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Abstract

Stainless steels differ from iron in that chromium content allows for the formation of a passive iron-chromium oxide film which is only nanometres in thickness, offering protection from the environment. While the composition of this oxide layer has been established, the mechanism of its formation is not well understood. In particular, the threshold level of chromium for oxide formation is significantly lower than the chromium content of the alloy itself. We present a Cahn Hilliard type analytical model that relates the onset of passivation to an instability which leads to a phase segregating current above 17\% Cr in a bulk alloy. Proposing that this current could lead to Cr enrichment at a surface, we compare atomistic simulations with and without a surface driven Cr current. We implemented a kinetic Monte Carlo algorithm with extensions to allow for vacancy assisted nearest neighbour migration in a body centered cubic alloy, tracking a surface, dissolution and surface passivation. We compare the time evolution of Fe dissolution rates, Cr surface enrichment and the threshold for passive film formation and find that the Cr current has a significant impact on each of these properties.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

A wide variety of stainless steels have been developed for use in industrial and domestic applications. Stainless steels contain chromium along with some fraction of a range of other elements such as molybdenum, nickel, manganese or carbon dependant on the desired physical properties. In essence though, stainless steels differ from iron in that alloying allows for the formation of a passive oxide film which is only nanometres in thickness. This film offers protection from the environment resulting in high corrosion resistance in both humid and neutral aqueous environments [2]. It has been established experimentally that a relatively small chromium content in the alloy is required for a passive film to form, 12%-17% and that the transition in behavior is quite sharp [21].

Given the prevalence of stainless steels, a thorough understanding of the mechanisms and limitations of this corrosion resistance is desirable. For example, consider the stainless steels in nuclear power plants. They must be able to withstand neutron bombardment, and high temperatures. It is imperative to have a understanding of the material’s lifetime in these conditions [31, 9]. Unsurprisingly then, the stucture and formation of this oxide film along with the conditions under which passivity is conferred have been studied both experimentally and through first principles calculations.
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Figure 1.1: Figure from ref. [13] The spinel crystal structure. White spheres represent tetrahedrally coordinated cations, medium size gray spheres represent octahedrally coordinated cations, larger dark spheres represent oxygen anions.

Experimentally, films are typically studied in a borate buffer at varying pH. On pure Fe the film that forms at pH 8.4 has a structure similar to the inverse spinel structure of magnetite, Fe$_3$O$_4$ [11]. In the case of alloys, the structure has been found to fall between magnetite and spinel chromite, Fe-Cr$_2$O$_4$, with varying values of x in composition Fe$_{3-2x}$Cr$_{2x}$O$_4$. The difference between a spinel and an inverse spinel is not in the crystallographic structure, an image of which is shown in figure 1.1. Rather, in a spinel the trivalent cations occupy octahedral sites, and divalent cations occupy tetrahedral sites. In an inverse spinel, half the trivalent cations share the available tetrahedral sites with the divalent cations, with the remaining half occupying octahedral sites [13].

It has also been established that the Cr content of the oxide film is enriched compared to the alloy. Oblonsky et al. [18, 20] found passivation was accompanied by dissolution of Fe; a small amount at pH 5.5-7.5 and significantly more at lower pH 4.5. In both cases though, the film is enriched in Cr compared to the content in the alloy. Davenport et al. [11] investigate passivity at a set pH of 4.5 and applied potential -0.07V estab-
lishing that an alloy must contain at least 15% Cr content to confer passivity in these conditions. First principles calculations replicating these conditions confirm that the minimum thermodynamically stable oxide must contain x=0.3, that is 23% Cr content [13]. However, while the composition of this oxide layer has been established, the mechanism of its formation is not well understood, in particular it is not yet known how this surface enrichment occurs.

Regarding the kinetics of film growth, it has been found that while passive film growth occurs in seconds or minutes, long range ordering of the film takes several hours. Initially small (3nm) crystalline regions form, conferring passivity, long range ordering of these regions requires the greater time scale [21].

Despite the body of work in this area, the origin of the critical Cr content required for passive film formation is not well understood. Several models have been proposed to explain the onset of passive film formation. The earliest considers the percolation threshold of Cr in the alloy [27, 23]. If the Cr concentration is sufficiently high, a Cr-Cr network forms through the alloy so that only finite clusters of Fe dissolve leaving chromium rich oxide which passivates the metal. Similarly, later work by McCafferty [16, 17] considers a network of Cr ions in the passive film itself as necessary for passivity. McCafferty does not describe the mechanism by which the Cr network provides passivity. Most recently Monte-Carlo computational modelling has been applied [15], however, like the earlier models the only mechanism for Cr surface enrichment allowed is selective Fe dissolution. This is insufficient to account for the passivity of Fe-Cr alloys at high temperatures in dry conditions where limited or no dissolution of Fe takes place [19]. Additionally, some dissolution of Cr occurs experimentally, with up to 10% dissolved material being Cr [12].

This thesis relates the onset of passive film formation to an instability in the alloy itself which leads to a current of Cr to the surface contributing to surface Cr enrichment and passive film formation.
We present an analytical continuum model for the time evolution of the distribution of Cr within an Fe-Cr alloy. We model the free energy of the alloy, with parameters calculated from first principles electronic structure calculations, to derive a Cahn-Hilliard model for phase separation in the alloy. We find that this equation shows an instability at 17% Cr content which drives a current of Cr. This current of Cr could lead to enrichment of Cr at the surface above this threshold level.

We then take an atomistic approach to investigating the impact of a Cr current on surface Cr enrichment and passive film formation. The methodology we use is kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC), a stochastic algorithm for modelling dynamic atomistic systems. Kinetic Monte Carlo allows for the fast simulation of events based on fixed transition rates between equilibrium states. We build a KMC model which allows for diffusion, dissolution and passivation in Fe-Cr alloys, in order to investigate the impact of a Cr current on passive film formation. Rather than considering an explicit solvent with ions dissolving into it, we treat dissolution of the solid as atoms being removed from the system.

Chapter 2 gives the details the analytical model and the derivation of the instability threshold. Chapter 3 first gives an introduction to KMC and transition state theory (TST), and then describes the details of our implementation. Chapter 4 presents and discusses results of KMC simulations and chapter 5 concludes this work.
Chapter 2

Motivation

Previous models of the passivity of Fe-Cr alloys have been based on the idea that a static network of Cr conveys stability [27, 23, 16, 17]. In this section we will investigate the possibility that the migration of Cr can also play a role. Namely, we investigate the possibility that an instability in the alloy can deliver high concentrations of Cr to the alloy surface during the dissolution process. Starting from a model for the free energy of the alloy with parameters derived from first principles electronic structure calculations, we derive a differential equation that describes the time evolution of inhomogeneities in Cr concentration. We show this equation is unstable above 17% chromium content in the alloy and illustrate how this instability can promote the growth of a passive oxide film.

For an iron-chromium alloy, Fe$_{1-\phi}$Cr$_{\phi}$, we can express the free energy of formation, as

$$g(\phi) = \phi g_{Cr} + (1 - \phi)g_{Fe} + g_{mix} + \Delta g$$

(2.1)

where $\phi g_{Cr} + (1 - \phi)g_{Fe}$ is the weighted average of the free energy of the pure components, $g_{mix}$ is the entropic free energy term for mixing of a random alloy, and $\Delta g$ is the excess free energy of mixing.
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Table 2.1: Expansion coefficients for $\Delta g$ in equations 2.3 \{\(L_n\)\}, and 2.12 \{\(G_n\)\} from Ref. [7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>(L_n)</th>
<th>(G_n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.415660</td>
<td>-0.16130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0814134</td>
<td>3.71417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.0101899</td>
<td>-9.12960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.267659</td>
<td>10.08588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.248269</td>
<td>-3.97230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(g_{\text{mix}}\) can be described as the ideal free energy of mixing

\[
g_{\text{mix}} = kT \left[ \phi \ln \phi + (1 - \phi) \ln(1 - \phi) \right]
\] (2.2)

which is derived by considering the number of ways of arranging the different atoms for a given value of \(\phi\) [14].

Here we make two important simplifications, \(g_{\text{mix}}\) is neglected given that at ambient temperatures \(\Delta g \gg g_{\text{mix}}\), and we assume that the formation energy does not depend on temperature. Given the latter, \(\Delta g\) is approximately equivalent to \(\Delta H\) which can be calculated by \textit{ab initio} methods by taking the binding energy of the alloy less a weighted average of the binding energies for pure Fe and Cr. A fit to such \textit{ab initio} data for ferromagnetic Fe-Cr alloys can be reproduced by a Redlich-Kister expansion to 4th order [25]

\[
\Delta g = \phi(1 - \phi) \sum_{i}^{N} L_i (2\phi - 1)^i
\] (2.3)

We will use the coefficients \(L_i\) proposed by Caro \textit{et al} [7], shown in table 2.1. Figure 2.1 plots \(\Delta g\) as a function of \(\phi\), showing \textit{ab initio} data points from [21] and fitted with this expansion. For high Cr content, the anti-
ferromagnetic alignment of Cr becomes dominant, and the trend of $\Delta g$ alters from this fit. We are however, interested in values of $\phi$ well within the fitted range. Interestingly, the curve is asymmetric. At low Cr content, $\Delta g$ becomes negative, down to -8meV/atom, meaning in this region an alloy is energetically favourable as compared to it’s constituent parts. At higher Cr concentrations the free energy does not favour dissolution of Cr in Fe.

We now consider an inhomogeneous alloy so let, $\phi = \phi(r)$. The dynamics of diffusion in the alloy are dictated by it’s attempt to minimise the free energy, which now has functional form $G[\phi(r)]$. We also include a term to account for interfacial tension $a^2 (\nabla \phi)^2$ giving

\[
G[\phi] = \int d^3 r \rho \left[ g(\phi) + a^2 (\nabla \phi)^2 \right]
\]  

(2.4)

where $\rho$ is the number density (which we assume remains constant) and $a$ is a length scale related to the interfacial tension.

Given that $\phi$ is a conserved physical quantity, we can formulate a Cahn-Hilliard model, which applies to any situation with conserved diffusive dynamics in a scalar field, but was in fact derived to model phase separation in binary alloys [6].

We begin with the conservation law for $\phi$,

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot J = 0
\]  

(2.5)

ensuring that the change in $\phi$ in any particular volume is the difference between the current ($J$) flowing in and out.

Secondly, we must consider the form this current should take. It should not depend on position explicitly, and should act to minimise the free energy. So, there should be flow from areas of high to low chemical potential,
Figure 2.1: The excess energy of mixing $\Delta g$ for $\text{Fe}_{1-\phi}\text{Cr}_\phi$ as a function of $\phi$ (a) adapted from [7] (b) showing Redlich-Kister fit at low $\phi$. 
\( \mu = \frac{\delta G [\phi]}{\delta \phi}. \)  

(2.6)

The simplest form of \( J \) which suffices is

\[ J = -M \nabla \mu \]  

(2.7)

where \( M \) is the mobility, which must be a positive function of \( \phi \).

Now, we can derive expressions for the dynamics of the alloy. Firstly we obtain the chemical potential

\[ \mu = \rho \left( g_{Cr} - g_{Fe} + \frac{d\Delta g}{d\phi} - 2\alpha^2 \nabla^2 \phi \right). \]  

(2.8)

Substituting the chemical potential into 2.7 gives

\[ J = -\rho M \left( \frac{d^2\Delta g}{d\phi^2} \nabla \phi + \alpha^2 \nabla^3 \phi \right) \]  

(2.9)

where the current consists of a non-linear diffusion term (linear in \( \nabla \phi \)) and a surface tension term (linear in \( \nabla^3 \phi \)).

Finally, we consider the diffusion coefficient \([D(\phi)]\) which is related to \( J \) by

\[ J = -D(\phi) \nabla \phi. \]  

(2.10)

Intuitively, a positive diffusion coefficient describes the flow from areas of high concentration to low, leading toward a stable mixture. We however, are interested in the range of \( \phi \) which gives a negative diffusion coefficient, which will lead to phase separation i.e. spatial segregation of the different components of the alloy. This is consistent with our previous observation that the excess free energy does not favour the dissolution of Cr in Fe at high concentrations. Given we are interested in the onset of this behaviour, we can assume our initial state is homogenous, so there is no significant phase separation. Based on this assumption, we can neglect the
$a^2 \nabla^3 \phi$ interfacial term from 2.9. Now comparing 2.9 to 2.10 determines the diffusion coefficient as

$$D(\phi) = \rho M \left( \frac{d^2 \Delta g}{d\phi^2} \right). \tag{2.11}$$

So, the diffusion coefficient becomes negative if the second derivative of the free energy is negative. Referring back to figure 2.1, we are interested in the inflection point of the $\Delta g$ curve. Expanding out our expression for $\Delta g$ to be in terms of $\phi$ rather than $(2\phi - 1)$ we have

$$\Delta g = \phi (1 - \phi) \sum_i G_i \phi^i \tag{2.12}$$

with new coefficients $G_i$ given in table 2.1. The second derivative is then

$$\frac{d^2 \Delta g}{d\phi^2} = 2(G_1 - G_0) + 6(G_2 - G_1)\phi + 12(G_3 - G_2)\phi^2 + 20(G_4 - G_3)\phi^3 - 30G_4\phi^4 \tag{2.13}$$

which is plotted in 2.2. $\frac{d^2 \Delta g}{d\phi^2}$ is negative above 17% Cr content, implying an instability in alloys of this composition, causing phase separation. This percentage is in the range of the onset of passive film formation, which suggests that if we introduce a surface the current that is caused by this instability will result in a net migration of chromium to the surface. This would allow for the build up of excess Cr at the surface, sufficient for the formation of a thermodynamically stable oxide layer.

An extension of the above analysis to include a surface quickly becomes difficult. However, because this system is intrinsically atomistic we are strongly motivated to try an atomistic modelling approach to investigate this instability further. Chapter 3 will present our atomistic modelling approach which will be applied to Fe-Cr alloys in chapter 4.
Figure 2.2: The second derivative of the excess free energy of mixing as a function of $\phi$. 
Chapter 3

Kinetic Monte Carlo methods

The methodology we used to build our computational model was kinetic Monte Carlo, a fast stochastic method for modelling dynamic atomistic systems. This chapter will first give a brief introduction to KMC and transition state theory primarily based on the approach by A. Voter [30], followed by the specifics of our Fe-Cr alloy model.

To outline the KMC method, consider a lattice of atoms in a geometry relaxed in terms of energy, state $i$. At a finite temperature, the atoms will vibrate. This does not constitute a change in state. If we were to minimise the energy the atoms would return to their initial configuration. The system as a whole has remained in the same potential energy well. Occasionally an atom might oscillate far enough so that it hops to a new lattice site. When relaxed, the system then has a different configuration $j$, having overcome an energy barrier $\Delta E$ and sits in a different potential well. In this case, we now consider that the system has changed state.

Given the difference in time scales between vibrations and state transitions, the system has no memory of how it came to be in the present state. The trajectory from state to state is therefore a random walk, and the probability of the system moving to a new state in any short increment of time is the same as the previous increment. This gives rise to exponential decay statistics (analogous to nuclear decay), relating the probability of escaping
a state to the total rate $R$ for escape from the state. The probability that the
system remains in state $i$ of the system for a time $t$

$$p_{\text{survival}}(t) = \exp(-Rt).$$  \hfill (3.1)$$

The total rate $R$ is the sum of the rates $R_{ij}$, where $j$ is any possible config-
uration the system can escape to from $i$.

It is then easy to envisage an algorithm that allows us to propagate the
system from state to state in a stochastic manner, such that the probability
of a particular event being executed is proportional to the corresponding
rate. The so called rejection free, or Bortz, Karlos, and Lebowitz (BKL)
algorithm [5], is described below

1. Calculate the total rate $R = \sum_{n=1}^{N} R_n$ retaining the partial sums $S_j = \sum_{n=1}^{j} R_n$.

2. Select a random number $r \in [0, 1)$

3. Search through the list of partial sums until $rR < S_j$

4. Execute event $j$ and update all rates appropriately

After each KMC step, time is iterated by an amount $dt$,

$$dt = \frac{1}{R}$$ \hfill (3.2)$$

While simplistic, this time iteration makes intuitive sense. If two events
occur at differing rates, the time average of something occurring is obvi-
ously less than the period of either event.

Transition state theory allows us to calculates the rates $R_{ij}$ for each
event, by considering the flux through a saddle point on the energy surface
such that

$$R_{ij} = \nu_0 \exp \left[ \frac{-\Delta E}{k_B T} \right]$$ \hfill (3.3)$$
where $\nu_0$ is an attempt frequency on the order of atomic vibrations, usually taken as $10^{13}s^{-1}$ for diffusion events [30]. The energy barriers can be calculated outside of the KMC algorithm, and included using a rate catalog, or bond counting scheme. Figure 3.1 gives an example of an energy barrier for an atom diffusing to a similar site.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{energy_barrier.png}
\caption{(a) Shows the energy barrier, $\Delta E$, crossed by an atom moving from position 1 to position 2 as shown in (b). The sites are equivalent, so the energy for relaxed state $i$ is equal to that of state $j$.}
\end{figure}

A molecular dynamics (MD) simulation would evolve the system by solving Newton’s equations of motion with an inter-atomic potential. While time steps would be limited to less than that of atomic vibrations, the full dynamics of the system can be calculated. Kinetic Monte Carlo allows us to model the infrequent events without the computational expense of solving the full equations of motion, or the restrictive time step required to resolve atomic vibrations. The penalty for the lower computational expense is a loss of generality. All possible events must be predetermined, meaning no surprising transport mechanisms will be revealed.

The starting point for our KMC model was code developed by Dmitri Scherbachov and Tim Schulze [26]. Their KMC code was designed to
model melting of nanoclusters on a face centered cubic (FCC) lattice. It allowed for phase change and diffusion events for two species in a fixed energy regime. This section will describe the implemented KMC algorithm, extensions made to the KMC code, and the measurement of physical parameters.

3.1 Schulze algorithm

While the original KMC algorithm in itself has a low computational expense, it can be further improved upon. If we consider $M$ independent processes, the BKL algorithm scales as $O(M)$, or, with an efficient binary search such as the method of Blue, Beichl and Sullivan [3], $O(\log M)$. The Schulze algorithm [26], minimises searching by grouping similar events, those with the same rate, together in an ordered list, which is in theory independent of $M$. In practice, increased memory requirements do impose some expense that scales with $M$.

The simulations here utilised a crystal lattice which is built into the algorithm. Essentially, a fixed array of lattice sites are considered and their occupation and associated rates updated throughout the simulation. This approach is simpler, and computationally more efficient than tracking the location of particular atoms throughout the simulations.

Rates are considered to depend on the local environment of a site, which limits the number of different processes $N$, such that $N \ll M$. That is, are fewer types of events ($N$) than the total number of possible events ($M$). An ordered list of similar lattice sites is maintained, as well as an index of the partitions from which the counts $C_n$ of the number of ways event $n$ could occur is obtained. The algorithm then proceeds as follows,

1. Calculate the total rate

$$R = \sum_{n=1}^{N} R_n C_n$$
retaining the partial sums $S_n$.

2. Select a random number $r \in [0, R)$

3. Search through the list of partial sums $S_n$ until $r < S_n$

4. Select an event ($m$) from the set of events that occur at this rate by computing

$$m = \text{int} \left( \frac{S_n - r}{R_n} \right) + 1$$

5. Execute that event and update the local environment

6. For the events that have their rates changed from $R_{n_i}$ to $R_{n_f}$ (a) move them up/down the list to the appropriate partition and update the list index (b) increase $C_{n_f}$ by 1, and decrease $C_{n_i}$ by 1

Note that, when an event is selected in 3 the search is through a short list. When an event is chosen from the subset in 4 it is by calculation rather than search. This is where the computational saving is made. The update in step 6 is further complicated by keeping track of a surface and passivation, this will be expanded upon in section 3.3.

### 3.2 Basic implementation

#### 3.2.1 BCC Lattice

The ground state crystal structure for both pure Fe and Cr and alloys is body centered cubic (BCC), with experimentally and theoretically determined lattice parameters ($a$) ranging from 2.84Å to 2.87Å for pure Fe through to 2.85Å to 2.88Å for pure Cr [32].

Any regular crystal lattice can be constructed by a tiling of a basis set of sites using a linear combination of primitive lattice vectors. A BCC lattice can be visualised by considering a cubic lattice with an additional atom at the centre of each cube. These central atoms form a second cubic lattice.
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However, rather than considering the BCC lattice as tiled cubically, which would require a two atom basis set, we use a single atom basis set \( b_1 = 0 \), and the lattice vectors

\[
\begin{align*}
  a_1 &= \frac{a}{2} (-\hat{x} + \hat{y} + \hat{z}) \\
  a_2 &= \frac{a}{2} (\hat{x} - \hat{y} + \hat{z}) \\
  a_3 &= \frac{a}{2} (\hat{x} + \hat{y} - \hat{z})
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z} \) are the Cartesian unit vectors, and \( a \) is the lattice parameter.

Within simulations lattice coordinates were used. However, for visualisation where a standard xyz file format is required, we converted back to Cartesian coordinates. Our simulation lattice is cubic in lattice coordinates, there are an equal number of sites each of the lattice directions. The lattice becomes skewed in Cartesian coordinates as shown in figure 3.2.

![Figure 3.2](image-url)

**Figure 3.2:** A typical simulation lattice with 68921 atoms and 41 lattice planes. The lattice is (a) cubic in lattice coordinates and (b) skewed in Cartesian coordinates

It was particularly important to consider the nearest neighbours of a particular site, given that we implemented nearest neighbour migration,
and the nearest neighbour environment is considered in the bond counting scheme. The set of eight nearest neighbours for any given site in lattice coordinates is

$$\{nn_{i}^{8}\} = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

We also consider the 6 second nearest neighbours,

$$\{2nn_{i}^{6}\} = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

and the 12 third nearest neighbours

$$\{3nn_{i}^{12}\} = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

### 3.2.2 Migration rates

In our simulations, a diffusion event is explicitly a solid atom moving from an initial lattice site to a vacant final site. Following the Schulze algorithm, similar sites have the same possible event rates and are grouped together in an ordered list. The number of diffusion rates is determined by the possible configurations from which a hop can occur. A diffusion event can occur if a site is occupied by Fe or Cr and has between one and eight vacant nearest neighbours. Each non-vacant nearest neighbour can be either Fe or Cr, so we have $2 \times \sum_{i=1}^{8} i = 72$ diffusion rates.

The values of the energy barriers, $\Delta E$, for Fe-Cr systems have, in some cases, been well established through density functional theory calculations and MD modelling. Energy barriers for vacancy assisted nearest neigh-
bour migration for Fe and Cr in a pure Fe background are accepted to be in the range of 0.65eV to 0.67eV and 0.52eV to 0.56eV, respectively [22, 4]. Note that Cr is more mobile than Fe.

However, we require variation in $\Delta E$ dependant on the concentration of Cr in the local environment and also expect lower energy barriers at the surface. We therefore calculate the energy barriers $\Delta E$ using a typical bond counting scheme, each nearest neighbour bond to the initial site is considered to linearly contribute to $\Delta E$ [10]. The energy barrier for a Cr atom to move to a vacant nearest neighbour is then

$$\Delta E_{Cr} = e_{CrCr} \times n_{Cr} + e_{FeCr} \times n_{Fe}$$

(3.4)

similarly for Fe

$$\Delta E_{Fe} = e_{FeCr} \times n_{Cr} + e_{FeFe} \times n_{Fe}$$

(3.5)

where $e_{CrCr}$, $e_{FeCr}$ and $e_{FeFe}$ are the energy contributions for each bond of that nature, and $n$ gives the number of nearest neighbours occupied by Fe or Cr.

For more detail we can look at the work of Chen et al [8] who investigate the migration of Cr-vacancy clusters using the dimer and nudged elastic band (NEB) methods to search for the minimum free energy path. They calculate that a vacancy assisted hop to a 2nd nearest neighbour site has an energy barrier of 2.0eV, which establishes that nearest neighbour migration will be sufficient for our model. Amongst their calculations are values for energy barriers for both Fe and Cr migration, with 1-2 vacant nearest neighbours, and up to 2 Cr present in the local environment. From which we assign $e_{FeFe} = 0.094eV$, $e_{FeCr} = 0.08eV$, and $e_{CrCr} = 0.01eV$, resulting in a regime where Cr is the more mobile species. The bond energy contribution for Cr-Cr bonds is low, so it would be inappropriate to use this for alloys primarily composed of Cr, as the energy barriers would be so low that the applicability of Boltzmann statistics would come into question. However, in our model we are interested in Cr reaching a threshold
level of up to 30%, so this is not a concern.

The bond energies chosen above give us a mobile Cr regime. If we include a surface in the simulation the combination of this higher mobility, and the fact that more events occur at the surface due to the greater number of atoms with vacant neighbours, results in a surface driven Cr current. We wish to compare the effect of a Cr current on surface Cr enrichment and passivation, to a situation where preferential Fe dissolution is the only mechanism. So we also run simulations where all atoms move like Fe, that is \( e_{\text{CrCr}} = e_{\text{FeCr}} = e_{\text{FeFe}} = 0.094 \text{eV} \), so that the current is removed, but Fe can still be dissolved selectively.

3.2.3 Three species

Given that vacancy assisted nearest neighbour migration is the preferential transport mechanism, we required each lattice site to contain one of three species; Fe, Cr or a vacancy. This requirement entailed a significant generalisation of the original two species KMC code.

Sites are grouped in the list based on their own occupation, and nearest neighbour environment. We determine and update throughout the simulation how many Fe, Cr, and vacant nearest neighbours a site has, on top of keeping track of that site’s own occupation. Given the fixed number of nearest neighbours (periodic boundary conditions were employed), three parameters are required achieve this. Whenever an event occurs, these parameters must be adjusted appropriately, the site moved to the appropriate location on the list, and the list index updated.

For book-keeping purposes all lattice sites are included in the list, even those with no applicable events. For example, we include sites with no vacant nearest neighbours, and therefore no available diffusion sites and also vacancies themselves. We are then easily able to update the local environment at the end of a KMC step, without requiring a list of variable length.
3.3 Surface implementation

We chose the $<110>$ close packed plane as our surface of interest. Introducing a surface added complications to the KMC code. In particular, introducing a surface initially resulted in significant disassociation of atoms from the surface. Also, we needed to keep track of where the surface was located throughout simulations in order to model dissolution.

3.3.1 Disassociation

With our bond counting scheme, atoms with the lowest number of solid neighbours have a lesser migration energy barrier $\Delta E$, and therefore are the most likely to move. However, the calculated $\Delta E$ does not include a significant contribution for complete disassociation from the solid. We therefore chose to disallow dissociative migration events, and generate separate rates for dissolution events.

However, disallowing events is subtle because it is dependant on information about the local environment of the final site of a hop. No consideration is given to the final site in the calculation of rates, and no information about it is stored in the list.

We do implement one control over final site selection which mitigates the disassociation effect. Once an event is selected, if there is a choice between a final site with all vacant neighbours and one with at least one solid neighbour, the latter is chosen. However, while this discourages disassociation, it does not eliminate it. Significant disassociation is still observed, as shown in figure 3.3. We can understand this effect given that once an event has been chosen a hop must be made, even if all final sites are disjoint from the surface.

A simple solution is to introduce post selection. That is, after an event has been selected, test the possible final sites. If they are all disjoint from the surface, discard the selection, do not increment time and return to the start of the KMC algorithm. While post selection does not add a large
computational expense, half the number of events selected are discarded. With such large numbers of events discarded our time iteration comes into question. While time is not incremented if an event is discarded, the time step for every event is dependant on the total rate, which includes contributions from a large number of events that we will never allow to occur.

Instead we implement a solution that stops rates being calculated for dissociative migration events. To comprehensively include the final site in the calculation of migration rates would be both impractical and computationally expensive, requiring $72^2$ rates to be calculated and arranged in the list.

In practice we do not need comprehensive information about the local environment of the final site. Instead, we designate another type of vacancy which is simply disallowed as a final hop site. We also allow the Fe, and Cr atoms to take a second type, fixed, rather than mobile.

A vacancy is disallowed if it is isolated from the surface. We could define isolation generally as a vacancy having most nearest neighbours vacant (not all, or it would not be considered as a final site anyway). However, we implement a rule specific to the $<110>$ surface plane, because it helps with the problem of tracking the surface as outlined in the following
section. If a vacancy has all four of its in-plane nearest neighbours vacant, it becomes disallowed. An atom then becomes fixed if all its nearest neighbour vacancies are disallowed. Note, if an atom has no vacant nearest neighbours, it remains designated as allowed. This avoids atoms in the bulk being flipped from allowed to disallowed unnecessarily. Figure 3.4 shows a 2D cubic lattice representation of these rules.

![2D cubic lattice representation of disassociation limiting rules. Dashed circles are vacant sites, blue are allowed final hop sites, red are disallowed. Filled circles are atoms; blue are mobile, red are fixed.](image)

Figure 3.4: 2D cubic lattice representation of disassociation limiting rules. Dashed circles are vacant sites, blue are allowed final hop sites, red are disallowed. Filled circles are atoms; blue are mobile, red are fixed.

A general update determines all disallowed vacancies and fixed atoms at the start of the simulation. No rates are calculated for fixed sites. A local update is then performed in the last step of the KMC algorithm. The local update in itself does not contribute significantly to the simulation time. However, there are now twice as many partitions in the list, which adds to the computational expense of shuffling sites up or down it.

With these conditions in place, vacancies must be introduced to the surface through dissolution, or the metal pre-defected for diffusion to occur.
3.3.2 Tracking surface

First, we need to define which atoms are considered to be at the surface, which is not entirely intuitive with the $<110>$ surface place. In this orientation a surface atom has four nearest neighbours in-plane. While one lattice vector is in-plane, the two remaining lattice vectors are not perpendicular to the surface plane, and therefore neither are the remaining nearest neighbours. We must look to the 5th nearest neighbour to find one atom directly above another. So, as vacancies are introduced, whether or not an atom is on the surface becomes less well defined.

Figure 3.5: BCC cell with a $<110>$ surface plane. No nearest neighbours are perpendicular to the surface plane.

Two arrays could store the uppermost atom in each of the two out of plane directions and thus define the surface. However, this method would present several problems in executing the KMC algorithm. Many sites would be duplicated across the two arrays, so, we would have to ensure such duplications were tracked, so that the counts $C_n$ for events were not overstated. Also, if there were deep holes in the surface running along the direction of only one lattice vector, a local update would be insufficient to maintain the surface arrays.

This situation is further complicated for a BCC lattice with vacancy assisted migration. The number of nearest neighbours in a BCC lattice is
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relatively low (8 compared to 12 in FCC). Given that there are also vacancies in the bulk, it is not sufficient to say that any atom with a large number of vacant nearest neighbours is therefore at the surface. On a defect free $<110>$ surface, there are only 2 vacant nearest neighbours to define the surface. We simulated a bulk system (with periodic boundary conditions in all lattice directions), and the results in figure 3.6, show that even with a low concentration of vacancies, events with two vacant nearest neighbours are common.

Figure 3.6: Proportion of migration events which occur with a set number of vacant nearest neighbours compared to the bulk concentration of vacancies in pure Fe at 700K.

However, the implemented disassociation limiting rules help us here. All atoms that are fixed are at the surface. But, more importantly, almost all atoms with two nearest neighbours at the surface are fixed. This is because the rule for disallowed vacancies is determined by in-plane nearest
neighbours. We therefore define our surface as all fixed atoms, and all atoms with greater than 3 vacant nearest neighbours are at the surface.

The first limitation of this definition is that atoms under a step edge remain mobile, and are therefore not included in the definition of surface. However, it is reasonable to consider that the mechanism for dissolution in this case is for the atoms to diffuse to the plane of the step edge and then dissolve.

Secondly, the surface must be defined by both nearest neighbours above an atom being vacant. This creates a hole directly above the site. So, an atom with only 1 vacancy above it can not dissolve. We also find this limitation to be acceptable since the probability of an atom with 7 solid nearest neighbours dissolving is very low.

A more stringent definition of surface, which avoids the first limitation can be employed in cases where we are not limited to storing the information within the list, and therefore the nearest neighbour environment. For example, when writing data about the surface to a file, we can consider beyond the nearest neighbour environment to define the surface, as this information need not be stored in the list. For any atoms that are poorly defined with regard to being on the surface, we check several sites above them, if these sites are vacant, the atom is then classed as being on the surface.

### 3.3.3 Dissolution Rates

Dissolution in Fe-Cr systems is dependant on external factors such as applied potential and pH [19, 24, 29]. While we have not included any sort of model of the external environment, we have built variable parameters into our model to control dissolution to approximate varying external environments.

A dissolution event removes 1 solid atom from the simulation, and the atom’s site becomes vacant. The energy barriers for dissolution events,
while still dependant on the nearest neighbour environment, were calculated more simplistically than diffusion rates. Only the total number of occupied nearest neighbours was taken into account, rather than their type,

$$\Delta E = e_{FeFe} \times (n_{Cr} + n_{Fe}).$$

(3.6)

The reason for this simplification was comparability. We wish to compare the rate of dissolution in alloys with and without a Cr current. To achieve this we employed the two different bond counting schemes for diffusion, Cr-mobile and Fe-like. If dissolution energy barriers were calculated with the same asymmetry as diffusion in the Cr-mobile regime, $\Delta E$ could be up to 15% lower than in the Fe-like regime. So, the time dependence of dissolution in the two schemes would be incomparable.

In calculating the rates for dissolution events, we introduce a variable prefactor $\nu_1$ such that

$$R_n = \nu_1 \nu_0 \exp \left[ \frac{-\Delta E}{k_b T} \right],$$

essentially allowing us to change likelihood of dissolution in relation to diffusion. The prefactor for Fe dissolution and Cr can be set independently. Primarily $\nu_1$ is varied by factors of 10 between $10^{-2} - 10^{-5}$ making a dissolution event 100 to $10^5$ time less likely than a diffusion event from the same site.

### 3.3.4 Passivation

Formation of the passive oxide film is not modelled explicitly. No oxygen atoms are included, nor have we made any attempt to model the oxide spinel lattice structure. Rather, on the BCC lattice, a condition for passivation is set and implemented. If a particular site becomes passivated, that atom can no longer diffuse or dissolve. This is a reasonable approximation to the early stages of film growth and bond formation.
We initially wanted to implement something like a nucleation and growth model of the passive film forming, while remembering that the key condition that we wanted to replicate was surface Cr enrichment. In considering an appropriate condition to apply for passivation, several factors become apparent. Firstly, in considering the local environment of a site for passivation, the nearest neighbour environment is too restrictive. A surface atom will typically have less than 6 nearest neighbours, meaning one Cr nearest neighbour corresponds to 17% Cr in the local environment, and 2 nearest neighbours is 33% Cr content in the local environment. This is clearly insufficient resolution to model a sharp onset of passivation. Secondly, if an atom becomes passivated, all atoms in the considered local environment must also be passivated, as if the oxide structure is forming. This should be applied to both surrounding Fe and Cr atoms. Otherwise, a mobile Cr could tip many Fe into becoming passive.

Comparison of the BCC lattice with the spinel lattice also illustrates that the nearest neighbour environment is too restrictive. There are no spinel cation neighbours within the same radius as the BCC 1st nearest neighbour distance irrespective of whether we are considering octahedral or tetrahedral sites. The same is true for the 2nd nearest neighbour distance. We must go to third nearest neighbour distance in the BCC lattice to find an acceptable approximation between the lattices.

Our rule for passivation was then set as follows: if an Fe atom is at the surface, and within the 3rd nearest neighbour distance the concentration of Cr exceeds a threshold level, the atom and all nearest neighbours within that radius are passivated, they can no longer diffuse or dissolve.

The threshold level of Cr is worked out as a concentration percentage, rather than a fixed number of Cr atoms. Otherwise atoms with few neighbours, would never become passivated, even if all these neighbours were Cr. Only Fe atoms are considered in applying the rule for passivation, otherwise two different percentages would be required for Fe, and Cr for consistency.
We can then compare this threshold value to first principles electronic structure calculations performed by Ingham et al. [13] who calculated threshold levels of $x$ for thermodynamic stable oxides, $\text{Fe}_{3-2x}\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_4$, that form on Fe-Cr alloys.

Passivation was not implemented as a stochastic process, hence there are no calculated rates. Rather, passivation is performed as an update after each KMC step. To apply the passivation rule consistently, a check for passivation was required in any cases where Fe or Cr migrated within 3rd nearest neighbour distance of a surface Fe atom and also, when Fe dissolved.

In addition, including 2 species that have no associated events provides an easy way to set a fixed boundary condition for the bottom plane in the simulation lattice. This is preferable to having two surfaces in the simulation box, because it allows us to study Cr migration in a single direction.

### 3.4 Summary

We have developed a kinetic Monte Carlo model which allows for diffusion and dissolution events as well as modelling the formation of a passive film.

Diffusion is dependant on the type of atom and its local environment. Most importantly we have two different diffusion regimes we can compare, one where everything diffuses like Fe and the second where Cr is more mobile. Dissolution events are determined by the number of solid neighbours and a prefactor which we control independently for different species. This allows us to vary both the likelihood of dissolution compared to diffusion, and also study the impact of Cr dissolution on Fe dissolution. Surface atoms can become passivated. We can control the threshold percentage of Cr required in the local environment for passivation to occur. We also control the temperature, simulations are run at fixed temperatures between 300K to 700K which impacts which events are more likely. Finally
the bulk concentration of Cr is varied, typically between 5% to 25%.

We have a range of parameters that we can vary to investigate their impact on the dissolution of Fe over time, results of which are given in the following section. Table 3.1 gives a summary of the events available for different species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Represents</th>
<th>Allowed Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Vacancy</td>
<td>Diffusion to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fe $v &lt; 3$</td>
<td>Diffusion from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$v \geq 3$ Diffusion from, Dissolution from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cr $v &lt; 3$</td>
<td>Diffusion from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$v \geq 3$ Diffusion from, Dissolution from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Vacancy</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Surface Fe</td>
<td>Dissolution from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Surface Cr</td>
<td>Dissolution from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Passive Fe</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Passive Cr</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1: Possible site occupancies and associated events within the KMC code, where ‘type’ is the numeric descriptor and ‘$v$’ is the number of vacant nearest neighbours.
Chapter 4

Results and analysis

This section presents and discusses results of KMC simulations of Fe-Cr alloys. We consider the current of Cr in periodic bulk simulations and in those which include a surface. In the latter case we consider how temperature, Cr content, and distribution of vacancies, impact on the current. We establish the time dependence of the rate of dissolution in pure Fe and compare Fe-Cr alloys to this baseline. Finally, we observe a threshold for passive film formation, and establish conditions for the level of this threshold. In particular, we consider the impact of the surface Cr current on passivation.

4.1 Chromium current

4.1.1 Bulk system

First we consider a bulk alloy. When Fe-Cr alloys are thermally aged, Cr precipitation occurs, called $\alpha$–phase separation. The $\alpha$–phase precipitate is not pure Cr but contains 5%–15% Fe [4]. This separation occurs if the Cr content of the alloy is greater than 9% and above temperatures of 600K [32]. Simulations that have successfully described $\alpha$–phase separation perform a full electronic relaxation every time step, a significant
computational expense [31, 32, 4]. Bonny et al [4] calculate \(10^{10}\) computational steps requiring on the order of 1 month of computational time. Their simulations contain 128000 atoms at 700K giving approximately 14s simulated time for Fe-Cr alloys containing 12-18% Cr and are able to determine the onset of precipitate formation. A comparable calculation using our KMC model requires only 4 days of simulation time, but is unable to resolve the onset of Cr precipitation. With 30s of simulated Fe_{85}Cr_{15} with 132650 atoms (and 1 vacant site) we see no increase in the number of Cr-Cr bonds, indicating no general aggregation of Cr.

The limitation is our linear bond counting scheme. Given the nearest neighbour limitation, it cannot account for long range interactions as Cr groups together. However, the time scale for significant \(\alpha\)-phase separation is on the order of weeks to months [22], much greater than the time scales for passive film formation. Even the initial onset time of seconds is longer than the timescales we model for the onset of passivation, which are on the order of ms.

One bulk effect we do need to be conscious of in our simulations is that if the %Cr is low and the percentage of vacancies is high (> 5%), vacancies tend to aggregate. Figure 4.1 illustrates this aggregation in a bulk simulation with 10% of lattice sites vacant, after 7\(\mu\)s of simulation time significant aggregation of vacancies is observed. This effect quickly decreases below 5% vacancy content, and is indistinguishable at 2%. Figure 3.6 in the methods section reiterates this point. Below 3% vacancy content, there are very few events with more than 3 vacant nearest neighbours, indicating that vacancies are remaining well mixed in the system. However, in simulations that include dissolution we must still keep track of the amount of vacancies introduced to the bulk. In particular, if many vacancies are introduced via dissolution, and aggregation occurs, our definition of surface atoms could break down. Typically though, vacancies introduced via dissolution number on the order of 10% of the number in a surface plane. This equates to 0.25% vacancies in the bulk, well below the level required
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4.1.2 Surface system

We model a close-packed nearest surface plane containing 1681 atoms, which has an area of 110nm². The block has a depth of 34 atomic planes or 90nm. The initial distribution of atoms is random. A random number, \( r \), on the range (0,1) is drawn for each lattice site, if that number is less than \( \phi \) the site is occupied by Cr, otherwise Fe, with the exception of the surface plane. To emulate the introduction of vacancies by dissolution 10% of sites in the surface plane are initially vacant. Again occupation is selected by random number draw for each site. If \( r < 0.1 \) the site is vacant, and if \( r < 0.1 + 0.9\phi \) the site is occupied by Cr, otherwise Fe. This maintains the ratio of Fe to Cr in the surface plane. There are in total 56,986 atoms in the simulation, and approximately 168 vacant sites available for vacancy aggregation.

Figure 4.1: Pure Fe with 10% of lattice sites vacant. Vacancies are shown in black (a) initial random distribution (b) final distribution of vacancies after 7\( \mu \)s, aggregation of vacancies is observed.
assisted diffusion.

In modelling a surface rather than a bulk system, we observe a current of Cr towards the surface. Figure 4.2 shows the final distribution of Cr in alloys with 5% to 25% chromium content at temperatures 300K, 500K, and 700K. The proportion of Cr in each plane parallel to the surface is plotted. All curves in figure 4.2 are shown after $10^7$ computational steps rather than at a fixed time, in order to illustrate the computational barriers to simulating at varying temperatures.

We first observe that in the majority of cases the proportion of Cr is significantly enriched in the surface plane compared to the random distribution in the rest of the block. For example, at 700K there is at least 10% more Cr in the surface plane. The second plane from the surface is depleted, indicating a significant amount of the additional surface Cr originated from there.

Given that Cr was randomly distributed in the initial configurations, it is clear that Cr has moved to the surface. This current results from the combination of the higher mobility of Cr atoms, and the number of events which occur at the surface. Since all atoms at the surface are in contact with vacancies, there are more atoms with low energy barriers at the surface, and therefore more events occur there. Secondly, if an atom moves from the second plane to the surface plane it is more likely to be a Cr atom, because the energy barriers for Cr diffusion are lower than for Fe.

This surface enrichment of Cr occurs irrespective of whether vacancies are introduced at the surface, or distributed randomly through the bulk. Though, the process starts more slowly in the latter case. The simulations in figure 4.2 have 10% of lattice sites in the surface plane vacant emulating the introduction of vacancies through dissolution. The migration of Cr is to the surface plane is sharp, as shown in Figure 4.3, for Fe$_{0.85}$Cr$_{0.15}$ at 700K. The vacant sites quickly propagate into the bulk, and Cr atoms primarily fill their places. Figure 4.3 also shows the progression of Cr build up when the same total number of vacancies are distributed randomly throughout
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Figure 4.2: Distribution of Cr in planes parallel to the surface after $10^7$ computational steps at temperatures (a) 700K (b) 500K (c) 300K. The legend gives the percentage of Cr in the alloy. $41 \times 41 \times 34$ block.
the bulk. In this case the surface build of Cr is slower, when vacancies migrate to and from the surface, they allow for the gradual redistribution of Cr there.

Now let us consider temperature effects. At varying temperatures, different events become accessible to the system. Cr migration has lower energy barriers, so is the more prevalent event. More so, Cr migration is proportionally more prevalent at lower temperatures. So in Fe$_{0.75}$Cr$_{0.25}$ at 700K, 4% of events are Fe migration, at 500K this drops to 1.6%. The effect is more pronounced when there is lower Cr content in the alloy as more Fe in the system results in more Fe events. So in Fe$_{0.95}$Cr$_{0.05}$ at 700K, 60% of events are Fe migration, which drops to 39% at 500K. At 300K nearly all events are Cr migration. Only 0.5% with 75% Fe content, and 14% with 95% Fe content, of events are Fe migration. Rather than enriching the surface more rapidly, the process seems to be inhibited. So, interestingly some Fe diffusion is required to allow Cr build up at the surface. A possible reason is that the same Cr atoms are moving back and forth into the same vacancies. This idea is supported by the lack of vacancies which propagate into planes below the surface at 300K. At 300K, when the Cr content is below 15%, no vacancies propagate below the surface plane. The same is true at 700K but, only at 5% Cr content. However, in Fe$_{0.75}$Cr$_{0.25}$ at 300K only 5 vacancies of 170 are found below this plane and do not reach a depth lower than the 3rd plane. Compare this to 700K, where 38 vacancies spread to the furthermost plane from the surface in Fe$_{0.75}$Cr$_{0.25}$.

So, at lower temperatures, similar patterns of surface Cr build up are occurring. But, more computational steps are required to model the current. For this reason simulations in the following sections are performed at 700K.

Finally let us consider the time scales over which this flow to the surface is occurring. At 700K simulations cover between 19µs for Fe$_{0.75}$Cr$_{0.25}$ to 150µs for Fe$_{0.95}$Cr$_{0.05}$. So, at a set physical time the difference with increasing surface chromium enrichment will be more pronounced than in
Figure 4.3: Evolution of %Cr in the surface plane of an Fe$_{0.85}$Cr$_{0.15}$ alloy over time at 700K when in the initial configuration (a) 10% of surface sites are vacant (b) the same number of vacancies are spread throughout the alloy.
figure 4.2, indicating the current of Cr to the surface is slower with decreased Cr content.

At 700K on a longer time scale, 1ms, the surface current slows. The surface Cr enrichment appears to reach a maximum level around 40%. Suzuki et al. [28] have experimentally observed the enrichment of Cr at the surface. They estimate the thickness of the segregated zone as less than 1 nm. Our atomic plane thickness is 0.24nm. They also found that the segregated area contains roughly 3 times the content of Cr in the alloy, 45% for an alloy containing 13% Cr, and 69% for 25%. So, while our model doesn’t entirely reproduce this variation in surface enrichment, it is particularly accurate for lower alloy Cr contents, where we expect to observe changing passivation behaviour.

In summary, while our bond counting scheme is too simplistic to model phase separation in the bulk, introducing a surface allows us to simulate a surface driven Cr current. It is important to note though, that while the current increases with higher Cr content in the alloy, there is no onset of this current at a particular %Cr. However, we want to establish the impact of a Cr current on surface Cr enrichment. In particular, we want to compare this mechanism to enrichment resulting purely from preferential Fe dissolution. So, if we allow all the atoms to move like Fe atoms, by removing the asymmetry in the bond counting scheme, we can turn off the Cr current. Cr atoms are then no more mobile than Fe, and the current no longer occurs. If we still differentiate between Fe and Cr for dissolution events, we then have a viable comparison between mechanisms of surface Cr enrichment.

4.2 Dissolution

In the following section we will further investigate the Cr current and surface Cr enrichment when the method of vacancy introduction is dissolution.
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4.2.1 Pure Fe

In modelling the onset of passivation, we are interested in any changes in the progression of Fe dissolution over time, so, we need a baseline of dissolution behaviour for comparison. Here we simulate a pure iron system to establish the pattern of dissolution in a system where there is no passivation occurring. Again, the initial dimensions of the system are 34 atomic planes, each containing 1681 atoms. Here, all atoms are Fe, and there are initially no vacancies in the block.

To study dissolution over time we consider the cumulative number of Fe atoms that have dissolved and the rate of dissolution. Figure 4.4 plots the total dissolved Fe over time for two values of $\nu_1$, $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-4}$, meaning dissolution is 100, and 10000 less likely than diffusion. In both cases, there is a consistent linear increase in the amount of dissolved Fe, with a repetitive oscillation around this trend. The linear trend continues until the remaining undissolved material in the simulated block becomes limited. We observe no overall time dependence to the rate of dissolution.

The oscillations are a size effect related to the number of atoms in the simulated surface plane. There are 1681 atoms in each plane parallel to the surface. As seen in figure 4.5, dissolution is primarily proceeding one plane at a time, starting more slowly when there are few vacancies in the surface plane. Then, proceeding most quickly when there are many atoms on the surface with more than 2 vacant nearest neighbours.

The oscillations are less pronounced when dissolution is more likely. In the case where dissolution is much quicker $\nu_1 =10^{-2}$ dissolution is occurring in several planes at a time.

Let us compare our simulated rate of Fe dissolution to experimental results. Bessone et al [1] measure the maximum current density of Fe cations dissolving in a pH 4-5.5 solution as $1\text{Acm}^{-2}$. This corresponds to a dissolution rate of $\sim 3 \times 10^{20}$ dissolutions $s^{-1}m^{-2}$. Over the area considered in our simulations ($\sim 100\text{nm}^2$) this gives a $\sim 3 \times 10^4$ dissolutions $s^{-1}$. With $\nu_1 =10^{-4}$ in our simulations the dissolution rate is 2 orders of magnitude
Figure 4.4: Total dissolved Fe in a pure Fe lattice containing 34 planes parallel to the $<110>$ surface, each containing 1681 atoms (a) $\nu_1=10^{-4}$ (b) $\nu_1=10^{-2}$
Figure 4.5: Dissolution in pure Fe at 700K with $\nu_1 = 10^{-4}$ at (a) $t = 0$, no dissolved Fe (b) $t = 1.2$ms, the number of dissolved Fe atoms is equal to half the total number in a plane (c) $t = 1.9$ms, the number of dissolved Fe atoms is equal to the total number in a plane. The block has 34 planes parallel to the $<110>$ surface, each containing 1681 atoms.
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larger, $\sim 10^6$ dissolutions s$^{-1}$. Consider though that these experiments were conducted at 333K. Our simulation is at 700K, at lower temperatures diffusion and dissolution rates slow, as does the Cr current. So, while we conduct simulations at 700K, we will consider trends as $\nu_1$ is lowered from $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-5}$.

A final point to note is that significant dissolution limits the time scales that can be reached in simulations. The associated rates are short, diffusion is unlikely, and consequently the time associated with each computational step is short.

4.2.2 Alloys

Now we can investigate the time dependence of Fe dissolution in Fe-Cr alloys compared to the baseline behaviour in pure iron. We again look at a block with the same dimensions, 34 atomic planes of area 110nm$^2$, and no initial vacancies. Initially we allow only Fe dissolution.

We find that, even with passivation turned off, the rate of dissolution decreases over time. This effect is due to the proportion of Cr at the surface increasing. There are less possible Fe atoms to dissolve from the surface, so, the probability of dissolution occurring is lowered.

Figure 4.6 compares the total Fe dissolution over time in an Fe$_{0.85}$Cr$_{0.15}$ alloy to pure Fe. In both the Fe-like and Cr-mobile regimes there is a decrease in the rate of dissolution over time, indicating that surface Cr enrichment occurs in both cases. However, the change is more significant in the Cr-like regime, indicating a significant Cr surface enrichment resulting from the Cr current rather than preferential Fe dissolution. Less dissolution occurs in the Cr-mobile regime for a particular level of surface enrichment to be achieved. This is significant when considering experimental conditions where Cr surface enrichment occurs with little or no Fe dissolution [19]. The triangles in figure 4.6 show the point where the percentage of Cr surface atom has increase from 15% to 23%. One third as
Figure 4.6: Total Fe dissolution over time in pure Fe and Fe<sub>85</sub>Cr<sub>15</sub> with and without Cr current at 700K. The triangles show the point at which surface Cr content has increased from 15% to 23%. The simulation block is 34 planes parallel to the <110> surface, each containing 1681 atoms.
many Fe dissolutions have occurred at this point with the Cr current compared to without, showing a Cr current could be appropriate for situations where passivation occurs with minimal Fe dissolution.

We can convert the total Fe dissolution over time into a dissolution rate, as plotted in figure 4.7. It is clear that the rate of dissolution is not constant with time. Also plotted is the Fe dissolution when Cr dissolution is allowed at a rate 10 times less than diffusion. It is interesting to note that with no Cr current, Cr dissolution hastens Fe dissolution, particularly in the trough where the rates are lowest. More vacant neighbours left by Cr dissolution allows more Fe dissolution. The same effect is not seen in the Cr-mobile regime, perhaps indicating that instead Cr is diffusing from below to fill these vacancies. In both cases the rate of surface Cr enrichment...
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is slowed marginally with Cr dissolution. It is interesting that this is the case in both situations, even though the dissolution rate is little changed with the Cr current in place. The increased rate of dissolution seems to help reach the required amount of Fe dissolution for surface enrichment more quickly compensating somewhat for the loss of Cr itself.

To compare the effect of the set rate of dissolution on surface Cr enrichment, figure 4.8 plots the increase in the percentage of the surface that is Cr against the total number of dissolved Fe, for Fe$_{0.85}$Cr$_{0.15}$. This avoids the vastly differing timescales for dissolution, although the data does become noisy when the rate of dissolution is slow. Several features are of interest.

Firstly, consider the data for the Fe-like regime. The surface Cr content increases approximately linearly with the amount of dissolved Fe. This is explained by preferential Fe dissolution being the only mechanism for

Figure 4.8: Surface Cr enrichment in Fe$_{85}$Cr$_{15}$ at varied $\nu_1$ with and without Cr current at 700K in a 41$\times$41 simulation block.
surface enrichment. An Fe atom must dissolve for the proportion of Cr at the surface to increase.

In the Cr-mobile regime a more dramatic increase is seen. The Cr current allows the surface to become enriched with less Fe dissolution. The rate of increase is greatest initially. Only 100 atoms dissolve for an increase from 15% Cr content to 20% compared to 800 atoms without the Cr current.

Finally let us consider variation with $\nu_1$. In the Fe-like regime the curves for $\nu_1 = 10^{-3}$ and $\nu_1 = 10^{-4}$ are similar. However, at $\nu_1 = 10^{-5}$ there is much more noise, due to the extended time scale between each dissolution event. The surface Cr content, in fact, decreases by 2% before increasing linearly.

In the Cr-mobile regime, there is little difference between the curves at $\nu_1 = 10^{-4}$ and $\nu_1 = 10^{-5}$. However, when $\nu_1 = 10^{-3}$ the rate of enrichment is considerably slower, indicating that dissolution is occurring too quickly for the Cr current to enrich the surface and slow the rate of dissolution as effectively. The relative time scales of the Cr current and Fe dissolution therefore determine how significant the Cr current is to surface enrichment.

4.3 Passive film behaviour

Now we introduce surface passivation. Here, we illustrate that our model shows a clear threshold chromium content for a passive film to form, and investigate the impact of the Cr current and rate of dissolution on the level of this threshold.

Figure 4.9 shows the total corroded Fe over time for alloys with bulk %Cr varying from 5% to 25%. The condition for the formation of the passive film is set at 20%. There is a clear sharp transition in behaviour with increased bulk Cr content. At 8% Cr content and below dissolution is continuous. However, with more than 10% Cr content, after an initial period
Figure 4.9: (a) Total Fe dissolution and (b) dissolution rate over time in alloys for bulk Cr content varied from 5% to 25% with the local environment threshold for passivation set at 20% Cr, at 700K with 34 planes parallel to the surface containing 1681 atoms each. A clear change in behaviour is observed between 8% to 10% bulk Cr content.
of dissolution, the rate of dissolution slows and ceases.

Figure 4.10: 700K, local threshold for passivation is 20% (a) Fe$_{92}$Cr$_8$ continued dissolution (b) Fe$_{90}$Cr$_{10}$ a passive film forms. Atoms colours; blue = Fe, red = Cr, green = passive Fe, yellow = passive Cr.
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Figure 4.10 shows snapshots of the simulations in the two cases either side of the transition, 8% and 10% Cr content, to illustrate the difference in behaviour. The final frame of figure 4.10(b) shows that a complete film of passive material has formed, inhibiting further dissolution. While figure 4.10(a) shows the passivated areas are too disjoint to allow a continuous film to form and hence dissolution continues.

Now we can consider the impact of the Cr-current on passive film formation. Figure 4.11 shows total dissolution curves at the same set of alloy Cr contents, 5% to 25%. Again, the local threshold for surface passivation is 20%. There is a clear difference in behaviour. A passive film forms at 10% Cr content with the Cr current, but not until 15% without.

Here, let us consider two borderline cases for passivation. Firstly, we consider the 15% Cr case in figure 4.11(b) mentioned above to be passivated. This model is designed to emulate the onset of passive film formation. It is assumed that, after the initial layer forms other mechanisms of growth not modeled here will take over. In this case, we observe the cessation of dissolution indicating the onset of passivation. After some time dissolution resumes at a fast rate. In this situation vacancies have aggregated under the passive layer, the definition of surface has been compromised, and dissolution starts occurring from the center of the block rather than the surface.

The second borderline case, is the 9% curve in figure 4.9, which appears to be right between a passive film forming and not. The first frames of figure 4.10 show that in the Fe$_{0.90}$Cr$_{0.10}$ alloy, where a film forms, 38% of the surface is already passivated, while only 25% of the Fe$_{0.92}$Cr$_{0.08}$ is passivated at the start of the simulation. In the borderline Fe$_{0.91}$Cr$_{0.09}$ the initial surface passivation is 37%. Perhaps if the distribution of Cr was different and the initial surface passivation lower, this alloy would be observed to definitively not form a passive film. So, the distribution of Cr at the surface could be impacting the formation of a passive film for alloys that are borderline. This effect could warrant further investigation.
Figure 4.11: Comparison of passivation in (a) mobile-Cr regime (b) Fe-like regime, for fixed alloy mixtures from 5\% Cr content to 25\% Cr content at 700K. The simulation block has 34 planes parallel to the surface containing 1681 atoms each.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\nu$</th>
<th>Cr-Mobile</th>
<th>Fe-like</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-5}$</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{-3}$</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: Minimum bulk Cr content required for passive film to form when the local passivation threshold is 20%.

Table 4.1 summarises the minimum Cr content that will result in a film forming when the local passivation threshold is set to 20%. It is clear that when there is a Cr current the minimum bulk level of Cr required is around 5% less than without. The Cr current could therefore be a significant consideration in the formation of the passive film. In particular, in experimental conditions where passivation occurs with minimal dissolution [19].

We expected that when we have a Cr current, the required content of Cr in the alloy to enrich the surface to a particular level decreases with decreasing dissolution rate. Figure 4.8 shows that surface enrichment occurs with less dissolution at lower dissolution rate. However, this was not the case when no Cr current was present. Yet, the same decreasing trend in alloy threshold is observed. This perhaps indicates some limitations in the description of passive film growth we employ.

The onset of the Cr current also fits with the sharp onset of passive film formation with increasing Cr content. Our analytical model predicts that there is a Cr current above 17% Cr content in the alloy. First consider an alloy with Cr content just below this threshold in an experimental setup in which it does not passivate. Then consider an alloy with Cr content just above this threshold, in the same experimental setup. The latter could
form a passive film of a higher Cr content.

4.4 Summary

This section has presented results of KMC simulations on both bulk and surface configurations. We determined that while our simplistic bond counting scheme does not reproduce $\alpha$-phase separation in the bulk, we can simulate a surface driven Cr current. This current enriches the surface irrespective of where vacancies originate. We found that surface enrichment occurs with less dissolution with the Cr current compared to without and found that this enrichment slows dissolution even with no modelling of passivation. We observe a threshold for passive film formation which decreases with Cr current, and decreasing rate of dissolution. These results are further summarised in the following section, which concludes this work.
Chapter 5

Conclusions

Given the industrial importance of stainless steels, a thorough understanding of the factors impacting corrosion and the mechanisms of passive film formation is desirable.

Previous experimental studies have determined that the onset of passivation in Fe-Cr alloys occurs sharply at a set Cr percentage, and both first principles calculations and experiments confirm the protective oxide layer which forms is enriched in Cr compared to the alloy itself.

We have proposed a model for the onset of passivity which relates passive film formation to an instability in the alloy. Using a Cahn-Hilliard type model and parameters fitted to ab initio data we derive the onset of a phase separating current at 17% Cr content in the bulk alloy.

We took an atomistic approach to investigate the impact of a Cr current to the surface on passivation. We implemented Schulze’s fast kinetic Monte Carlo algorithm with extensions to allow for vacancy assisted nearest neighbour migration, tracking a surface, dissolution and surface passivation. We modelled a surface driven Cr current resulting from the higher mobility of Cr atoms, and the larger number of events occurring at the surface.

We found that with no dissolution occurring this current still enriches the surface, irrespective of whether vacancies originate in the bulk or at
the surface. The maximum level of enrichment reached, 40%, is within the range found experimentally by Suzuki et al. [28]. Also, this current results in fewer Cr atoms dissolving for a set surface Cr enrichment compared to enrichment resulting purely from preferential Fe dissolution. What is more, the disparity increases as the dissolution rate slows. This is particularly important because experimental dissolution rates are slower than the timescales we can model computationally. This indicates our model is able to account for situations where little or no Fe dissolves, and yet a Cr enriched oxide film still forms, a significant limitation of previous models which rely on preferential Fe dissolution as the only mechanism for surface Cr enrichment.

When we modelled passive surface formation, we observed a sharp onset of passivation. We found that the threshold level of alloy Cr content required to form a passive surface with a particular passivation condition decreased with decreasing current. In addition, the required alloy content for passivation was consistently $\sim 5\%$ lesser when we simulated a Cr current as opposed to without. This indicates that the onset of the Cr current at a particular level could result in a sharp change in behaviour. If the thermodynamically stable film for an experimental setup fell between that range, a sharp onset of passivation would occur with the onset of a current.

There are several directions in which this project could be extended.

Firstly, while Cr dissolution affected the rate of Fe dissolution, particularly if no Cr current was present, little impact was seen on the level of surface Cr enrichment. Given that few models allow for Cr dissolution, this could warrant further investigation. In our simulations up to 3% of the total dissolved material was Cr. Hamm et al. [12] observed up to 10% of the total dissolved material was Cr experimentally, so there is room to investigate higher rates of Cr dissolution.

Secondly, it is interesting that the required alloy content for passivation decreases with decreasing dissolution rate when there is no Cr current.
Our dissolution simulations indicate that a set number of Fe dissolutions is required for surface Cr enrichment, independent of the dissolution rate. So perhaps, our mechanism for passive film formation within the KMC algorithm could require refinement.

In addition allowing 3 species in a KMC algorithm is a significant extension which could be further generalised or applied to other systems such as nanoparticles.
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