (Figure 73) and the spatial activities (Figure 69) of a typical shop house are identified from the two illustrations. The adaptation of a traditional Chinese Courtyard House into a Chinese Shop House is analysed in the following case study.

The combination of residential and commercial in domestic architecture resulted in the continuous evolution of shop houses in a variety of styles. However, the traditional arrangement of shop houses in linear blocks linking to the streets sustained, constraining the size and structure of the buildings (Ho, Hassan and Noordin). The rising demands of urban compactness and land values lead to the expansion of these shop
houses as the source of economic growth until the 1970s (Ho, Hassan and Noordin). As a result, triple storey shop houses were introduced which later lead to the conversion of shop houses to the development of high rise commercial buildings (Teh 1987). Today, shop houses are acknowledged as an architectural heritage through careful restoration and preservation by the local government (Figure 74).
Lian Huat Company is a paper trading company in a two storey shop house (highlighted in purple) selling and stocking paper (Figure 75). The additional function for a business to operate within a living environment on a smaller scale land, clearly justifies the separation of the building into two floors, unlike the single floor in a traditional courtyard house.

The ground floor consists of large halls for the business to operate and is utilised for storage throughout the floor, while the first floor comprises of a series of rooms. The open halls give an elevated sense to the shop house allowing the occupants to view the entire shop house from any hallway (Ng, Teh, et al.) because of the linear design of this longitudinal shop houses (Figure 76).
Due to the small scale and limited land, the private dwelling is forced to the top for the convenience of the owner and his family. Nevertheless, the spatial sequence on both floors are linear (Figure 77), similar to a traditional courtyard house.

The first floor is distinguished from the ground by the walls and partitions, dividing spaces into fixed private rooms. The front air well enhances the visual interaction and communication between the staff downstairs and the owner upstairs at this semi-public space (Ng, Teh, et al.) (Figure 78).
Spaces downstairs from the first hall till the rear court are semi-public where the business takes place between the customer and owner, while the private spaces upstairs are for the occupants to rest and live after business hours.

The functions of these spaces are evidently modified from the traditional configurations to suit the needs of the migrant shop house dwellers in a foreign land. The collages depict the circulation flow from the public, semi-public to private spaces of this two storey shop house (Figure 79).
Response to Climate

The adaptation of external courtyards into internal courtyards in a shop house is analysed. Similar to an external courtyard, the central air well opens directly to the sky (blue circle), giving occupants the opportunity to have a private open space such as the hall at the first floor within the shop house (Ng, Teh, et al.) (Figure 80).

Therefore, the air wells are internal courtyards instead of an external space because the buildings adjacent are connected in a row (Figure 76). This adaptation to compromise space and privacy (Figure 82) has reduced the level of indoor thermal and comfort level compared to the siheyuan but still fairly acceptable for occupants to adapt to.
These two air wells function to allow natural lighting and ventilation (Figure 81). Fresh air flows, preventing the stagnation of the interior air and indirectly removing scent and excessive moisture (Ng, Teh, et al.). Internal partitions act as openings between rooms to facilitate the air flow throughout the shop house such as lattice screen allowing hot air to escape (Ng, Teh, et al.).
The adjustable louvered windows encourage air flow as well as keeping out the glare (Ng, Teh, et al.). The source of penetration of light is mainly through the air wells to light up the dim spaces of the shop house which radiates stronger at the internal courtyards (Figure 81).

The following blown up axonometric study depicts the different elements and collage of images of this reformed shop house from the traditional courtyard house (Figure 83).
2.4.6 Spatial Organisations and Relationships - Traditional Chinese Shop House

The information extracted from the case studies are summarised into working diagrams by the author.
Figure 84 Extraction of information from case studies into working diagrams (Chinese)
2.5 Indian
Figure 85 The Supreme Trimurti (Lord Brahma, Lord Vishnu, Lord Siva)
2.5.1 Traditional Indian Row Houses - Tamil Nadu and Kerala, India (South)

_Agraharams_ are known as Brahmin houses found in the temple cities of Tamil Nadu and Kerala, in the South of India. This traditional row housing may vary in widths from 2.8-6m but demonstrates social needs, creating an interface between public and private domains (Sanyal 315). Additionally, this traditional development is of ‘legibility and familiarity that fosters security and belonging to a place which achieves convenience in communities’ (Sanyal 315) (Figure 86 image and sketch).

In contrast to the matriarchal system, the joint family system eventually lead to a sense of sharing and togetherness amongst the local communities. The current system practised qualities of living together and sharing of private spaces (Rajeev 10). Consequently, this is reflected in the design for family members living under the same roof in _agraharams_.

*Figure 86 Elevations, image and sketch of ‘Agraharams’ in Kerala*
Due to the humid climate, the incorporation of courtyards is designed to keep the houses cool and use locally available material (Sanyal 315). Good ventilation flows through the ‘alignment of the doors while the houses abut each other along their faces to reduce heat gain’ (Sanyal 315) (Figure 87). The sitting rooms and inner rooms are ventilated by the courts while the yards in the rear have detached spaces for the cattle and toilets (Sanyal 315).

This introvert settlements lived in a significant culture of sharing and living together especially for festivals. The indigenous agrarian settlements of Kerala lacked gathering places, besides the temple (Rajeev 10). As a result, the narrow streets were open to cater the needs of the Brahmin communities for important religious functions and marriage feasts instead of transportation (Rajeev 10) (Figure 88).
2.5.2 Bidada Village, Kutch - Gujarat, India (West)

These multi-religion community lives harmoniously together in a settlement but is eventually segregated by respective religion and caste. Unlike the Malay settlers practising the same religion, Muslim, this Indian community in Kutch comprises of more than a one religion group in this community. The non-vegetarian Harijans and Muslims are settled in the ‘wind direction away from the village’, to avoid the ‘odours emanating from flesh and meat preparations’ by the rest of the villagers (Udamale 36) (Figure 89). Despite the segregation, the main village squares act to move the villagers from individual dwellings to a communal space, chowk. Hence, this network of streets (Figure 90 sketches) not only divides the community to different directions of their private dwellings but assembles them as well.
Figure 90 Simple sketches, illustrations of village square and street branching
The streets are described as a mesh of curvilinear patterns connecting chowks (Figure 91 3D study models). These major advantage stated by Udamale, is the channeling of winds throughout the village allowing the breeze to be discreetly felt on any street, justifying the curved street pattern (38).

The staggering by few feet of each row house on opposite sides informs the curvilinear street, providing shade during the day (Figure 91 sketch street and photograph) (Udamale 40).
The ‘alternating courts and rooms, the shifting of door axes’ (Figure 92 sketch section and plan) allows the flow of breeze from multi-directions and retains privacy, despite making the streets dynamic and visually interesting (Udamale 40).

Sketch section: A dense row house typology taking care of light, cross ventilation and breeze

Sketch Plan: The changing heights and with it the streetscape, note the alternating courtyards for ventilation and shade.

Figure 92 Sketch section and sketch plan
2.5.3 Analysis of Spatial Organisation

Figure 93 Spatial organisation
Similar to the row houses in Kerala, the curvilinear network of streets play a significant role for the villagers to carry out local festivities. The streets act as a shift in sequence to the public (Figure 93 and 94). ‘The composition of intermediate squares (chowks) located at regular intervals forming an interlinked network’ (Udamale 44) (Figure 94 drawing location of Temple Chowk), serve as shared communal spaces and temples for religious practices (Figure 94 photograph). The pattern of the curved street facades reaches a festive mood at night when all the lamps are lit in the front of each row house during the festival of lights, illuminating the entire street through everybody’s participation (Udamale 44).
2.5.4 Analysis of Built Form

Figure 95 Spatial planning
The village expresses a distinct character from the varying scale of public spaces, the curvilinear streetscape to the planning of the houses. The spatial hierarchy of the community spaces and streets of the village depict a clear transition from the private dwellings to the public spaces. This clarity is reflected in the interior spatial spaces of the houses, in relation to the climate, cultural pattern, social status and living pattern (Figure 95) (Udamale 58).

‘The whole composition of the built form expresses a particular lifestyle of the close knit Kutchi people and their society’ (Udamale 58) (Figure 97). The variety of traditional houses are categorised into 6 unique types (Figure 96). Types 1, 2 and 6 integrates a distinct courtyard into the house. The first two types are studied and investigated further for the purpose of this research.

Figure 96 Plans and 3D sketches of the six types of built forms
Figure 97 Elevation of Indian Row Houses
House Type 1 and Type 2

House type 2 is a variation of type 1, adapted to the vivid status of the family in terms of scale and sizes of the rooms but with the similar spatial sequence (Udamale 52).
House Type 1

All the spaces and activities are concentrated around the central courtyard but there are only four basic spatial elements to this type of row house (Figure 98). The first element is the delly or the small entry steps with the platform otila at two levels (Udamale 50) before the entrance.

This sheltered space is not enclosed, which encourages guests to enter the house. This prevents them from waiting outside too long. The osari or living room is the hall where the occupants entertain their visitors in this semi-private space.

Figure 98 Section, sequence of spaces according to privacy and plan of House Type 1
The centre of the house is the unsheltered court or *aangan* which creates an inner microcosm of the family by bringing nature inside the house to provide sufficient lighting and ventilation for all the rooms of the house (Udamale 50). The court functions ideally for women to carry out their chores here in privacy, as they do not associate socially in public spaces of the house (Udamale 50) (Figure 99 jali and axonometric).

Next to the court, the kitchen or *rasoi* takes up the first zone of the second sheltered portion of the house. The middle room serves as the private dining space for the family. The rooms or *karai* are the most private spaces that take up the end of the first floor and the most on the second floor of the house (Figure 98).
House Type 2

Figure 100 Section and plan of House Type 2
Figure 101 Images of House Type 2

The decorative cornices, entrance door with two stone grills and windows with lintels of the house give character to the street.

Paniyara – the decorated niche to keep water utensils

Bathak – the living room
2.5.5 Vastu Purusha

Brahma (Figure 85) and forty four Gods in the Hindu religion defines the metaphysical plan of the Vastu Purusha Mandala. The illustration displays how Vastu’s body (Figure 102) was held flat against the earth by the Brahma at the centre and the Gods of the eight cardinal directions, outlining this perfectly balanced environment for Hindus, to ensure enhanced health, wealth and happiness (Architecture Ideas) (Figure 103).

The scientific justification to confirm this idealistic plan to create an atmosphere beneficial to men, is through the architectural study of the house around the ‘five basic elements, the earth’s magnetic field and the eight directions manipulated’ (Architecture Ideas). Architecturally, this is expressed by ‘good disposition of the sun, proper ventilation, lighting and privacy to the house’ through the central courtyard (Architecture Ideas).
2.5.6 Spatial Organisations and Relationships - Traditional Indian Houses

The information extracted from the case studies are summarised into working diagrams by the author.
Figure 104 Extraction of information from case studies into working diagrams (Indian)
Chapter 3: Site Considerations
Terraced housing is one of the most common housing typology in Malaysia. It is intended that the proposed 1Malaysia Home will eventually be developed into a terraced housing community, which will be implemented by the government throughout the country. Therefore, a single selected site will be ambiguous for this type of housing to be tested on with ease. For the purpose of this research, the site selected possess traits applicable to a variety of similar sites for most housing developers would select from.

The vast site dimensions and proximity to fundamental employment and transport regions are traits exhibited at many housing locations, similar to this selected test site within the suburbs. The site selected aids to visually place the design in an environment catering for a multiracial community, comprising the three Malaysian ethnicities – Malay, Chinese and Indian.
3.1 Town - Klang

The state of Selangor consists of nine districts with respective towns. Klang is one of the oldest districts and was the Selangor state capital (Figure 106), administrative centre as well as the tin mining exportation centre, prior to year 1870 (Klang Municipal Council). Kuala Lumpur was officially announced the capital in 1880 by the British colonial government (Figure 106).

In the 1850s, Klang River was dominated by migrant Chinese tin miners who settled at the joining of Lumpur River and Klang River (Ho, Hasan and Noordin). Due to Klang’s strategic location, Port Klang is one of the contributors, which led to the progression of this town until today (Figure 107). Over the years, Klang has advanced into a progressive town with many commercial companies (Figure 105).
Lately, Klang has been associated with a number of housing projects especially terraced housing, catering for the middle and upper class. This proposal caters for the middle income sector, which is currently in demand at this progressive town. The selected site lies over a large unused piece of green land surrounded by developed terraced housing (Figure 111 and 112) in the South region of Klang (Figure 108).

Residential was underdeveloped at this region for some time until the town commercialised and employment opportunities rose from the advancement of neighbouring towns and the city of Kuala Lumpur (Figure 109). Hence, the development of houses in this region to meet the increasing demands.
This region was mainly industrial from the expansion of the neighbouring district of Shah Alam causing traffic congestion in Klang town. The Federal Highway was the main transportation link from Port Klang to Klang town and towards the city of Kuala Lumpur. Eventually, the new Shah Alam Expressway or KESAS Highway on the south of Klang aided to improve traffic flow by alternatively connecting the public to the neighbouring district, Shah Alam and eventually the capital, Kuala Lumpur bypassing Klang town (Figure 110). Due to the rapid development, the Chinese population dominated Klang town with their businesses while Malays dominated the industrialised neighbouring district of Shah Alam.

*Figure 110 Main transportation links to Kuala Lumpur*
3.2 Site

The site offers the opportunity to demonstrate the potential for development of a multi-ethnic community terraced housing here, as well as anywhere in Malaysia. Although, the large site is within Klang, it is situated in between two large ethnic groups; the highly populated Chinese in Klang towards the west and Malays in Shah Alam towards the east (Figure 111).

The minority ethnic, that is the Indians, are scattered roughly the same on both neighbouring districts. On a smaller scale at the site, the terraced houses at the blue regions are dominated by Malays, Indians (yellow) at Taman Sentosa and Chinese (pink) at Bandar Puteri and Bandar Botanik (Figure 111).
The design intention is to bring multi-ethnic communities together in relation to the site and neighbouring districts through terraced housing, reviving this region without seclusion of the three ethnicities and providing opportunity for middle-income community living.

The site is addressed in two parts, the first acting as a testing ground for a single unit of a terraced house responding to the surrounding environment. The second is of a larger scale, acting as a test for cluster housing in this broad neighbourhood community, determining the applicability and extent of this design to the surrounding area of similar underutilised green spaces (Figure 112).
Chapter 4: Process
4.1 Study of Spatial Elements

The information from the case studies of the three ethnic groups’ traditional houses, possess similarities and differences. The courtyard in Indian and Chinese houses associates the women and family’s privacy from the public, which is highlighted at the deck and separate entrance of the Malay houses. The integration of a central, private space for the family, acknowledges this common traditional design that is absent in terraced housing today.

However, this central space raises conflicts. The open designs of the Chinese and Indian courtyards, are informed by their respective ethnic theories, which integrates nature into the house to maintain a healthy environment for the family, while the Malays emphasise on total privacy for their enclosed central space. The other ethnic addresses the family’s privacy to the back or upstairs. Therefore, these similarities and differences are further studied systematically.
4.1.1 Classifying Spatial Elements

The basic spatial elements are classified in a table to study the relationship of spatial organisations from one ethnic group to another. These information is obtained from the summarised working diagrams, at the end of each case study (Figure 57, 84 and 104). The spatial elements are identified and grouped in one column according to each ethnic. Therefore, each spatial element in each column makes up each basic traditional house for the three individual ethnic (Figure 113).

The information gathered is further organised coherently to distinguish the basic spatial elements in the table. The elements with similar functions are arranged in the same row while some are absent. For example, the basic Indian and Chinese houses have a courtyard design illustrated in green, but not in the basic Malay house. Although, the addition of a courtyard to the basic Malay house is possible (Figure 56). Therefore, this table visually exposes the basic similarities and differences clearly, for further analysis to take place in the consequent process.

Figure 113 Table classifying the three ethnics
4.1.2 Investigating Spatial Elements

The next part of the process focuses on solving the challenging differences. Some spatial elements are present in a particular ethnic house but not in another and vice versa. Consequently, eliminating those irrelevant to other ethnics are unpractical since the design of this house is for all three ethnics. As a result, the significance of each element has to be carefully considered, especially how and why it is designed for that specific ethnic. Thus, the element may work unconventionally but still retains its functions for the families, when a design solution is to be tested in this process.

After careful analysis, the adaptability of one space to be used for more than one specific function was the crucial key in overcoming this problem. The flexibility of designing this house to create these shared and negotiated spatial elements will allow the families to alter the spaces according to their basic norms and cultural practices.

The diagrams illustrate the basic preliminary ideas (Figure 114) for the initial stages before the conceptual designs are explored. Each spatial element from the traditional houses are linked cohesively to another based on the similar functions of each ethnic.

Figure 114 Preliminary spatial ideas
4.2 Conceptual Designs
4.2.1 Conceptual Design 1

Through a preliminary combination phase, every spatial element is linked (Figure 116 and 117). The specific zones are identified, organised and altered accordingly on both floors. The subsequent explorations are to attain a final conceptual plan that achieves the goals of adaptability and flexibility.
The public and private spaces are identified to maintain different levels of privacy throughout the house. Bedrooms, study room and bathrooms require a greater level of privacy. Hence, the separation of spaces in two distinctive levels (Figure 119).

The spaces are arranged in a linear pattern from the front entry to the back as how a typical shop house would be organised spatially (Figure 118). Spaces are designed to explore the volume this spatial elements could possibly develop further in the later stages.
4.2.3 Conceptual Design 3

The distinctive feature of this house (Figure 120) to a typical shop house, is the design of a courtyard instead of an air well. The courtyard has functioned positively in traditional Indian and Chinese houses contributing benefits to the occupants and the house itself. However, they were adapted into air wells in local shop houses, minimising the size and efficiency of an original courtyard. This design takes a step back before this adaptation takes place, to preserve the original courtyard concept to inform this contemporary house. The space proportions are altered to revolve thoroughly around the central courtyard, giving the house a form. The private spaces on the second floor are now isolated towards the back to prevent the courtyard from being blocked, allowing it to function efficiently (Figure 121).
Figure 121 Conceptual models 3
The last conceptual plan focuses to improve the circulation of the house. The traditional courtyard houses, especially the Chinese, function to connect the passageways around the courtyard to the other spaces. Nevertheless, terraced houses have a limited size to accommodate these large circulation spaces. As a result, verandahs and balconies are designed to negotiate with the other spatial elements instead of an independent space (Figure 122 and 123).

Figure 122 Conceptual explorations 4 - Level 1
Figure 123 Conceptual models 4 - Level 1
These last two options compare the relationship of the study room attached to the master bedroom (just as designed in Chinese and Indian houses) or as a detached room, positioned nearer to the courtyard (Figure 124 and 125). The adaptability of shared spaces for more than one specific function, is the flexibility this new design pushes to achieve. The next stage is to analyse, select and reorganise a final conceptual plan (Figure 127 and 129) to further develop the extent of adaptability of the spaces with one another to inform the house.
4.2.5 Conceptual Design 5

The verandah functions as part of the main living space to host visitors (Figure 126 - A). This is possibly achieved if occupants are able to control the highlighted wall to suit their privacy and space needs.

The occupants are able to extend the hall to the courtyard for a larger outdoor but private living space (Figure 126 - B). Social interactions take place when neighbours feel invited once a larger courtyard is extended to the verandah.

Occasionally, the deck serves as an exterior space but adapted occasionally as part of the dining room (Figure 126 - C) to accommodate a large family or public function in the outdoor.

A temporary social space, potentially serves as more than a kitchen to the backyard (Figure 126 - D) for the occupants to interact in community activities with the neighbours.
Figure 127 Conceptual Plan 5 - Level 1
Through this flexible design, the family is able to view activities at the courtyard from upstairs and circulate to the bottom conveniently (Figure 128 - G). The master bedroom is large enough for the whole family but in the long term an adaptable, separate bedroom is necessary for the children.

The circulation space potentially serves to enlarge the study room with the master bedroom (Figure 128 - E). The interior dining space is extended to the exterior courtyard (Figure 128 - F) to accommodate guests during private functions.

Figure 128 (top and right) Conceptual explorations 5 - Level 2
Figure 129 Conceptual Plan 5 - Level 2
4.2.6 Working Design

The conceptual plans are translated into working plans and adjusted roughly according to appropriate dimensions of a terraced house (Figure 130).

In a tropical climate, the integration of an internal courtyard raises concerns on hygiene and maintenance. An external courtyard is preferably ideal for this design. Therefore, further revision on the integration of the courtyard is essential to eliminate this concern.
Light levels and privacy in the front is designed through integration of glazing (highlighted in blue) and plants to enhance the circulation experienced around the courtyard.

In shop houses, the staircase are often integrated with the air well for occupants to circulate in a healthier comfort level. Generally, this design (Figure 131) achieves this factor. Conversely, poor light levels at the stairs forces additional openings to the exterior, as it is isolated from the courtyard.

Figure 131 Working plan - Level 2
The multiple entrances and glazing provides great flexibility for the mobility of the occupants (Figure 132 and 133). However, cost is a consequence to this high level of flexibility to accommodate the variety of spaces. Consequently, the house is more luxurious than a terraced house for the middle income sector.
Thus, this evaluation highlights the inefficency of an internal courtyard and the high cost for flexibility. The design is revised again to integrate an external courtyard instead and to design a medium cost, alternative solution to achieve this level of flexibility.

*Figure 133 Working design of the front elevation*
4.3 Revised Working Plans

The consecutive, optional first level plans illustrate the integration of green spaces or small external courtyards into the house, informing the design of the house.
The house is separated into 3 individual fragments to analyse the associations of the spatial elements closely (Figure 134). The front portion, mainly the living area focuses on the space for occupants to host visitors. The middle portion stresses on the connection of the rear entrance into the family’s dining area. The back portion highlights the kitchen to the back entrance.

The extensions of the verandahs and the position of the stairs are altered to test the flow of circulation and to link the three individual fragments together. Combination of the fragments behind creates two distinct fragments instead of three (Figure 135), dividing the occupants from the guest’s space. The courtyard in the middle isolates the house, increasing privacy for the second fragment (Figure 136).
4.3.1 Explorations of Level 1

The first is an application of the Malay traditional concept, by creating an alternative entrance for the women to the kitchen, instead of just to the middle family living area (Figure 137 - highlighted in red).
The second exploration alters the laundry space to associate with the washroom and the storeroom as an internal space (Figure 138 - highlighted in red).
Room dimensions are altered again according to a typical terraced house, for a family of four. As a result of this adjustment, some spaces are reduced. The dining room is restricted and the family living space is eliminated (Figure 139). This reflection proposes the final working plan, Option 2.
Level 2

Parents and children’s rooms are designed closely to one another for a safe and family environment (Figure 140). However, this does not achieve a suitable level of privacy in level 2, as the study room is attached to the guest bedroom instead of the master bedroom, as organised spatially by the traditional houses.
4.3.3 Option 2

Level 1

The external private courtyard at the middle, informs the design of the house (Figure 141). The reflected neighbouring house ultimately produces a shared courtyard in the middle to accommodate neighbouring families from across the courtyard.

In terms of circulation, ramps at the back and rear entrances are designed for the elderly and disabled. Privacy and circulation to the courtyard is further improved by the folding doors at the decks (Figure 141).
This working plan (Figure 142) isolates the family bedrooms to the back for higher privacy levels. The external private courtyard below, complements well with the open study design in the middle space.

This option is selected as the 1Malaysia Home. The process pursues exploring the relationships with the next-door neighbours and with the neighbourhood community.
4.4 Cluster Design
The current housing development projects, design houses in a linear pattern to maximise land usage and prevent wastage. This is similar to some of the aligned arrangement of traditional Malay Houses (Figure 39), Indian Row Houses (Figure 87) and the closely-knit building complex arrangement of the Chinese Shop Houses (Figure 71) in the city.

However, this exploration functions to incorporate the random and concentric patterns from the traditional Malay (Figure 37) and Indian village houses (Figure 94). This design strategy is to achieve a greater social interaction among individual household families living closely in a multi-ethnic community.
4.4.1 Cluster 1

Figure 143 (top) Cluster 1 - Plan from clusters on site

Figure 144 Cluster 1 - Integrating 8 units in 1 cluster
The terraced houses are arranged as singular houses next to one another. These two single houses are further organised on all four sides to form a closed and private backyard, for eight families to share and host a cultural social event (Figure 144). This cluster of houses are detached in a linear layout as illustrated from the site plan (Figure 143).

The relationship of the individual houses are analysed with the neighbouring houses. The corner triangular green space between two houses, highlights the rear entrances creating a potential communal space for these two families living here (Figure 145). This concept is explored further in the next cluster.
4.4.2 Cluster 2

Figure 146 (top) Cluster 2 - Plans of clusters on site

Figure 147 Cluster 2 - Integrating 4 units in 1 cluster
This design is a further exploration of the women’s entrance from the traditional houses and first cluster. This design specifically emphasises the rear entrance to inform the design of this arrangement, bringing families from four individual houses together instead of just behind the house (Figure 147).

Houses revolve around a shared central space for cultural, social gatherings to inform this cluster. As a consequence to this arrangement, the level of privacy is affected. The main entrance and the front portion of the house develops a higher level of privacy while the rear entrance opens up to an open community space (Figure 148).
4.4.3 Cluster 3

*Figure 149 (top) Cluster 3 - Plans of clusters on site*

*Figure 150 Cluster 3 - Integrating 6 twin units in 1 cluster*
This design strategy promotes families to reside longer in this twin terraced housing (Figure 151). Therefore, children pursuing their individual families are able to move next-door and live as neighbours with their parents. As a result, traditions and cultures are preserved within the family of all ethnicities for some time.

This cluster is a modified test and an extension from the first to achieve long term goals. The individual houses are a reflection of the neighbouring units, serving as two individual reflected houses attached together (Figure 150). Since this cluster has long term expectations, more houses are designed to accommodate more families.
Chapter 5: Product
This solution to terraced housing in Klang is seen as a response to suit these individual ethnic families’ current cultural needs and requirements of the middle-income households. The design addresses the Malaysian families in two scales.

Firstly, the basic design of a single terraced housing unit that actively addresses the daily norms and cultural practices for a family of four, to inform their unique home. This design strategy provides freedom for the family to modify the house to adapt to their independent choice of ethnic lifestyle in today’s contemporary context.

Secondly, the settlement of the cluster terraced houses, attempts to reduce the negative impacts of development and westernisation by seeking to inspire these three unique multi-ethnic families, in a more culturally sensitive, positive neighbourly and healthy living environment. Ultimately, this provides them with the tools to actively promote this terraced housing as a viable solution for the 1Malaysia People’s Housing Program (PR1MA).
The Response of Families

‘The Response of Families’ here refers to the end product of Malaysian Families living in the 1Malaysia Home Design. It has been informed by a flexible process – a home spatially organised in a negotiated manner by the author, in regards to the aims of the theories that informed the traditional domestic architecture from the three ethnics, as the main design consideration. The images portray families being driven into private shared courtyards through the integration of current multi-cultural activities, in a friendly neighbourhood community (Figure 156 and 157).
5.1 1Malaysia Home

The basic house for a middle-income family of four
Figure 154 Final plan - Level 2
5.2 Views of the 1Malaysia Home

The neighbours and customers from the community engage at temporary stalls in the private courtyard during a morning market or *Pasar Pagi*.
Figure 156

View of the external shared courtyard from level 2
For a long term food business programme, the adaptation of a shop as an alternative design to the storeroom beside the kitchen, enhances the community’s night market or *Pasar Malam* culture and social experiences.
Figure 157 Back view of the house and external courtyards
This design is an extension of Cluster 3 from Chapter 4: Process that has maintained the contemporary linear arrangement of the twin units, but incorporating the concentric layout, creating large outdoor green courtyards. The latest scheme proposes an integration of four Zone A and one Zone B. Therefore, this scheme accommodates 60 units of houses (12 x 4 + 12 = 60) in Cluster 1, which is a part of the entire neighbourhood (Figure 158).

The zones depict the similar closely-knit building complex of the linear pattern Chinese shop houses, which reflect the Hakka’s wei and bao (Figure 71) to inform the strong centripetalism and solidarity of this multi-ethnic community. The detached houses are in a concentric arrangement as traditional Malay and Indian houses. This creates large public courtyards that act as communal spaces, similar to the Canon Square (Figure 71) and chowks (Figure 94) for the community’s activities to take place.
14 Clusters in one neighbourhood

Zone A
4 (6 x 2) = 48 units

Cluster 1

Zone B,
6 x 2 = 12 units
Chapter 6: Review of the Product
6.1 Modular Design

This design facilitates the spatial organisation of the house to suit the family’s style of living in comfort. The system enables families to modify the spaces, achieving a good level of flexibility for adaptation to take place.

The four precast concrete screen blocks (Figure 160) are the essential components. Their shapes are designed to fit into one another to function as walls that are manipulated easily, to enlarge or reduce the size of spaces in the interior and exterior.

![Figure 160 Basic concrete screen blocks and dimensions](image)
Application of the Modular Design

Variation and the combination use of the screen blocks assist the adaptation of the spatial organisation to the family’s activities. This seeks to inspire all families to take pride in their unique choice of colours (Figure 157 and 159) and spatial organisation design, as depicted in the proposed subchapter options, under Cultural Considerations.

The first integration of the modular system is with the vertical circulations of the house to cater families with the elderly and disabled, enhancing their visual and mobility experiences throughout their circulation process (highlighted in blue) (Figure 162 and 163).
6.1.1 Vertical Circulations
Figure 162 Vertical Circulation - Ramp
Figure 163 Vertical Circulation - Staircase
6.1.2 Privacy

Plastered and basic screen blocks are integrated with one another to attain a higher level of privacy for the interior and a variety of aesthetic styles (Figure 164). The moderate sizes of these blocks allow the families to utilise them for more than one function (Figure 165).
6.1.3 Circulation + Privacy
6.2 Healthy Living Environment

The product offers to improve the living conditions of families by minimising the impacts of environmental issues within the current neighbourhood living communities. The proposed design aims to mitigate the identified issues of air quality, flooding and congestion.
6.2.1 Air Quality

The hot and humid climatic conditions of Malaysia has an extreme negative impact on air quality. The rapid development of Klang Town and traffic congestion, as studied in Chapter 3: Site Considerations, is expected to contribute a high level of air pollution from dust particles, harmful chemical gases and automobile exhaust fumes. This screen block system seeks to attenuate these by filtering, besides its other multiple functions for the family and environment (Figure 166).
The courtyard as the largest open space to the outdoor, is the source of fresh air in this middle zone (blue). The screen blocks and openings to the courtyard, facilitates the channeling of cool air into the house and generates an efficient circulation of air (Figure 167).

The inclusion of public courtyards in terraced housing (Figure 168), generates a consistent flow of air to the private courtyards of detached twin units. As a result, good quality of air is sustained throughout the neighbourhood, by incorporating the traditional concentric pattern with the contemporary linear pattern.
Figure 168 Efficiency of air flow - Multiple detached twin units
6.2.2 Flooding

Klang Town is prone to flooding due to its low land and close proximity to the Klang River (Figure 169 - light blue). Terraced houses here are prone to devastation through monsoon-driven flooding, damaging homes, promoting pest infestations and diseases. The design strives to reduce these impacts of flooding, for minimal impact on the site. The function of stilts in traditional Malay houses, elevates the floor levels to an acceptable height, which is implemented in this design (Figure 171). The ramps behind the house, drains water from the private external courtyard to the public courtyards to maintain a good level of hygiene for the families (Figure 170).
Figure 171 Elevated floor levels and collection of rain water
6.2.3 Congestion

The close proximity of current terraced housing design has caused inconvenience in a neighbourhood, diminishing their cultural practices, activities, mobility and convenience, as addressed under terraced housing problems, *Chapter 1: Introduction* (pg. 10 and 11).
A common multi-cultural activity is adapted into a neighbourhood with external courtyards to reduce crowding (Figure 172). The flexible design integrates their activities into the detached twin units, surrounding these courtyards for improved public social interaction while retaining the culture.
6.3 Cultural Considerations
For the purpose of this research, four options are proposed to illustrate the various flexible ways the house is capable of being modified, to adapt to diverse cultural practices and the daily norms of the multi-ethnic families in Malaysia.

The theories that inform the design of a courtyard revolving around the five elements of nature for a well-balanced environment to the central family zone (white), is highlighted for all three families uniquely (Figure 173).
6.3.1 Option 1 - Adapting to the lifestyle of a Malay family

Associating *dapur* with the adaptation of a food shop to the storeroom.

*Pelantar* and *selang* is adapted into the family space for women to retain their privacy.

Integrating the *rumah ibu* as the family’s private space to the courtyard, for reference to the east-west direction of the sun (facing Mecca) for religious devotions.

*Anjung* to greet and welcome guests into the *serambi*. 
Figure 174 Spatial plan 1 - Malay family
The traditional Malay house highlights the activities of a Malay family and the significance of the middle space as the family’s private space (Figure 175 and 176).
Figure 175 Section 1 - Activities of a Malay family
The external courtyard, serves to facilitate the family’s practices to create a safe and interactive atmosphere.

The stairs and ramp to the rear entrance function to enhance the circulation of the family to the central space.

The middle space enables the Malay family to read in private and dine according to their traditional lifestyle.
Figure 176 Section 2 (middle family space) - Activities of a Malay family
The adaptation of the *anjung* as the semi outdoor verandah, and *serambi* as the living room for the family to associate with the public.

Separation at the central space to isolate women from the public spaces.

Higher privacy upstairs for family practices and activities at the *bilik* (praying, reading, sleeping).

The significance of the *rumah ibu* in the middle is retained as the family area.
6.3.2 Option 2 - Adapting to the lifestyle of a Chinese family

Although home and businesses today are mostly kept separate, in Malaysia small businesses run from homes are widely observed. However, the current terraced housing design evolved to a simpler concept from a shop house, splitting the commercial from the residential but without any sense of belonging among the community. This option functions to revitalise the similar environment a traditional shop house once portrayed but in a contemporary terraced housing context (Figure 178).
The duality concept, Daoism is emphasised by the interdependent, *Yin Yang* Theory between the spatial distinction of the external courtyards and the house.

The integration of a spiritual corner in the family zone with the external courtyard to the environment, as suggested by *feng shui*.

The spiritual space is located nearer to the courtyard for better lighting, ventilation and away from the noise but still visible enough for the shop’s business to flourish.

The central family space expresses superiority than the inferior front and back in terms of spatial hierarchy, highlighting the Confucianism Theory.

Adaptation of a home business to the front of the house, as the design of a traditional shop house is spatially organised.

*Figure 179 Spatial plan 2 - Chinese family*
The spatial arrangement, size of the shop and hall are modified at the front, enhancing the working relationship of the owner with the clients and customers. As a result of this organisation, the living room is relocated upstairs for the family to socialise privately (Figure 180).

The house now serves to cater for temporary community related home businesses such as private home tuition classes at the study space and neighbourhood day care centre at the storeroom. This alteration of the spaces from the initial to integrate home businesses within the house, reinstates the sense of belonging among the community.

Figure 180 Option 2 for Chinese family - Level 2
Family functions and cultural festivities are celebrated among an individual family with a small number of guests. However, the design of the current terraced housing limits their movement, forcing them to adapt to the house. As a result, guests tend to crowd the house, which restricts the cultural and spiritual practices of the host.

This option stresses on an Indian family organising private functions or events at home to cater for the comfort of everyone. The strategy to design one space for multiple uses, provides a level of flexibility for both the family and guests, giving them a sense of comfort and preserving their culture. This is achieved by modifying the spaces of the house to adapt to various functions for everyone (Figure 181).
Figure 181 Option 3 for Indian family - Level 1

- Neighbourhood activity/class
- Rear entrance to the courtyard and middle space
- Higher privacy from crowds
- Larger living room to accommodate crowds
- Study room
Adapting a neighbourhood kitchen related activity in the *rasoi*.

The design’s strategy of having the rear entrance, directs other family members who are not involved, to move within the house without obstructing these private functions.

The *delly* and *otla* are adapted with the *osari* as one integrated space. This multiple function space is now the main entrance to welcome, entertain and dine with guests conveniently in one private space.
The prayer room is adapted at the middle space for families to conduct spiritual and cultural practices privately, by the *karai*. The design aims to allow the host to open the prayer room to face the *aangan* as the *Vastu Purusha* suggests for better lighting and ventilation (Figure 183).

This design provides an alternative for families not requiring a prayer room or to accommodate relatives in a temporary bedroom. As a result, the study space is integrated with the bedroom for a mixed use space (Figure 184).
6.3.3.1 Adapting to the Malaysian Sikh wedding

The distinct roles of men and women, signifies the Sikh culture. The screen blocks separates their spaces according to a traditional Sikh wedding for the many rituals to take place throughout the ceremony. As a whole, the house not only adapts to the wedding procession for the married couple, immediate family members, relatives and guests, but preserves the distinction of men and women according to the Sikh culture (Figure 185).
Figure 185 Option 3 Sikh wedding - Level 1

- Extended family helping out in food preparation
- Dance space for family members
- Higher privacy for shy women to admire men
- Bridesmaids handing out the dowry from the women’s space
- Bedroom for the elderly and disabled
- Men’s space
- Men singing and greeting guests
Boundaries in the middle of the house are arranged to highlight the married couple as the significant people of the day, followed by the priest to conduct the union of these two.

Higher screen walls are assembled, to prioritise privacy for women from the front and for the optional bedroom.

The front living space is opened up to allow more space for men to enlighten the wedding experience while women gather around the rear entrance and kitchen.

*Figure 186 Spatial plan 4 - Sikh wedding*
6.4 The Response of Community

The twin terraced housing units from Chapter 5: Product, illustrates the relationship of the privately shared, external courtyard between neighbours. The following response portrays a range of associations of cultural practice and multi-cultural community activities between neighbours, to the public external courtyards (Figure 187). The key is to foster relationship bonds between families in a multi-ethnic neighbourhood community, actively promoting the 1Malaysia Terraced Housing under PR1MA.
Figure 187 Activities of the 1Malaysia Terraced Housing neighbourhood
6.4.1 Funeral

Embracing and supporting neighbours in a mutually, respectful and accepting multi-ethnic community
Figure 188 Highlights of a Malay funeral
6.4.2 Sports Activity

Promoting a healthy lifestyle in a friendly neighbourhood community, through an inter cluster sports competition

Figure 189 Football match
6.4.3 Family Outdoor Activity

Advocating a sense of belonging among friendly neighbours in a multi-ethnic community

Figure 190 Motivating families at the rear entrances to participate in outdoor activities
6.4.4 Night Market

Friendly neighbourhood community engaging in a unique multi-cultural activity

Figure 191 ‘Pasar Malam’
6.4.5 Cultural Carnival

Bridging Malaysian families to create a sense of unity in a multi-ethnic neighbourhood community

Figure 192 IMalaysia Carnival
Chapter 7: Conclusion
Malaysia is a multi-ethnic nation for respecting individual ethnic identities that is essential for its future development. The cultural uniqueness of its ethnic communities has been affected negatively by the inevitable consequences of short-sighted government policies and westernisation. The 1Malaysia Policy emphasises ethnic harmony, tolerance and national unity in an attempt to solve these issues and promote national development.

Western influences on architecture, in particular domestic urban architecture, has diverted Malaysia's sense of Asian culture. The direct adaptation of western terraced housing models to the Malaysian culture and context is a common problem. Urgent implementation of housing policies aimed at creating new urban communities has seen the widespread adaptation of these western models without much thought for the local context and culture.

This study produces an adaptable home suited to the needs of multi-cultural families. Traditional and culture specific domestic architecture and cultural activities commonly practised in Malaysian homes, inform the design of this adaptable home. The aim of this house is to attenuate the implementation of western terraced housing models in Malaysia and instead introduce a model, more suited to the Malaysian context, culture and the lifestyles of its people.

The implementation of this flexible design, is through research on case studies of the respective traditional houses of Malaysia's three main ethnic groups, followed by a negotiated spatial organisation design process. The study has further explored the adaptable cluster design of terraced housing in a multi-ethnic neighbourhood community. The traditional programmes of shop house and other multi-cultural activities in Malaysia are reinstated for a common sense of belonging in the neighbourhood, which ultimately preserves Malaysia’s unique Asian culture.

The clarity in the design direction of this product was recognised through the immersion of culture in the process by the designer. The understanding of the basic spatial elements from the research of the basic traditional houses for each of the family's needs and practices, informed the design. This clarity could be further achieved through the active participation of multi-ethnic families in the process to overcome their cultural differences from their perspective and aid the designer to pursue a credible flexibility of the product.

In this respect, this thesis has focused on developing a domestic architectural model for terraced housing designed with traditional ideals in mind that would support and further the aims of the 1Malaysia Policy. A contemporary architecture that is respectful of diverse cultural needs and is adaptable to promote multi-cultural neighbourhoods with the hope that such neighbourhoods will foster unity amongst diverse ethnic groups and facilitate the developmental goals of Malaysia.

The flexibility of the modular system presented in this thesis, is a solution to middle income terraced housing in Klang, Selangor. This architectural form of dwelling offers an opportunity for further exploration beyond Malaysia, for a multitude of design solutions to better suit the vernacular of specific design locales. In acknowledging this, the ambitions of the project generated through this line of research can become a solution on a wider international scope for countries with multi-ethnic families to adapt to their various cultural practices and common housing issues related to spatial allowance or affordability throughout the world namely in the Asia-Pacific, Middle East, Africa, and others.
Bibliography and List of Figures

Figure 193 Interdependence of Flora and Fauna
Bibliography


List of Figures

Front cover
Bala Kumar, S. Abstract of 1Malaysia Home. 2016. Diagram.

Figure 1
Bala Kumar, S. Dual Faces of Malaysians - We rejoice despite our adversities. 2007. Water colour on paper. Klang.

Figure 2

Chapter 1: Introduction

Figure 3

Background problems

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7
Figure 8

Figure 9

Figure 10

Figure 11

Figure 12

Figure 13

Figure 14

Figure 15

Figure 16

Figure 17

Figure 18

Figure 19
Figure 20

Figure 21

Figure 22

Figure 23

Figure 24

Figure 25

Chapter 2: Literature Review + Case Studies

Figure 26

Segregation of Chinese and Indian Communities in Malaysia

Figure 27

Figure 28

Facilitating Malaysians through Architecture - Merdeka Square

Figure 29
Malay

**Figure 30**

**Figure 31**

**Figure 32**

Arrangement of Malay Houses

**Figure 33**

Random Pattern

**Figure 34**

**Figure 35**

**Figure 36**

Concentric Pattern

**Figure 37**

**Figure 38**
Linear Pattern

**Figure 39**

**Figure 40**

**Figure 41**

Basic Malay House

**Figure 42**

**Figure 43**

**Figure 44**

Rumah Selangor

**Figure 45**
Bala Kumar, S. *Spatial analysis of the ‘Rumah Selangor’.* 2015. Colour analysis.

**Figure 46**

**Figure 47**
Figure 48
Bala Kumar, S. *Women’s entrance highlighted*. 2015. Photograph.

Figure 49
Bala Kumar, S. *Change in levels at different spatial spaces*. 2015. Photograph.

Figure 50
Bala Kumar, S. *Back Elevation of the ‘Rumah Selangor’*. 2015. Photograph.

Figure 51

Figure 52
Bala Kumar, S. *Increasing levels*. 2015. Photograph.

Figures 53
Bala Kumar, S. *Open plan analysis of the ‘Rumah Selangor’*. 2015. Collage, colours and lines.
Bala Kumar, S. *‘Rumah Selangor’*. 2015. Photographs.

Figures 54

Variations to the Basic Malay House

Figure 55

Figure 56

Figure 57
Bala Kumar, S. *Extraction of information from case studies into working diagrams (Malay)*. 2015. Diagrams.
Figure 58

Traditional Chinese Courtyard Houses - Beijing, China

Figure 59

Figure 60

Figure 61

Figure 62

Theories

Figure 63

Figure 64
Li, C. _Building as the Figure_. 2009. tennessee.edu. Illustrated diagram. Web. 18 June. 2016.

Figure 65

Figure 66
Figure 67

Figure 68

Chinese Shop House

Figure 69

Figure 70

Figure 71

Figure 72

Typical Shop House - Penang, Malaysia

Figure 73

Figure 74
Bala Kumar, S. *Collage of before and after restoration of a shop house*. 2015. Collage.
Chinese Shophouse No. 140, Lian Huat Company - Penang, Malaysia

**Figure 75**

**Figure 76**

**Figure 77**

**Figure 78**

**Figure 79**

**Figure 80**

**Figure 81**
Bala Kumar, S. *Combination of flow of ventilation and natural lighting of Lian Huat Shop House.* 2015. Photoshop Image.

**Figure 82**

**Figure 83**
Bala Kumar, S. *Collage of images of Lian Huat Shop House.* 2015. Collage.

**Figure 84**
Bala Kumar, S. *Extraction of information from case studies into working diagrams (Chinese).* 2015. Diagrams.
Figure 85

Traditional Indian Row Houses – Tamil Nadu and Kerala, India (South)

Figure 86

Figure 87

Figure 88

Bidada Village, Kutch – Gujarat, India (West)

Figure 89
Udamale, S. *Bidada village sketch plan showing various community zones and location of houses undertaken for study.* 2003. Sketch plan. Print. 5 May. 2015.

Figure 90

Figure 91
Udamale, S. *Height to width proportion of courtyards and streets.* 2003. Sketch. Print. 5 May. 2015.
Analysis of Spatial Organisation

Figure 93

Figure 94

Analysis of Built Form

Figure 95

Figure 96

Figure 97

House Type 1

Figure 98
House Type 2

Figure 100

Figure 101
Udamale, S. Decorative cornices, entrance door with two stone gadkis and windows with lintels of the house give character to the street. 2003. Photograph. Print. 5 May. 2015.

Vastu Purusha

Figure 102

Figure 103

Figure 104
Bala Kumar, S. Extraction of information from case studies into working diagrams (Indian). 2015. Diagrams.
Chapter 3: Site Considerations

Town - Klang

Figure 105

Figure 106
Bala Kumar, S. Districts of Selangor and Wilayah Persekutuan. 2015. Map.

Figure 107

Figure 108
Bala Kumar, S. South of Klang. 2015. Map.

Figure 109
Bala Kumar, S. Neighbouring districts. 2015. Map.

Figure 110
Bala Kumar, S. Main transportation links to Kuala Lumpur. 2015. Map.

Site

Figure 111

Figure 112
Bala Kumar, S. 3D diagram. 2015. Site diagram.
Chapter 4: Process

Study of Spatial Elements

**Figure 113**
Bala Kumar, S. *Table classifying the three ethnics*. 2015. Diagrams.

**Figure 114**
Bala Kumar, S. *Preliminary spatial ideas*. 2015. Diagrams.

Conceptual Plans

**Figure 115**
Bala Kumar, S. *Collage of conceptual plans*. 2015. Diagrams.

**Figure 116**
Bala Kumar, S. *Conceptual explorations 1*. 2015. Diagrams.

**Figure 117**
Bala Kumar, S. *Conceptual models 1*. 2015. Diagrams.

**Figure 118**

**Figure 119**

**Figure 120**
Bala Kumar, S. *Conceptual explorations 3*. 2015. Diagrams.

**Figure 121**
Bala Kumar, S. *Conceptual models 3*. 2015. Diagrams.

**Figure 122**
Bala Kumar, S. *Conceptual explorations 4 - Level 1*. 2015. Diagrams.

**Figure 123**
Bala Kumar, S. *Conceptual models 4 - Level 1*. 2015. Diagrams.

**Figure 124**
Bala Kumar, S. *Conceptual explorations 4 - Level 2*. 2015. Diagrams.

**Figure 125**
Bala Kumar, S. *Conceptual models 4 - Level 2*. 2015. Diagrams.

**Figure 126**
Bala Kumar, S. *Conceptual explorations 5 - Level 1*. 2015. Diagrams.

**Figure 127**
Bala Kumar, S. *Conceptual Plan 5 - Level 1*. 2015. Diagrams.

**Figure 128**
Bala Kumar, S. *Conceptual explorations 5 - Level 2*. 2015. Diagrams.

**Figure 129**

**Figure 130**

**Figure 131**

**Figure 132**
Bala Kumar, S. *Working 3D design*. 2015. Digital model.

**Figure 133**
Bala Kumar, S. *Working design of the front elevation*. 2015. Image.
Revised Working Plans

**Figure 134**

**Figure 135**

**Figure 136**

**Figure 137**
Bala Kumar, S. *Working plan 4 - Level 1*. 2015. Technical drawing.

**Figure 138**

**Figure 139**

**Figure 140**

**Figure 141**

**Figure 142**

Cluster Design

**Figure 143**
Bala Kumar, S. *Cluster 1 - Plan from clusters on site*. 2015. Drawings.

**Figure 144**
Bala Kumar, S. *Cluster 1 - Integrating 8 units in 1 cluster*. 2015. Drawings.

**Figure 145**
Bala Kumar, S. *Cluster 1 - Integration of 2 families*. 2015. Drawings.

**Figure 146**
Bala Kumar, S. *Cluster 2 - Plans of clusters on site*. 2015. Drawings.

**Figure 147**
Bala Kumar, S. *Cluster 2 - Integrating 4 units in 1 cluster*. 2015. Drawings.

**Figure 148**
Bala Kumar, S. *Cluster 2 - Analysis of privacy (distribution of noise and views)*. 2015. Drawings.

**Figure 149**
Bala Kumar, S. *Cluster 3 - Plans of clusters on site*. 2015. Drawings.

**Figure 150**
Bala Kumar, S. *Cluster 3 - Integrating 6 twin units in 1 cluster*. 2015. Drawings.

**Figure 151**
Bala Kumar, S. *Cluster 3 - Twin units*. 2015. Drawings.
Chapter 5: Product

The Response of Families

**Figure 152**

**Figure 153**
Bala Kumar, S. *3D Final plan - Level 1*. 2016. Image.

**Figure 154**

**Figure 155**
Bala Kumar, S. *3D Final plan - Level 2*. 2016. Image.

**Figure 156**
Bala Kumar, S. *View of the external shared courtyard from level 2*. 2016. Image.

**Figure 157**
Bala Kumar, S. *Back view of the house and external courtyards*. 2016. Image.

**Figure 158**
Bala Kumar, S. *Collage of 1Malaysia Terraced Housing*. 2016. Image.

**Figure 159**
Bala Kumar, S. *Front and side elevation views*. 2016. Image.

Chapter 6: Review of the Product

Modular Design

**Figure 160**

**Figure 161**

**Figure 162**

**Figure 163**

**Figure 164**

**Figure 165**
Bala Kumar, S. *Circulation + privacy (For Option 3 - Sikh wedding)*. 2016. Image and technical drawing.
Healthy Living Environment

**Figure 166**
Bala Kumar, S. *Multiple functions of the screen blocks*. 2016. Image.

**Figure 167**

**Figure 168**
Bala Kumar, S. *Efficiency of air flow - Multiple detached twin units*. 2016. Image.

**Figure 169**

**Figure 170**
Bala Kumar, S. *Ramp draining water from the courtyard*. 2016. Image.

**Figure 171**
Bala Kumar, S. *Elevated floor levels and collection of rain water*. 2016. Image and technical drawing.

**Figure 172**

Cultural Considerations

**Figure 173**

**Figure 174**

**Figure 175**
Bala Kumar, S. *Section 1 - Activities of a Malay family*. 2016. Image.

**Figure 176**
Bala Kumar, S. *Section 2 (middle family space) - Activities of a Malay family*. 2015. Image.

**Figure 177**

**Figure 178**

**Figure 179**

**Figure 180**

**Figure 181**
Bala Kumar, S. *Option 3 for Indian family - Level 1*. 2016. Image.

**Figure 182**
Bala Kumar, S. *Spatial plan 3 - Indian family*. 2016. Technical drawing.

**Figure 183**
Bala Kumar, S. *Option 3 for Indian family - Level 2*. 2016. Image.
The Response of Community

Figure 187
Bala Kumar, S. Activities of the 1Malaysia Terraced Housing neighbourhood. 2016. Image.

Figure 188
Bala Kumar, S. Highlights of a Malay Funeral. 2016. Image.

Figure 189
Bala Kumar, S. Football match. 2016. Image.

Figure 190
Bala Kumar, S. Motivating families at the rear entrances to participate in outdoor activities. 2016. Image.

Figure 191

Figure 192
Bala Kumar, S. 1Malaysia Carnival. 2016. Image.