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Abstract

The southern Kermadec Arc – Havre Trough (SKAHT) is an intra-oceanic arc – back-arc system where the Pacific plate is subducting beneath the Australian plate. The Kermadec volcanic arc front consists of 33 volcanic centres, four of which host hydrothermal mineralization (Brothers, Haungaroa, Rumble II West, and Clark) such as volcanogenic massive sulfide (VMS) deposits, which are characterised by high concentrations of base and precious metals (e.g., Au, Cu, Zn, Pb). The sources of these metals are strongly tied to the metal contents within underlying magmatic rocks and associated magmatic systems with which the hydrothermal fluids interact. Understanding the sources, movements, and accumulation of metals associated with porphyry copper and exhalative base metal deposits within a subduction – arc setting remains limited.

This study reports major, trace, and volatile element contents in basaltic groundmass glasses and olivine-hosted melt inclusions from lavas from four locations within the arc – back-arc setting of the SKAHT. The focus is on understanding the controls on base metal (Pb, Cu, Zn, Mo, V) contents in the magmas. The sample locations, Rumble III and Rumble II West volcanoes, and back-arc Basins D and I, form an arc-perpendicular transect extending from arc front into the back-arc. The analysed melt inclusion and groundmass glasses are all basalt to basaltic andesite in composition, with back-arc basin samples more mafic than arc front volcano samples. The magmatic evolution of the melts is primarily controlled by crystal fractionation of olivine + pyroxene + plagioclase. All glasses have undergone variable degassing, indicated by an absence of detectable CO₂ and curvilinear decreases in S contents with increasing SiO₂. Of the volatile phases analysed, only Cl appears unaffected by degassing.

Distinct compositional differences are apparent between arc front and back-arc melts. The arc front magmas formed from higher degrees of melting of a less fertile mantle source and are more enriched in trace elements then the back-arc magmas due to greater additions of slab-derived aqueous fluids to their source. Magmas from a
single arc front volcano (Rumble II West) incorporate melts that have tapped variably enriched sources, indicating heterogeneity of the mantle at small scales. Significant variation in mantle composition, however, is also apparent laterally along strike of the arc. Rumble III volcano and Basin I lie on an arc-perpendicular transect south of Rumble II West volcano and Basin D. Their greater enrichment in trace elements and higher concentrations of base metals than Rumble II West and Basin D lavas can be attributed to higher fluxes of subduction derived components.

Base metals (Cu, Zn, Pb, Mo, and V) are variably enriched in the SKAHT melts compared with typical mid-ocean ridge basalts with relative enrichments in the order Pb >> Cu > Mo, V > Zn. All metals appear to be affected by mantle metasomatism related to slab-derived fluids, either directly from slab components introduced to the mantle source (e.g., Pb) or through mobilisation of metals within the ambient mantle wedge. The apparently compatible behaviour of Zn, Cu, and V in the mantle means that these elements may be enriched in arc front magmas relative to back-arc magmas by higher degrees of partial melting and/or melting of more depleted sources.

All base metals behave incompatibly in the magma during crystal fractionation between 48 – 56 wt.% SiO₂. Lead and Cu concentrations, however, begin to level out from ~ 52 wt.% SiO₂ suggesting some subsequent loss to fractionating volatile phases as metal sulfide complexes. Rumble III samples show a decrease in metal concentration (Pb, Cu, V), from melt inclusions to groundmass glasses, suggestive of more significant loss associated with sulfur degassing.

Although other factors such as heat generation, hydrothermal flow, fault systems, and magma venting are key in the development of VMS deposits, this study shows that variations in subduction parameters can significantly affect metal concentrations in arc magmas that may host hydrothermal systems, and hence the amount of metals available to be scavenged into the deposits.
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Chapter 1: Introduction
1.1 Introduction

Subduction is the process where one tectonic plate is forced beneath another into the underlying mantle, mainly driven by density differences between the two plates. Subduction boundaries play an integral role in current plate dynamics (Bird, 2003) and instigate a host of geophysical and geochemical processes along and within the subduction zone. One key result is the formation of a chain of arc volcanoes (also termed volcanic arcs) that are the surficial expression of fluid flux-driven partial melting of the subarc mantle wedge that overlies the subducting plate. Intra-oceanic arc volcanism occurs where the converging plates are both oceanic, an archetypal example being the Kermadec Arc, which along with the associated Havre Trough back-arc basin, is the focus of this study.

Subduction settings and associated arc magmatism are recognised as being important drivers of substantial element cycling amongst the mantle, crust, ocean, and atmosphere (Spandler et al., 2004; Wallace, 2005; Ryan and Chauvel, 2013; Bebout, 2014). The scale of this process is evident by the approximately 40,000 kilometres of subduction boundaries on Earth, with rates of subduction varying from 30 – 180 km Myr\(^{-1}\) (Ryan and Chauvel, 2013).

Elemental movement between these reservoirs is driven, and impacted by, several key components and processes within the subduction setting. These processes include: slab recycling – transporting sediment, oceanic crust, and volatiles into the mantle, partial melting of the mantle, and crustal processes. Crustal processes include: crystal fractionation, degassing, magma mixing, and crustal contamination. Tracing element movement among these components and through the key processes operating in subduction zones is critical for unravelling how these processes operate and impact the elemental budget of arc melts, including that of base metals.

The budget and behaviour of volatile (e.g., S, H, C, and Cl) and base/trace metal (e.g., Cu, Zn, Pb) components of melts are of importance for the formation of volcanogenic massive sulfide (VMS) deposits. VMS deposits are notable features of subduction arc settings and are potentially economically important (Hannington, 2014). Current understanding as to the source and movement of both volatiles and base metals in arc magmatic systems involved in sulfide complexes is limited (de
This thesis presents an investigation into base metal and volatile element data in olivine hosted melt inclusions and associated groundmass glass in lavas from the southern Kermadec Arc – Havre Trough (SKAHT). The primary aim is to better constrain the movement of these elements through the arc magmatic system, from melting of the subduction modified mantle through to eruption along the arc and back-arc. Glassy groundmass material represents the composition of the relatively evolved melt that has quenched during eruption on the seafloor. Conversely, olivine hosted melt inclusions represent relatively primitive melt compositions as olivine is one of the first crystallising phases, prior to significant fractional crystallisation and devolatilisation (Wysoczanski et al., 2012). The composition of pristine melt inclusions should approximate that of the melt composition at the time of the olivine crystallisation. Coupling glassy groundmass and olivine hosted melt inclusions from the same lava samples allows for comparison between more evolved and relatively primitive melt compositions. This allows for the behaviour of the base metals and volatiles to be constrained throughout magmatic evolution, from magma genesis to eruption.

1.2 Introduction to intraoceanic arc volcanism

Subduction zone magmatism results from an interplay between several components and processes, each contributing to element mobility and transfer. Figure 1.1 is a schematic diagram summarising an intraoceanic arc magmatic setting, following partial melting of the mantle wedge through to eruption along the arc and back-arc. The processes throughout the system are described below.
1.2.1 Slab input

Slab input to the source of arc magmas is the materials derived from the subducting oceanic crust, mantle and sedimentary veneer, primarily transported as mobile phases (e.g., hydrous and melt phases). The subducting plate is composed of characteristic layers formed by mid-ocean ridge (MOR) magmatic processes and subsequent sedimentation. The uppermost component is primarily composed of pelagic sediment but may also include terrigenous sediment if the oceanic crust is proximal to continental lithosphere and associated weathering and mass wasting processes. Beneath the sediments are basaltic pillow lavas and sheeted feeder dike complexes. The deepest portions of the oceanic plate are gabbros and peridotite.

Oceanic crust and the sedimentary veneer are hydrated and altered via reactions with seawater. The deposition of sediment onto the crust introduces additional pore and chemically bound water (Rüpke et al., 2004). The process of subduction induces prograde metamorphism of the slab, resulting in the release of hydrous fluids into the overlying peridotitic mantle wedge (figure 1.1). These hydrous phases may include low-density fluids and high density solute-rich fluids (Schmidt and
Poli, 2003; Kessel et al., 2005; Timm et al., 2016). Fluid flow from the subducting plate to the overlying mantle wedge enables the transport of fluid-mobile elements, primarily large ion lithophile elements (LILE; e.g., Rb, Ba, K, Sr, Pb). As a consequence, these elements are commonly enriched in arc lavas compared to mid ocean ridge lavas (e.g., Pearce and Stern, 2006). Slab dehydration at depth and fluid interaction with the overlying mantle results in the formation of a serpentinite layer above the subducting slab (figure 1.1), acting as an interstitial reservoir for hydrous fluids and associated slab derived elements. This serpentinite layer is subsequently dragged down due to slab pull and subarc mantle convection, heated, and dehydrated, releasing fluids into the overlying mantle. Initial serpentine dehydration at ~100 – 170 km depth is believed to approximately underlie the location of arc volcanism on the surface (e.g., Hattori and Guillot, 2003; Rüpke et al., 2004; Wysoczanski et al., 2006; Deschamps and Hattori, 2013; Peters et al., 2017). Dehydration reactions depend critically on the thermal profile of the subduction zone; a colder slab or shallower geothermal gradient will act to delay dehydration to greater depths (e.g., Rüpke et al., 2004).

A wide variety of hydrous minerals are potentially stable in the subducting slab. Hydrous phases within the subducting basaltic crust that can break down and subsequently hydrate the overlying mantle include amphibole, lawsonite, zoisite, chloritoid, talc and phengite (Ryan and Chauvel, 2013). Importantly, some phases are stable beyond the arc front (e.g., phengite, topaz, and pumpellyite in metasediments can be stable up to 900°C and 7 GPa) thus, coupled with serpentinite movement, these phases are able to carry H₂O and fluid mobile elements significantly past the arc front (e.g., Domanik and Holloway, 1996; Ono, 1998; Hattori and Guillot, 2003; Wysoczanski et al., 2006).

Dehydration is generally considered to be the dominant mechanism for transport of elements from slab to mantle in modern subduction regimes (Schmidt & Poli, 2003). Another transport mechanism may be silicate melts, sourced from either subducting sediment or possibly mafic oceanic crust, with subsequent migration to, and bulk mixing with the overlying mantle (figure 1.1). The involvement of slab melt is supported by subduction zone thermal models and calculated P-T paths of subducting slabs that suggest the upper slab-mantle interface may reach temperatures sufficient for partial melting of the oceanic crustal rocks, particularly sediment (Abers et al.,
2006; Syracuse et al., 2010; Bebout, 2014). Furthermore, the addition of silicate melts to the mantle wedge is interpreted to be the primary reason for observed enrichment of light rare earth elements (LREE; significantly less fluid-mobile than LILE) in arc basalts relative to mid-ocean ridge basalts (MORB; Elliott, Plank, Zindler, White, & Bourdon, 1997; Johnson & Plank, 2000; Plank & Langmuir, 1998).

At higher pressures (approximately \( \geq 6 \) GPa) hydrous fluids and silicate melts converge into a single supercritical fluid phase (Kessel et al., 2005; figure 1.1). These supercritical fluids feature higher compatibilities for both LILE and rare earth elements (REE) than lower pressure fluids (Kessel et al., 2005). A supercritical phase would dominate at depths corresponding to back-arc regions, thus potentially being a key mode of transport for both LILE and REE in this setting (Kessel et al., 2005; Hermann et al., 2006; Manning, 2006; Ni et al., 2017).

Slab components released down the subducting slab and at the subduction front become increasingly complex by situational features, including the age and related thermal properties of the down going slab, as well as sediment components that may additionally have significant lateral variation (Plank, 1998, 2014; Singer et al., 2007). Also, fluid production varies as a result of continuous and discontinuous dehydration reactions from a vertical column featuring a thermal gradient (Schmidt and Poli, 2003).

1.2.2 Partial melting of the mantle, crystal fractionation and crustal assimilation

The transfer of hydrous fluid phases into the overlying mantle wedge instigates partial melting of the mantle by lowering the peridotite solidus to below ambient temperatures (e.g., Schmidt and Poli, 2014; Timm et al., 2016). The composition of the primary mantle melt generated is dependent on the composition of the mantle, the metasomatic processes that result from slab derived fluids, and the degree of partial melting (Pearce and Stern, 2006). Partial melts derived from the mantle are enriched in elements that are the most incompatible within the mantle mineral phases (e.g., olivine, pyroxene, and garnet). Melts are progressively less enriched in these elements as the degree of partial melting increases due to increased incorporation of more compatible components as mineral phases are completely melted and other more
residual phases begin to melt. The degree of partial melting will exert a large control over the relative enrichment of base metals within a melt because, broadly, base metals are varyingly incompatible within typical mantle minerals (e.g., olivine, orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, and spinel or garnet). The main exception being a slight compatibility of V within clinopyroxene (Bougault and Hekinian, 1974; Paster et al., 1974; Matsui et al., 1977; Kravuchuk et al., 1981; Klock and Palme, 1988; McKenzie and O’Nions, 1991; Hart and Dunn, 1993; Jenner et al., 1993; Hauri et al., 1994).

Primitive subduction zone magmas are generally hydrous basalts (Richards, 2003; Cooke et al., 2013). During ascent and storage in magma chambers these melts evolve through processes of crystal fractionation, magma mixing and crustal contamination. Significant mafic magma bodies may develop at the base of the crust if the crustal material is less dense than the magma. This process is termed ‘underplating’. Accumulation of crystallizing magma causes the fractionation of more evolved magmas and notable heat production. This increased heat can facilitate partial melting and assimilation of lower crustal rocks. Together these processes lead to production of fractionated melts of basaltic andesitic to dacitic compositions (Hildreth and Mooibath, 1988; Richards, 2003). Both crystal fractionation and crustal assimilation will lead to relative increases of incompatible element concentrations within the magma; incompatible elements will be preferentially selected from the crust and more compatible elements incorporated within crystallizing minerals. The degree of compositional changes during these processes is dependent on the mineral phases present and the total amount of fractionation or assimilation.

**1.2.3 Magma Degassing**

Bulk chemical composition of magmas will also vary as a result of magma degassing; the loss of volatiles elements from the magma as it rises through the crust. Magma degasses when the volatile contents of the melt become oversaturated. Oversaturation can result from changing environmental conditions such as decreasing temperature or pressure, or via a relative increase in concentration of volatiles within the magma body, for example, through fractional crystallization. Crystallization of anhydrous minerals preferentially involves non-volatile phases, thus leading to a
subsequent enrichment of volatiles within the remaining melt phase and potential oversaturation.

Magma degassing is an important control on magma composition. Degassing can cause fluid-mobile elements or species (e.g., Ba, Ca, Rb, Pb, Sb) to be preferentially removed from the melt and transported with the volatile phase. Exsolved volatile phases are predominantly aqueous, but also include sulfur species, CO$_2$, NaCl, KCl, HCl, and metal chlorides (e.g., Richards, 2011).

Constraining the volatile contents of arc magmas and their degassing history is difficult given that volatiles are mostly lost via degassing during eruption. However, melt inclusions trapped within phenocrysts at depth provide valuable insights into pre-eruption volatile concentrations. These melt inclusions provide snapshots of the melt composition at earlier stages of magma evolution.

The most abundant volatile component in subduction zone settings is H$_2$O (e.g., Ito et al., 1983). Water is expected to exsolve from hydrous magmas during ascent or eruption, driven by the decreasing solubility of water in silicate melts with decreasing pressure (Eichelberger, 2002; Richards, 2011). Melt inclusions from arc settings display a wide range of H$_2$O concentrations; e.g., 5 – 6 wt.% in basalts from Nicaragua (Cerro Negro), central Mexico, to <0.5 wt.% for Gulunggung, Indonesia (Wallace, 2005). Olivine hosted melt inclusions from the KAHT show H$_2$O contents ranging between approximately 0.8 – 2.6 wt.% (Wysoczanski et al., 2012). Variations in H$_2$O contents can be partially attributed to factors such as proximity to the arc front and degree of slab dehydration. Generally, H$_2$O input into the mantle from subducting material decreases with distance from the trench, consistent with back-arc basalts typically displaying lower H$_2$O concentrations (e.g., Lau Basin with 0.5 – 2 wt.% H$_2$O; Kent et al., 2002) than volcanic front basalts (e.g., Tonga arc with 3 – 5 wt.% H$_2$O; Cooper et al., 2006). Although back-arc lavas have lower H$_2$O concentrations than arc front lavas, they have notably higher concentrations than mid-ocean ridge lavas (~ 0.2 – 0.3 wt.%; Walker et al., 2003; Kelley et al., 2006; de Ronde et al., 2007; Portnyagin et al., 2007; Gale et al., 2013).

Carbon dioxide contents in mineral-hosted melt range from ~25 ppm to ~2500 ppm (Wallace, 2005). Importantly, CO$_2$ shows no systematic variations, contrasting
other volatile components which is likely the result of early CO₂ degassing before capture of the melt inclusion (e.g., Wallace, 2005). For example, olivine-hosted melt inclusions from the southern Kermadec Arc and Have Trough (SKAHT) have CO₂ contents (<250 ppm) greater than that of associated glassy groundmass, but notably less than those expected from undegassed arc front lavas (<3000 ppm; Wallace, 2005; Wysoczanski et al., 2006; 2012). This suggests that in arc settings, significant degassing of CO₂ occurs prior to olivine crystallization. The early degassing of CO₂ is caused by the much lower solubility of CO₂ in silicate melts in comparison to other volatiles such as H₂O. Therefore, melts can lose significant quantities of CO₂ with little impact on H₂O concentration (figure 1.2; Dixon and Stolper, 1995; Newman and Lowenstern, 2002). Sources of carbon in arc magmas include carbonate and other organic material in marine sediments transported to the magma source as slab derived components as well as mantle derived carbon.

Another key volatile species is sulfur. Sulfur is important as it is strongly linked to the mobility of chalcophile and siderophile elements (sulfide- and metal iron-associated elements, respectively). An absence of a sulfur-bearing phase and/or melt in the magma causes elements such as Pb, Sb, Sn, Ag, Bi, As, Mo and Tl to generally behave incompatibly during magma evolution (Timm et al., 2012). Melt inclusions within minerals from basaltic arc magmas generally show higher sulfur concentrations (~900 – 2500 ppm) than MORB at a given wt.% FeO<sub>total</sub> (Wallace, 2005), and greater
than the estimated underlying arc mantle sulfur contents of 250 – 500 ppm (Métrich et al., 1999; De Hoog et al., 2001). Sulfur solubility in magmas increases substantially with increasing oxygen fugacity \( f_{O_2} \), consistent with arc magmas having higher \( f_{O_2} \) values than other settings such as mid ocean ridges (\( \sim 2 \log f_{O_2} \) units higher (e.g., Jugo, 2009; Richards, 2011). The higher \( f_{O_2} \) also transitions sulfur speciation from reduced \( S^{2-} \) to oxidised \( S^{6+} \) (Carroll and Rutherford, 1988; Luhr, 1990). Arc dacitic and rhyolitic magmas are commonly sulfide-saturated as indicated by the presence of pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, monosulfides, and associated solid solutions. At higher oxygen fugacity conditions (MNH redox buffer) with sulfate being the primary species, anhydrite can also fractionate (Carroll and Rutherford, 1988; Luhr, 1990). The onset of magnetite fractionation (approximately \( \geq 55 \) wt% SiO\(_2\)) coincides with decreases in SO\(_4\)/S\(^{2-}\), eventually triggering sulfide saturation and the crystallisation of sulfide minerals (e.g., bornite) which remove sulfur and some metals (e.g., Ag, Au, Cu) from the magma. This is termed the magnetite crisis after Jenner et al. (2010).

Chlorine in arc settings is particularly important because the solubility of many elements in high-T aqueous fluids are partially controlled by Cl (Wallace, 2005). Chlorine concentrations in basaltic arc melt inclusions are typically between 500 and 2000 ppm, and like sulfur, are higher than in MORB samples (\( \sim 50 – 300 \) ppm; Jambon et al., 1995). This suggests that Cl is recycled from the subducting slab which has accumulated Cl via hydration reactions with seawater (Schilling et al., 1978; Ito et al., 1983). Subduction related enrichment of Cl is supported by correlations observed between Cl contents and enrichment in LILE (e.g., Yb) attributed to slab derived aqueous fluids (e.g., Wysoczanski et al., 2006). High lava chlorine content suggests that the underlying mantle melting zone has undergone Cl addition via fluids derived from the subducting crust (e.g., Kent and Elliott, 2002). Melt inclusion data indicate Cl within the mantle wedge would have to be partitioned between the melt and a H\(_2\)O-CO\(_2\)-Cl-S vapour phase as common arc P-T conditions are not sufficient for a hydrosaline melt (Webster et al., 1999; Wallace, 2005).
1.3 Melt inclusions

Melt inclusions form within crystals where the crystal lattice growth is perturbed by a range of possible factors, such as lack of nutrients or strong undercooling (Lowenstern, 1995; Wallace, 2005). As such, they can be utilised to obtain pre-eruption and earlier stage melt compositions (e.g., Luhr, 2001; Kent and Elliott, 2002; Wallace, 2005; Rowe et al., 2007; Maclennan, 2008; Wallace and Edmonds, 2011; Wysoczanski et al., 2012). This is predicated on the assumption that their composition is representative of the bulk melt at the time of trapping within the crystal. However, it is apparent that the composition of melt inclusions may be impacted by later processes, such as devitrification, crystallisation, or fracturing. All of which may affect the bulk composition and elemental distribution within the inclusion (Frezzotti, 2001). Therefore, suitable ‘pristine’ melt inclusions can be selected by an absence of daughter crystals (e.g., microlites), strong discoloration, and fracturing. A less apparent complication may arise due to diffusion, potentially creating boundary layer enrichment or depletion (Roedder, 1984; Wallace, 2005). Lu et al. (1995) studied rhyolitic melt inclusions from the Bishop Tuff incorporating diffusion theory, demonstrating that compositional gradients from boundary effects have negligible impacts on the compositions for melt inclusions with a diameter greater than 50 µm. A non-negligible effect may occur in smaller inclusions (<50 µm in diameter) and should be considered during interpretation.

1.4 Volcanogenic Massive Sulfide deposits and base metals in arc settings

A need for understanding the budget and behaviour of metals in a subduction zone – magmatic arc setting is due to their association metal-rich VMS deposits. VMS deposits are the result of hydrothermal convection of seawater through crustal rocks, driven by crustal heat flow primarily from molten or recently crystallized magma bodies (figure 1.3). These deposits accumulate on the seabed through instant cooling and precipitation of metals dissolved in hydrothermal fluids expelled at temperatures of 250 – 350°C (figure 1.3; Hannington, 2014). As a consequence VMS deposits are of notable economic interest due to hosting significant proportions of chalcophile and
siderophile elements (e.g., Cu, Au, V, Zn, Sb, Bi, and As), which are derived from underlying volcanic rocks (e.g., Timm et al., 2012).

Heat flow draws down cold seawater towards the top of a magma body whereby it is heated and driven back to the seafloor due to buoyancy. Seawater transport favourably occurs along faults and fissures such as those present in regions of rifting, allowing infiltration to several kilometres crustal depth (figure 1.3; Hannington, 2014). Reactions between the seawater and the volcanic rocks above the heat source take place along the entire flow path, from low to high temperatures, although most readily at the point of highest temperature. These reactions leach metals from the rock overlying the heat source and are primarily transported as chloride complexes (figure 1.3; Hannington, 2014). Iron, Mn, Zn, and Cu are mainly sourced from primary sulfides and ferromagnesian minerals whereas Pb and Ba are sourced from the dissolution of feldspars (e.g., Doe, 1994). Upon the release of hydrothermal fluids onto the seafloor, sulfide mineral precipitation occurs in response to cooling, pH changes and oxidation associated with reactions with seawater, forming VMS deposits.

The trace metal composition of VMS deposits appears to strongly correlate with that of the underlying volcanic/crustal rocks through which the hydrothermal fluids flowed (Hannington, 2014). The host rocks can undergo prior fluid-rock alteration due to inflowing of exsolved magmatic fluids/volatiles (e.g., those discussed in section 1.2.3). These exsolved magmatic fluids cause the formation of porphyry deposits within the host rocks. As fluids cool during ascent, sulfide species’ solubility decreases, dramatically between ∼400°C – 300°C, likely corresponding to depths within approximately 1 – 2 km of the surface (Landtwing et al., 2005; Richards, 2011). Furthermore, SO₂ dissociation to H₂S and H₂SO₄ at temperatures below ∼400°C initiates precipitation of sulfide minerals (Kusakabe et al., 2000; Richards, 2011). Therefore, the source rocks of VMS deposit may have been variably enriched in trace metals by the formation of porphyry deposits.
A concern when studying VMS deposits is understanding the reservoirs and sources of associated chalcophile and siderophile elements. Arc magmas commonly display higher concentrations of Ag, Au, Cu, Mo, Bi, Zn, V, Sb, As, Pb, and sulfur relative to MORB (Jochum and Verma, 1996; Noll et al., 1996; De Hoog et al., 2001; Jenner et al., 2010; Richards, 2011). This enrichment is likely due to the addition of hydrous fluids or partial melts from the subducting slab (Elliott et al., 1997; De Hoog et al., 2001) and/or increased liberation of chalcophile and siderophile elements within the mantle wedge (Mungall, 2002).

Chalcophile elements such as Pb, Sb, As and Tl (as well as non-chalcophile elements; Ba, Ca, Rb, U, K, and Sr) are highly fluid-soluble and mobile during slab dehydration (Stolper and Newman, 1994; Elliott et al., 1997; Plank and Langmuir, 1998; Rüpke et al., 2004; Spandler et al., 2004). Copper and Au are mobile during dehydration of altered oceanic crust, especially in the presence of Cl or sulfur (Hamlyn et al., 1985; Noll et al., 1996). Lead can be primarily derived from fluids released from altered oceanic crust (e.g., Tafahi and Niuatoputapu, northern Tonga arc; Regelous, Gamble, & Turner, 2010) or subducted sediment (e.g., southern Kermadec Arc; Gamble et al., 1996; Haase et al., 2002; Regelous et al., 2010) or both (e.g., Wysoczanski et al., 2006). Molybdenum, Sn, and W are less mobile but thought to be derived from the subducting slab (Noll et al., 1996). Increased liberation of metals from the mantle wedge is related to more oxidising conditions with increasing $f_{O_2}$ introduced with the influx of slab-derived aqueous fluids (Timm et al., 2012). This is perhaps most clearly...
shown by the behaviour of V, which becomes increasingly incompatible during partial melting of the mantle at high $f_{O_2}$ (e.g., Lee et al., 2003).

Arc magmas are generally up to 2 log $f_{O_2}$ above the fayalite-magnetite-quartz (FMQ) mineral redox buffer where sulfur is present as SO$_4^{2-}$ (Mungall, 2002). The high $f_{O_2}$ allows the magma to retain chalcophile elements during fractional crystallization of olivine, pyroxene, and plagioclase (Timm et al., 2012). As arc magmas evolve, some chalcophile and siderophile elements (e.g., Cu, Zn, Au, Ag, V and Mn) may begin to decrease in concentration at and beyond $\sim$55 wt.% SiO$_2$. This corresponds to the crystallization of Fe-Ti oxides, which can trigger sulfide saturation and associated sulfide mineral growth in which these elements are compatible (Jenner et al., 2010).

1.5 This study

This research aims to better constrain the movement of elements, particularly base metals, through the arc magmatic system, from melting of subarc mantle through to eruption at the southern Kermadec Arc – Havre Trough subduction system. To do so, major element compositions have been measured using an electron probe microanalyser (EPMA), volatile elements via Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and trace elements with laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometry (LA-ICPMS) of both glassy groundmass material of pillow rim glasses and olivine hosted melt inclusions. Coupling glassy groundmass and olivine hosted melt inclusions allows for both the comparison between evolved and relatively primitive melt compositions, and the effects of different arc processes on these compositions to be constrained. Here I used melt inclusions found in olivine from the quenched glassy rims of arc front and back-arc lavas to reduce the effects of inclusion crystallisation and devitrification.

This research also compares the chemical composition of melt inclusion and glassy groundmass from several SKAHT arc/back-arc lavas from different locations. Sample locations extend from the arc front volcanic centres (Rumble II West & Rumble III) to the nearby Havre Trough back-arc, with sampling from basins D and I (table 1.1; figure 1.4). The sampling pattern was designed to investigate spatial variability in compositions between arc front and back-arc melts. Although back-arc samples in the Havre Trough are distant from the subduction boundary (\sim 200 – 300 km), it is likely
they have also been influenced by slab-derived components (e.g., Pearce and Peate, 1995; Bach et al., 1998; Peate et al., 2001; Haase et al., 2002; Todd et al., 2010, 2011).

Constraining elemental abundances and behaviour associated with the evolution of arc magmas is particularly pertinent to the behaviour of volatiles and associated base metals that feed VMS deposits. Quantifying base metal (e.g., Cu, Zn, Pb etc.) and volatile (e.g., S, Cl, CO₂, H₂O) movement from the mantle wedge to the surface can be improved through the analysis of melt inclusions and groundmass glass.

Table 1.0-1: Arc front stratovolcano and back-arc basin samples investigated in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Depth (Mbsl)</th>
<th>In text reference</th>
<th>Reference symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAN1104-ES11C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>RII West SW Caldera</td>
<td>35.360 S</td>
<td>178.509 E</td>
<td>1440 - 1425</td>
<td>RIIW-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN1104-ES16</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RII West Ridge to SE Caldera</td>
<td>35.352 S</td>
<td>178.529 E</td>
<td>1452 - 1165</td>
<td>RIIW-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN1104-ES30</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>RII West Ridge to SE Caldera</td>
<td>35.353 S</td>
<td>178.537 E</td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>RIIW-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN1513-040</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Basin I</td>
<td>35.3166 S</td>
<td>177.739 E</td>
<td>2182</td>
<td>Basin I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN1213-51</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Basin D</td>
<td>35.39 S</td>
<td>178.228 E</td>
<td>2840</td>
<td>Basin D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN1104-53</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Rumble III</td>
<td>35.743 S</td>
<td>178.506 E</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>RIII-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN1104-55</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rumble III</td>
<td>35.743 S</td>
<td>178.506 E</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>RIII-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1.4: Regional map of the southern Kermadec Arc-Havre Trough (stylised after Wysoczanski et al., 2012) showing primary features referred to in text. Inset shows the position of the Kermadec Arc-Havre Trough to continental New Zealand, Pacific and Australian plates and Kermadec trench. Black square within inset shows the extent of the main map. White Triangles in main map represent Kermadec arc volcanic centres, blue triangles represent back-arc stratovolcanoes mentioned in text. Black triangles are stratovolcanoes of the Taupo Volcanic zone (only Whakatane shown). General sample locations are denoted by symbols and colours related to those used on element plots. Rumble II West and Rumble III symbols are offset from true location as to not obscure the respective edifices. Base bathymetric map courtesy of NIWA (downloaded from https://www.niwa.co.nz/our-science/oceans/bathymetry/download-the-data?sid=15661).
1.6 Thesis Objectives and Outline

The primary objective of this thesis was to investigate the metal budget of magmas generated within the southern Kermadec Arc volcanoes, Rumble II West and Rumble III and nearby Havre Trough back-arc. To this end, an arc – back-arc transect of chemical compositions derived from volcanic glasses and olivine-hosted melt inclusions has been carried out. Major, trace and volatile element data from selected samples are used to evaluate composition trends between relatively primitive and evolved melts, and their spatial variation, with the aim of addressing several key questions pertaining to elemental recycling (specifically metals) within an arc – back-arc setting.

1. How do primary melt compositions vary spatially between sample locations?
   This involves investigating the roles mantle fertility, degrees of partial melting, and subduction related mantle enrichment.
2. How do compositions vary between relatively primitive and evolved melts within the SKAHT lavas with particular focus on the behaviour of metals during magma evolution involving crystal fractionation and volatile degassing?
3. How do compositional trends vary between arc front and back-arc samples?
4. Can explanations for variable metal element enrichment, and volatile element behaviours be related to the formation, or promotion of VMS deposits, i.e. what factors contribute the most to high metal element abundances within melts and their potential transport within volatile phases?

The analytical techniques that have been used in this study include:

- Volatile element compositional analysis on both glass and olivine-hosted melt inclusions using an electron probe micro-analyser (EPMA) for sulfur and chlorine, and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) for H₂O, OH⁻, CO₂ and CO₃.
- Glass and olivine-hosted melt inclusion major element analysis using the EPMA, and trace element analysis using laser ablation inductively coupled plasma – mass spectrometry (LA-ICPMS).
1.7 Thesis structure

Chapter 1. Introduction:
An overview of subduction zones and their geochemical and physical aspects relating to magma composition and arc volcanism, a brief introduction to VMS deposits and their relationships to magma composition, as well as objectives of the thesis.

Chapter 2. Geological setting:
A background to the Kermadec Arc – Havre Trough and the subduction setting, their chemical and physical aspects with a primary focus on the southern Kermadec Arc. Descriptions of sample sites (Rumble II West, Rumble III, Basin D, and Basin I) are also given.

Chapter 3. Analytical Techniques:
A description of methods used to obtain element data used in this thesis as well as data processing methods.

Chapter 4. Results:
The key results of this research, including compositional trends of major, volatile, and trace elements.

Chapter 5. Discussion:
Interpretation of the results, how they relate to the geochemical and geophysical processes within a subduction-arc setting.

Chapter 6. Conclusions:
A summary of key findings and recommendations for future work.

References:
A list of all reference sources cited in this thesis. The reference style used follows that of the Journal of Petrology.
Appendices:

Additional data tables including unprocessed and processed melt inclusion, host olivine, and groundmass glass compositions (major and trace).
Chapter 2: Geological Setting
2.1 Overview of the Kermadec Arc-Havre Trough back-arc system and subduction setting

The KAHT is an intra-oceanic arc and back-arc system situated to the north-east of North Island, New Zealand (figure 2.1). At \( \sim 25^\circ 36' \) the SKAHT transitions into the Tonga arc – Lau Basin back-arc together extending \( \sim 2500 \) km between New Zealand and Samoa. The separation of the two contiguous arcs is marked by the intersection and subduction of the Louisville seamount chain (figure 2.1; e.g., Koppers et al., 2004; Timm et al., 2013). To the south-west, the Kermadec Arc transitions into the continental Taupo Volcanic Zone, New Zealand, with margins that have remained relatively constant for the past 0.7 Myr (Wilson et al., 1995; Bernal et al., 2014). The Taupo – Kermadec – Tonga arc system is the result of collision between the Pacific and Australian plates whereby the relatively cold and old (>80 Ma) Pacific plate is being subducted beneath the Australian plate (figure 2.1; Wright et al., 2006). This convergent boundary additionally involves the Niuafo’ou, Tonga, and Kermadec microplates, accommodating the rifting and spreading occurring in both the Lau Basin and Havre Trough (Pelletier and Louat, 1989; Zellmer and Taylor, 2001; Bird, 2003; Ruellan et al., 2003; Wright et al., 2006).

Subduction rates along the Tonga-Kermadec Arc system vary linearly with latitude, with a maximum of \( \sim 24 \) cm a\(^{-1}\) along the northern portion of the Tonga arc, denoting a global maximum, to \( \sim 5 \) cm a\(^{-1}\) along the southern Kermadec Arc (DeMets et al., 1994; Bevis et al., 1995). The Havre Trough and Lau back-arc basins are experiencing extension at rates of \( \leq 1 \) cm a\(^{-1}\) and \( \sim 15.8 \) cm a\(^{-1}\), respectively (Taylor et al., 1996; Schellart and Spakman, 2012), accommodated by spreading in the Lau Basin and possible extensional rifting in the Havre Trough (Wright et al., 1996). However, Wysoczanski et al. (2010) have suggested the Havre Trough is a site of new crustal growth, described as nascent ‘disorganized’ spreading as evident by >4,000 m deep basins with axial ridges representative of magmatic activity.
Figure 2.1: Regional bathymetric map of the Kermadec – Tonga Arc, Havre Trough – Lau Basin back-arc showing primary features referred to in text; The Pacific and Australian plates (with the subduction boundary along the Kermadec and Tonga Trench), as well as the approximate extents of the Kermadec, Tonga, and Niuafo’ou microplates (dashed black lines; Bird, (2003). The Southern Kermadec Arc (SKA), Middle Kermadec Arc (MKA; de Ronde et al., 2007), and Northern Kermadec Arc segments are also delineated by the white line markers. The Louisville seamount chain and Hikurangi Plateau are also shown. Base bathymetric map courtesy of NIWA (downloaded from https://www.niwa.co.nz/our-science/oceans/bathymetry/download-the-data?sid=15661).
A notable feature of the KAHT region is the now inactive Lau-Colville Ridge west of the back-arc region (figure 2.1; Timm et al., In review). This ridge represents a remnant arc inactive due to trench roll-back and variable subduction rates that resulted in a clockwise rotation of the trench (Gamble & Wright, 1995). The Colville Ridge, active from ca. 17 Ma (Mortimer et al., 2010) to ca. 2.6 Ma (Timm et al., In review), and the subparallel Kermadec Ridge to the east (figure 2.1) active until at least 4 Ma (Timm et al., In review), are remnants of the Colville volcanic arc, split by the development of the Havre Trough rift system. The timing of separation is contentious and is thought to be either ca. 5 Ma ((Wright, 1993; Parson and Wright, 1996) or ca. 2 Ma (Malahoff et al., 1982).

Subduction angles vary latitudinally along the Kermadec subduction front and additionally with depth. The subduction angle beneath the southern Tonga/northern Kermadec Arcs changes from ~28° at 60 km depth to ~47° at 100 km depth (Isacks and Barazangi, 1977; Bassett et al., 2016). A similar subduction angle increase from ~20° at 60 km depth to ~56° at 100 km depth is present in the southern Kermadec Arc (Bassett et al., 2010). The steepening of the upper portion of the subducting slab towards the north corresponds to a decrease in distance from the Kermadec Ridge to trench, from ~300 km at 37°S to ~185 km at 32°S (Wright, 1997).

Sediment cover composition and thickness of the subducting Pacific plate also varies with latitude, with ~200 m of pelagic sediment at ~25°S (southern Tonga arc; Turner et al., 1997) to ~1 km of primarily terrigenous sediment along the southern Kermadec Arc, consistent with closer proximity to the New Zealand landmass and the Hikurangi fan drift (Carter et al., 1996; Ewart et al., 1998).

The Hikurangi Plateau is currently subducting beneath the southernmost Kermadec Arc (south of ~35°S) and North Island of New Zealand (Davy et al., 2008; Reyners et al., 2011; Timm et al., 2014, 2016). The plateau is a large igneous province (LIP), formed ca. 120 Ma (Hoernle et al., 2010) as a part of the Ontong Java Plateau (e.g., Neal et al., 1997). The crustal thickness of the plateau is estimated to be 10 – 15 km thick (Davy and Wood, 1994) and is overlain by ~2 km thick sediment deposits (Wood and Davy, 1994).
2.2 Southern Kermadec Arc – Havre Trough Magmatism and Volcanism

The Kermadec Arc is dominated by submarine volcanoes; the only exceptions being Raoul, Macauley, Curtis and L’Esperance between ~ 29° and 31° S. Volcanic edifices of the Southern Kermadec Arc consists of stratovolcanoes and caldera complexes (Wright et al., 1996; Wright, 1997). De Ronde et al. (2007) separates and defines three components of the Kermadec Arc; northern Kermadec Arc (NKA), middle Kermadec Arc (MKA), and the southern Kermadec Arc (SKA; figure 2.1). These are divided on the basis of bathymetric data along the arc (Wright et al., 2006). The SKA includes all volcanic centres south of Sonne volcano to, but not including, Whakatane on the continental margin of New Zealand (~360 km). The MKA extends from 34.1°S (between Kibblewhite and Sonne volcanoes) northwards to Raoul Island (~590 km). For a total length of 1,335 km, the NKA encompasses all volcanic centres NE of Raoul Island to the Monowai volcanic centre (De Ronde et al., 2007; figure 2.1).

Within the SKA, active volcanism is bounded to the east and west by the Kermadec and Colville Ridges, respectively, and generally offset westward from the Kermadec Ridge (figure 2.1). This contrasts with volcanic centres of the MKA which are located along the Kermadec Ridge. The SKA includes 12 volcanic centres, of which eight are currently hydrothermally active (Kibblewhite, Brothers, Healy, Rumble II West, Rumble III, Rumble V, Tangaroa, Clark). Brothers, Rumble II West, Clark and Haungaroa volcanic centres are currently the only volcanoes along the Kermadec Arc known to host hydrothermal mineralization (de Ronde et al., 2005, 2014; Leybourne et al., 2012). Brothers hosts the greatest Cu-Au-Ag-rich mineral deposit found to date with up to 90 ppm Au (de Ronde et al., 2005). In contrast to the arc front, no high temperature hydrothermal activity has been observed within the Havre Trough, despite comprising the majority area of the KAHT system. However, this discrepancy may be attributable to limited exploration of the Havre Trough (Wysoczanski et al., 2012).

Kermadec Arc volcanism is primarily basaltic-andesitic in composition (~ 48 – 53 wt.% SiO$_2$; Gamble et al., 1990, 1993a, 1993b, 1997; figure 2.2). However, silicic volcanism becomes significant along segments with thicker arc crust (Smith et al., 2003; Wright et al., 2006; Barker et al., 2013). Silicic volcanism has been calculated to equate to approximately 30 vol.% of eruptives south of 30°S along the Kermadec Arc.
(~800 km³; Wright et al., 2006). Wright et al. (2006) noted that a deeper central segment of the Kermadec Arc (>3200 m water-depth) between 32°20’ – 34°10’ S displays simple basalt-basaltic andesite stratovolcanoes. Adjacent, higher (<2500 m water depth) arc segments feature multi-vent caldera complexes that erupt dacite and basalt-basaltic andesite. These observations suggest that shallower segments correspond to thicker crust where magmas can stall for longer and/or anatexis can occur, driving magmas to more silicic compositions. A thicker crust hinders magma ascent, also promoting underplating and stagnation of magmas (Wright et al., 2006).

Furthermore, shallower edifices are characterised by volatile rich magmas which when coupled with a reduced hydrostatic load allows for magma vesiculation, and fragmentation associated with pyroclastic eruptions (Wright et al., 2006). Most basaltic volcanoes of the SKA shoal to <1000 m water depth, and display similar textural transitions, namely from effusive pillow lavas, massive flows, pillow and talus breccia to fragmented/scoriaceous hyaloclastite-pyroclastic deposits (Wright et al., 1996, 2002, 2006). Havre Trough samples range from basalt to andesite, similar to the Kermadec Arc, but have distinctly higher alkali contents (<4.2 wt.% at 50 wt.% SiO₂; Gamble et al., 1993; figure 2.2).

Figure 2.2: Silica vs. total alkalis for Kermadec Arc lavas. Classification fields from Le Maitre et al. (2002). Sourced from Timm et al. (2012). BAB field represents southern Lau Basin and Havre Trough basalts. Data collected partly from (marked by asterisk in legend) Turner et al. (1997; Ewart et al. (1998); Haase et al. (2002, 2006); Smith et al. (2009); Todd et al. (2011). For Monowai and Brothers, coloured and white/grey symbols represent data from Timm et al. (2012) and previous literature data, respectively.
The Havre Trough back-arc features accretion of new oceanic crust by volcanism concentrated along disorganized short-segment spreading ridges (Wysoczanski et al., 2010). Major segments are associated with arc-perpendicular chains of constructional edifices (cross chains; Tamura et al., 2002; Todd et al., 2010; Wysoczanski et al., 2010). The most developed cross chain of the Havre Trough is the Rumble V Ridge (~36°S), extending from Rumble V and Rumble IV arc front volcanoes to the Colville Ridge (Wright et al., 1996; Todd et al., 2010). Other prominent back-arc volcanic edifices include Gill, Rapuhia, Yokosuka, and Giljanes volcanoes (figure 2.1).

As with other intraoceanic arc lavas, those from the Kermadec Arc are characterised by a depletion of HFSE and enrichment of LILE (e.g., Ba, Rb, K) relative to MORB (e.g., Gamble, Smith, et al., 1993; Timm et al., 2012; Wysoczanski et al., 2012). This is consistent with these lavas being derived from a depleted MORB-like source metasomatized by slab-derived fluid. Back-arc lavas from the Havre Trough display evidence of slab-derived contributions, although they are notably less enriched in fluid mobile elements than the arc front (Todd et al., 2010). Generally, subduction-mobile elements (including hydrous fluid and melt mobile elements) appear to decrease in abundance across-arc from the arc front to the back-arc basins, as noted in KAHT lavas (e.g., Todd et al., 2011; Timm et al., 2012; Wysoczanski et al., 2012). This observation has been associated with the progressive depletion of these elemental components from the subducting slab with depth (Leeman et al., 1990; Ryan et al., 1995; Walker et al., 2000, 2003, 2009; Ryan and Chauvel, 2013). Therefore, the mantle beneath the KAHT is expected to progressively feature less enrichment of mobile elements with increasing instance from the trend, a trend that is broadly consistent in trace element analyses of KAHT lavas (e.g., Todd et al., 2011; Timm et al., 2012; Wysoczanski et al., 2012).

2.3 KAHT Mantle Source

The composition of the mantle wedge underlying the KAHT, prior to metasomatic alteration by slab-derived components is believed to be similar to depleted MORB mantle (DMM; Haase et al., 2002). The isotopic composition of the back-arc lavas is broadly consistent with Pacific-type MORB (\(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \sim 0.7026\),
$^{143}\text{Nd} / ^{144}\text{Nd} \sim 0.51311$, $^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb} \sim 18.7$; Haase et al., 2002). However, isotopic composition varies with latitude along the arc system (Haase et al., 2002; Timm et al., 2014). Chemical analysis and associated modelling suggest that the compositions of KAHT lavas formed from 5 – 15% partial melting of the mantle source beneath the arc and back-arc (Woodhead et al., 1993; Ewart et al., 1998; Haase et al., 2002). The extent of source depletion, observed as low contents of fluid immobile trace elements, also varies with latitude, increasing northwards along the arc (Haase et al., 2002). This has been broadly correlated to the southward decrease in back arc basin spreading/rifting rates (Turner et al., 1997) as well as a southward-propagating back-arc basin opening (Gamble et al., 1993). Haase et al. (2002) argue that the greater depletion of the northern arc mantle is due to another process. They identified that despite the change in extension rates between the central Lau Basin (13 cm a$^{-1}$ full rate at 19°S) and the Havre Trough (~2 cm a$^{-1}$ full rate at 30°S), fluid-immobile HFSE ratios such as Zr/Nb, from lavas between 19° – 30°S display similar ratios. Furthermore, back-arc spreading rate changes south of 30°S are minor yet the mantle sources display greater depletion ($\text{Zr}/\text{Nb} > 0.01$). Haase et al. (2002) thus proposed that slab input may be episodic whereby in the northern Kermadec/Tonga Arc, where subduction rates are faster, there are more frequent mantle melting events and consequently over time the mantle becomes progressively more depleted. However, there is no direct evidence to support this possibility. Alternative explanations may be that a shallower dip north of 30°S may inhibit sub-arc mantle replenishment (Gamble et al., 1993a; Haase et al., 2002) or the subduction of the Hikurangi Plateau south of ~32°S which introduces a compositionally distinct component into the subduction system (Timm et al., 2016).

In addition to lateral variations in relative depletion of the mantle source prior to slab enrichment, the pre-subduction modified mantle underlying the Kermadec Arc front is generally more depleted than that beneath the Havre Trough back-arc (e.g., Wysoczanski et al., 2012). This perpendicular source variation is attributed primarily to mantle wedge corner flow induced by the downward motion of a cold subducting slab (figure 1.1). As the mantle flows from beneath the back-arc to the arc front it becomes increasingly depleted due to back-arc magmatism. Slab roll-back, occurring along the Kermadec subduction boundary, accentuates this movement of fertile mantle (McCulloch and Gamble, 1991; Gamble et al., 1993a; Woodhead et al., 1993; Ewart et
Source compositions may be further complicated by subduction boundary parallel flow as indicated by shear-wave splitting analyses along subduction zones (Smith et al., 2001; Long and Silver, 2008; Jadamec and Billen, 2010). However, such mantle flow has not been investigated along the Kermadec Arc and shear wave studies suggest this may be a phenomenon primarily present near slab edges (e.g., Lau Backarc; Smith et al., 2001).

The Sr-Nd-Pb isotopic composition of the subducting oceanic crust generally resembles that of variably altered MORB (e.g., Gamble, Woodhead, Wright, & Smith, 1996; Turner et al., 1997). The isotopic composition of the subducted sediments deposited on the oceanic crust is however heterogeneous (pelagic in the north, increasingly terrigenous in the south) and sediment thickness increases from north to south. Wysoczanski et al. (2006) and Haase et al. (2002) argued that the highest sediment addition in the southern Kermadec arc lavas can be explained by the introduction of relatively small amounts of sediment melt to the mantle wedge source. This is consistent with the aforementioned greater sediment cover of the subducting slab towards the south, noted by lavas with more radiogenic $^{207}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb and $^{208}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb values (Haase et al., 2002). Sediment melting is expected at temperatures greater than 650°C (Nichols et al., 1994), after major slab dehydration at 400-600°C (Davies and Stevenson, 1992; Peacock, 1996). This melting must take place prior to ~100-120 km depth to impact arc magmas. For these parameters to occur, subduction rate needs to be relatively slow, allowing for slab heating. On this basis, Haase et al. (2002) concluded that the SKA, with its slower rate of subduction (~5 cm a$^{-1}$) and greater sediment thickness compared to the NKA, allows a greater sediment melt component to be incorporated into arc magmas.

### 2.4 Chalcophile and Siderophile elements in the KAHT

There has been little detailed investigation of the behaviour of chalcophile and siderophile elements in KAHT lavas, however analysis of trace element data for lavas from 15 volcanic centres along KAHT showed that arc front and back-arc lavas are enriched in most chalcophile and siderophile elements in comparison to MORB, with
the exception of Ag and Sn which are depleted compared to MORB (figure 2.3; Timm et al., 2012).

Most Kermadec Arc basalts display high Cu, V, Zn, Mo/Yb relative to MORB (figure 2.4), corresponding to higher ratios of fluid-mobile to fluid-immobile elements (e.g., Ba/La, Pb/Yb, Sr/Nd). This is consistent with a fluid phase from the subducting slab, transporting fluid mobile (± chalcophile) elements into the mantle beneath the KAHT. Alternatively, enrichment may be at least partially attributed to a greater liberation of chalcophile metals from mantle wedge sulfides due to oxidation associated with inflowing slab-derived fluids (Timm et al., 2012).

Havre Through back-arc lavas typically have lower Cu, Zn, Mo, Sb, Pb/MREE, HREE values than arc front lavas (figure 2.4), consistent with an expected decrease in fluid input from slab to mantle with increasing distance from the trench.

Base metal elements in SKAHT lavas show variable behaviour during crystal fractionation. Copper, V, and Zn are incompatible with fractionating phases peaking in concentration in magmas around 50 – 53 wt.% SiO$_2$, after which they become compatible in fractionating phases and their contents decrease significantly with increasing SiO$_2$. Other metal elements such as Mo, Bi, Pb, and As, are variably incompatible and increase in content with increasing SiO$_2$ (Timm et al., 2012).

Tin, Ag, and HFSE concentrations within mafic southern Tonga-Kermadec Arc lavas are similar to and overlap with MORB. This suggests these elements are impacted less by the addition of hydrous fluids, either as a transport medium from the slab or as a liberation mechanism from mantle sulfides. Conversely, dacitic lavas of the Brothers volcanic centre show higher concentrations of fluid immobile elements (e.g., Th, LREE, MREE, Sn, Ag, Mo, Nb, Zr, Y, Hf) than other dacites from the Kermadec Arc. Timm et al. (2012) concluded that these additions of fluid-immobile trace elements, including the chalcophiles Sn and Ag, are best explained by the partial melting of and mixing within the mantle of sediment or supercritical fluids, in addition to hydrous fluid release.
Figure 2.3: Select elements vs. SiO$_2$ (wt.%). (a) Cu, (b) V, (c) Zn, (d) Ag, (e) Sn, (f) Sb, (g) Mo, (h) Bi, (i) As, and (j) Pb ppm. Grey field presents MORB, white field represents back-arc basalts (BAB; Haase et al., 2002; Todd et al., 2011). Dotted line marks onset of magnetite crystallization. Sourced from Timm et al. (2012).
Figure 2.4: Along arc variations of (a) Cu/Yb, (b) V/Yb, (c) Zn/Yb, (d) Mo/Yb, (e) Ag/Yb, and (f) Bi/Nd*100. Grey dotted line marks average MORB composition (Hertogen et al., 1980; Sun and McDonough, 1989; Yi et al., 2000; Jenner et al., 2010), grey solid line marks average composition of southern Lau Basin/Havre Trough back-arc BAB (Haase et al., 2002). Yb and Nd values in Ag/Yb, Cu/Yb, and Bi/Nd ratios represent average Pacific MORB from the PetDB and GeoRoc databases (where MgO >5 wt.%). Cu/Yb, Zn/Yb, and V/Yb are not shown for SiO$_2$ >55 wt.% to avoid the effect of magnetite crystallization. Sourced from Timm et al. (2012).
2.5 Sample locations

2.5.1 Rumble II East and West

The two Kermadec arc front volcanoes Rumble II East and West are closely associated and form a short, arc perpendicular transect of volcanoes. Rumble II East is aligned with the volcanic front and Rumble II West is located ~13 km behind the volcanic front. Rumble II West samples used in this study were recovered from the south western caldera (11C) and the ridge to the south eastern caldera (16B and 30C; table 1.1) with the RV Tangaroa (NZAMS, TAN1104 expedition). Rumble II West is situated at ~35.36°S/178.5°E, approximately 230 km to the west of the Kermadec trench (figure 2.1; Timm et al., 2016). Rumble II West forms a volcanic complex at ~1800 to 1194 mbsl (metres below sealevel), with a basal area of ~19 km x 16 km (figure 2.5) and a total constructional volume of 108 km³ (Timm et al., 2016). Based on volatile contents of olivine hosted melt inclusions, Wysoczanski et al. (2012) calculated magma chamber depths for Rumble II West at 3300 mbsf (metres below sea floor). This calculation took into account the overlying water column and using an average arc crustal density of 2.5 g/cm³. These depths are comparable to estimates based on modelling using ship-derived gravity data (figure 2.6; Timm et al., 2016). The Bouguer anomaly modelling predicted a larger magma reservoir beneath Rumble II West between 4000 and 6000 mbsl (figure 2.6). The modelling further suggested that crustal thickness beneath Rumble II West is ~6.5 km (Timm et al., 2016).

Lava sampled from Rumble II West rocks are generally vesicular (~20 – 45% vesicles) and have dominant plagioclase glomerocrysts with lesser amounts of clinopyroxene and olivine, in a groundmass comprised of plagioclase, minor clinopyroxene and glass (Leybourne et al., 2012; Timm et al., 2016). These assemblages are similar to most Kermadec Arc lavas, which are typically porphyritic basalts and basaltic andesites (15 – 60% phenocrysts) with plagioclase commonly being the dominant phase (Gamble et al., 1990).
Compositionally, Rumble II West lavas range from basalt through basaltic andesite to andesite. These lavas display enrichment in LREE and other fluid-mobile elements such as Sr, U, Ba, Rb, and Cs, with depletion of Nb, Zr, and Hf relative to
MORB, similar to other Rumble seamounts (Rumble I-V) and consistent with typical arc lavas (Gamble et al., 1993; Timm et al., 2016). Rumble II West glasses calculated measured $f_{O_2}$ ranging from approximately 0.67 – 1.5 FMQ, estimated from sulfur speciation (Wysoczanski et al., 2012).

Rumble II West is hydrothermally active and hosts VMS deposits. Hydrothermal plume activity is evident by a small $\delta^3$He anomaly, suggesting hydrothermal quiescence relative to some other SKAHT volcanoes, however activity appears to be increasing on the basis of observations over the last couple of decades (de Ronde et al., 2012; Leybourne et al., 2012). Hydrothermal chimneys were first observed in 1999, with several more found within and near the two main calderas (Leybourne et al., 2012). A sulfide-bearing sample composed of chalcopyrite (CuFeS$_2$) and pyrite (FeS$_2$) within a barite (BaSO$_4$) matrix (Wright et al., 1998; de Ronde et al., 2003; Leybourne et al., 2012) was collected in 1996 (X656). Several more sulfide samples were collected in 2011 with one containing massive barite ± pyrite and sphalerite (Zn, FeS) with trace amounts (0.01%) galena (PbS; Leybourne et al., 2012).

2.5.2 Rumble III

Rumble III is a submarine volcano located ~40 km south of Rumble II East and West, along the active arc front at 35.716°S, 178.483°E (figure 1.4; 2.1). The stratovolcano is one of the largest active volcanoes along the Kermadec Arc, featuring a basal diameter of approximately 25 km at ~ 2500 m below sea level (Tontini et al., 2013). It features three main eruption centres, a southern and central cone and a northwest caldera, together making Rumble III slightly elongate (figure 2.7). The southern cone marks the shallowest point, rising to ~ 200 m water depth (Wright, 1994; Wright et al., 2002; Tontini et al., 2013).

Compositionally, Rumble III lavas range from generally basaltic andesite to andesite (Gamble et al., 1996; Wysoczanski et al., 2012). These lavas, like those of Rumble II West, display enrichment of fluid-mobile elements, with depletions of fluid-immobile elements (e.g., Nb, Zr, Hf) relative to MORB (Wysoczanski et al., 2012). The calculated $f_{O_2}$ of Rumble III glasses are similar to that of Rumble II West, varying from ~0.63 – 1.5 FMQ.
Like Rumble II West, Rumble III is hydrothermally active as noted by plumes of volcanic volatiles, dissolved Fe and Mn, and a notably high $\delta^3$He anomaly (de Ronde et al., 2001). Three major centres of collapse have been identified on Rumble III which may be tied to hydrothermal alteration and associated edifice weakening (figure 2.6; Tontini et al., 2013).

Figure 2.7: 3D morphology of Rumble III from a NW perspective view. Identifying main volcanic features (Southern Cone, Central Cone, and NW caldera) as well past slope failures. Vertical exaggeration is 2.5 times. Sourced from Tontini et al., (2013).

2.5.3 Basins D and I

Compared to the arc front volcanoes, basins D and I have been minimally studied, with three and five whole rock samples, respectively, analysed as part of a MSc thesis (Pullan, 2018) which included detailed mapping of the back-arc basins.

Basin D is approximately 20 km east from Rumble II West and is ~ 59 km long, 20 km wide at a depth of 2500 – 3000 mbsl (figure 2.8A). Samples were collected from the northern part of the basin (35.39°S, 178.23°E; sample 51-6; figure 2.8A) which features extensive ridges (60 – 80 m high), oriented 045°. Basin D features a few small cones (figure 2.8A) that are ~ 2 km wide, 3 – 5 km long and range in elevation by ~ 200 m (Pullan, 2018).
Basin I is situated ~ 15 km from Colville Ridge and is ~ 59 km wide and ~ 37 km long at a depth of approximately 3000 mbsl (figure 2.8B). Basin I features four main ridges. Samples for this study (sample 040) were collected from the westernmost ridge (Ridge A; figure 2.8B) at 35.316°S, 177.75°E. Ridge A is 2 km wide and 18 km long. Similar to Basin D, several small cones are present in Basin I (figure 2.8B) that have basal diameters ranging from 2 – 2.5 km².

SKAHT back-arc lavas (including Basins D and I) are generally basaltic with a porphyritic texture whereby the dominant phenocryst phases are plagioclase + olivine + pyroxene (~ 5 – 25 vol.% phenocrysts). The vesicularity of the samples vary widely between ~ 20 – 60 vol.% (Pullan, 2018). In contrast to arc front volcanoes, back-arc basins display no evidence of hydrothermal activity. Trace element data is consistent with variable subduction input into the mantle source (Pullan, 2018).

Figure 2.8: Bathymetric maps of (A) Basin D and (B) Basin I and associated sample locations. Adapted from (Pullan, 2018).
Chapter 3: Analytical Techniques and Methods
3.1 Sample preparation

3.1.1 Rock crushing and glass/olivine separation

All rock samples were collected from the seafloor via dredges during three expeditions of the RV *Tangaroa* between 2011 and 2015 (TAN1104, TAN1213, & TAN1513). Their original emplacement along the seafloor means that alteration due to reactions with ocean water may affect bulk rock composition. However, as the focus of this study is on glass shards and olivine hosted melt inclusions, selection of fresh glass material should avoid seawater alteration effects.

The glassy rims of sample pillow lavas were targeted as these contain both groundmass glass and olivine grains featuring uncrystallised melt inclusions. The rims were initially removed from whole rock samples using a cold chisel and hammer. This material was identified as a lustrous-black rim on the outermost portion of the rock samples, indicative of the rapidly quenched lava upon interaction with ocean waters. Between chiselling of individual samples, the work bench was wiped down and cleaned with ethanol to avoid any cross-contamination between samples.

Glass rim material was crushed to a grain size of approximately 0.2 – 1 mm (excluding rock dust) using a mortar and pestle. The purpose of this step was to separate olivine crystals from host glass material. After each sample was crushed the mortar and pestle were cleaned using first a cleaning brush and then ethanol. Risk of cross contamination was low as coarse material was easy to clean off and no very fine material was selected in further steps.

A portion of each sample was placed into a glass dish to be examined under a binocular microscope. Deionised water was added to the dish to separate fine particles from the glass and olivine grains of interest. Between samples, glass dishes were brushed and wiped down using ethanol.

Olivine grains were collected first. These were easily identifiable by their generally equant shape and colourless transparency, due to low iron content. Both features made them distinct from all other grains in the samples. Most olivine grains found containing evident melt inclusions, identifiable as dark globules within the grain, were preferentially selected. Care was taken to ensure dark spots were not glass still
attached to the edges of the olivine grains. However, grains without obvious melt inclusions were still collected as smaller inclusions may become apparent later under more meticulous inspection. Grains were separated and manipulated using fine tweezers.

Samples were then examined for the collection of pristine glass shards. These were identified by their transparent brown colouration. During collection, bias was given towards larger glass shards and uniform colouration.

3.1.2 Preparation for analysis

Analysis of water and carbon species using Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrometry requires olivine hosted melt inclusions and glass samples to be doubly polished to minimize beam disturbance. Despite this not being required for compositional analysis via EPMA and LA-ICPMS, all samples were prepared in this way. For olivine hosted melt inclusions, one polished side must expose the melt inclusion, whereas it is sufficient for the other to only intersect the olivine grain. For all analyses, only pristine glassy melt inclusions, with no evidence of crystallization, were utilised in order to avoid re-homogenization processes and the accompanied uncertainties such as loss of trace and volatile elements and reduction in the oxidation state of the melt (Frezzotti, 2001; Rowe et al., 2007). Sample preparation followed the procedures of von Aulock et al. (2014), which involved mounting the grains in Crystal bond and carefully polishing by hand using progressive finer grit to expose melt inclusions. The samples were then removed from the Crystal bond, flipped, re-mounted and polished again to get a flat surface parallel to the face intersecting the melt inclusion.

3.2 FTIR analysis

Water and carbon species contents of melt inclusions were measured using FTIR. Due to logistic and time restrictions, a portion of the total olivine hosted melt inclusions were selected for FTIR analysis. Grains selected were those where only the exposed melt inclusion(s) and host underlying olivine crystal would be present along the path of analysis. Prior to analysis, selected sample grains were separated from the
mounts and rested overnight in acetone to dissolve any residual Crystal bond. Select samples were analysed using a Nicolet 6700 FTIR at the Department of Soil and Earth Sciences, Massey University. The effect of the underlying host olivine was removed using spectral bands diagnostic of olivine (following Nichols & Wysoczanski, 2007), thus avoiding the difficult task of doubly exposing melt inclusions.

Ideally, water intensities are measured at both 3550 cm\(^{-1}\) stretching vibration (total water) and the 1630 cm\(^{-1}\) vibration (molecular water) in order to determine speciation. However, the mid-IR region, through olivine grains contains a Si-O overtone and combination bands between \(~2000\) and \(1600\) cm\(^{-1}\). Critically, in a singly exposed inclusion within an olivine host, these overlap the molecular water absorbance peak at \(1630\) cm\(^{-1}\). However, \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) wt.\% can still be determined using the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) at \(3550\) cm\(^{-1}\) implementing the Beer-Lambert law:

\[
c_i = \frac{M_i \cdot A}{\rho \cdot t \cdot \epsilon}
\]

where \(c_i\) is the concentration of species \(i\) (in wt.%), \(M_i\) is the molecular weight of the species \(i\) (gmol\(^{-1}\)), \(A\) is the absorbance (height) of the relevant vibration band (e.g., height of 3550-cm\(^{-1}\) peak for \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\)), \(\rho\) is the sample density (g/l), \(t\) is the thickness of the sample (cm) and \(\epsilon\) is the molar absorptivity (l/mol·cm). Molar absorptivity values of \(63 \pm 5\) and \(25 \pm 3\) are used for total \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and molecular \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\), respectively (Dixon et al., 1988; Nichols and Wysoczanski, 2007). Glass density was calculated from its oxide composition, measured by EPMA (Lange, 1997) with \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) being calculated iteratively. Sample thickness is derived from the wavelength of interference fringe patterns from reflectance spectra. These wavelengths are directly proportional to the thickness and refractive index of the sample (Nishikida et al., 1995; Wysoczanski and Tani, 2006):

\[
t = \frac{m}{2n(v_1 - v_2)}
\]

where \(t\) is the thickness of the area analysed (cm), \(m\) is the number of waves over a selected interval of wavenumbers between \(v_1\) and \(v_2\), \(n\) is the refractive index of the material through which the spectra have been measured. A refractive index of 1.546 was used for inclusions as this is the refractive index of basaltic glass (Kumagai and Kaneoka, 2003).
Similarly, CO₂ can be calculated using couplet peaks at 1515 cm⁻¹ and 1435 cm⁻¹ generated by the antisymmetric stretching of distorted CO₃²⁻ groups, using a molar absorptivity of 375 ± 20 l/mol·cm (Fine and Stolper, 1985). However, these peaks may not be present if CO₂ has degassed from the magmas.

All measured H₂O and CO₂ contents of melt inclusions analysed in this study are presented in Appendix B.

3.3 EPMA analysis

All samples were analysed using a JEOL JXA-8230 EPMA at Victoria University of Wellington. Glasses were analysed for sulfur, Cl and major elements, and olivine crystals were also analysed for major and minor (Ni and Cr) elements.

Grains were set in epoxy mounts suitable for both EPMA and LA-ICPMS analysis. First they were placed onto double sided adhesive tape in an organised grid, and checked to ensure the exposed melt inclusions were facing down. A mould was then placed around the grains and partly filled with an epoxy mixture and weighed down to keep the epoxy within the mould. Once set, the epoxy mounts were separated from both the mould and double-sided tape. The bottom side was wiped down using ethanol and given a final polish using 3 µm and then 1 µm diamond solution to remove any residual adhesive. Care was taken to ensure the inclusions were not polished into further.

Ground mass glass grains were similarly prepared without prior polishing. After these were mounted within the epoxy mount, the bottom was polished firstly with 2500 grit sanding paper to expose a fresh surface and then 3 µm and 1µm diamond solution to remove significant surface scratches. All grain mounts were then carbon coated, with four coats of 4 µm applied to each, for a total 16 µm thickness.

Beam conditions used were a 15-kV accelerating voltage, 8-nA beam current, and a defocused spot (10-µm spot size) for glass compositions, and 15-kV, 20-nA, and a focused spot for olivine analyses. Primary standards for olivine compositions were Springwater olivine and Jk3-olivine (Fe, Mg), wollastonite (Ca), and synthetic oxides for Al₂O₃, NiO, MnO, and Cr₂O₃.
Analytical precision and reproducibility of olivine analyses was monitored by analysing Springwater olivine and Jk3-olivine. Olivine analyses included core, rim, and near-inclusion points. The latter required for reintegration of olivine growth from the melt inclusion. Standard analyses were regularly taken throughout an analytical run.

A slight doming of some olivine grain edges was apparent as a result of the individual grain polishing techniques. Therefore, some analyses resulted in minor, although notable, discrepancies relating to total oxide values. However, back-scatter imaging suggest the majority of olivine grains were unzoned and analyses could be obtained from regions within the grain where the doming was less or not apparent.

Analytical precision and reproducibility of melt inclusions and groundmass glass compositions were monitored by analysing four volcanic glass standards of varying compositions; VG-568, VG-A99, VG2, & Indian Ocean basaltic glass (USNM 113716; Tables 3.0-1, 3.0-2, 3.0-3, 3.0-4). Primary standards included VG-568 (Na, K), VG-A99 (Fe, Si, Ca, Mg, Al), scapolite (Cl), Elba Pyrite (S), Durango apatite (P), and synthetic oxides; TiO₂, MnO, & Cr₂O₃. Original major element compositions of olivine grains, associated melt inclusions and groundmass glass are presented in Appendix A, B, and D respectively.

Table 3.0-1: List of measured and preferred values of major and minor element abundances of glass standard VG-568 Rhyolite. Reference values were obtained from Jarosewich et al., (1980). All values are given in wt.%. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>2 SD</th>
<th>2 SD %</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>% offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>77.73</td>
<td>76.71</td>
<td>78.84</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>76.96</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>32.74</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>12.62</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>12.17</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.49</td>
<td>99.19</td>
<td>101.96</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.0-2: List of measured and preferred values of major and minor element abundances of glass standard VG-A99. Reference values were obtained from Jarosewich et al., (1980). All values are given in wt.%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>2 SD</th>
<th>2 SD %</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>% offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>51.00</td>
<td>50.44</td>
<td>51.69</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>51.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>12.51</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>12.47</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td>12.74</td>
<td>14.12</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr2O3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2O5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.31</td>
<td>97.27</td>
<td>100.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.0-3: List of measured and preferred values of major and minor element abundances of glass standard VG2. Reference values were obtained from Jarosewich et al., (1980). All values are given in wt.%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>2 SD</th>
<th>2 SD %</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>% offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>50.76</td>
<td>50.31</td>
<td>51.20</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>50.81</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>-1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>13.99</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>14.16</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>12.04</td>
<td>11.26</td>
<td>12.59</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>19.03</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-9.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.09</td>
<td>10.91</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr2O3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>189</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2O5</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-258.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>46.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.22</td>
<td>99.66</td>
<td>101.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.0-4: List of measured and preferred values of major and minor element abundances of glass standard USNM 113716. Reference values were obtained from Jarosewich et al., (1980). All values are given in wt.%. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>2 SD</th>
<th>2 SD %</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>% offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>51.65</td>
<td>51.10</td>
<td>51.94</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>51.52</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>15.32</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>15.87</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>15.39</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>9.54</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.39</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-1264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.95</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>99.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.0-5: List of measured and preferred values of major and minor element abundances of olivine standard Springwater Olivine. Reference values were obtained from Jarosewich et al., (1980). All values are given in wt.%. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>2 SD</th>
<th>2 SD (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>% Offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>39.11</td>
<td>38.61</td>
<td>40.40</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>616</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>287</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>16.83</td>
<td>15.26</td>
<td>17.42</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>43.80</td>
<td>43.13</td>
<td>44.15</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>404</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.10</td>
<td>97.52</td>
<td>101.09</td>
<td>99.47</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3.0-6: List of measured and preferred values of major and minor element abundances of olivine standard JK3 Olivine. Reference values were obtained from (Chazot et al., 1996). All values are given in wt.%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JK3 (Olivine)</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>max</th>
<th>2 SD</th>
<th>2 SD (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>% Offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>40.34</td>
<td>39.90</td>
<td>41.57</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>49.41</td>
<td>48.45</td>
<td>49.89</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr2O3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.93</td>
<td>98.92</td>
<td>100.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.31</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.4 LA-ICPMS analysis

Prior to LA-ICPMS analysis, all sample mounts were polished using 1 μm diamond polish to remove the carbon coat. Sample mounts were loaded together with standard glass mounts; BHVO-2-G, BCR-2G, and NIST612, which were used for calibration and monitoring drift throughout the analytical run. Trace element concentrations of glass and melt inclusions were measured using a Resonetics S155-SE (193 nm) Excimer laser coupled to an Agilent 7900 ICPMS at Victoria University of Wellington. All data were acquired as static spot analysis on the same spot locations as were analysed using the EPMA. Three analytical runs were undertaken, targeting groundmass glasses (50 μm spot size, 50 second analysis), melt inclusions suitable for 40 μm spot size (40 second analysis), and 30 μm spot size (30 second analysis). Samples were ablated with He gas (400 mL/min) in the sample chamber, and with Ar (910 mL/min) and N2 (2 mL/min) added as carrier gases to the ICPMS. The laser parameters were set to an energy of 12 mJ, attenuation of 12.5% transmission, and a
repetition rate of 10 Hz. Bracketing BHVO-2G calibration analyses were undertaken every four sample analyses, and each spot analysis was followed by 60 seconds washout, allowing for a ~ 30 second baseline measurement to be taken.

Abundances were derived from counts per second data, using IOLITE software with BHVO-2G as the primary standard and Si as reference mass to calibrate trace element data with SiO₂ measured by EPMA. In total 52 trace elements were measured (table 3.0-7). BHVO-2-G was used as the reference material as it is well characterised for the elements of interest and closely matrix matches the basalt-basaltic andesite glasses analysed. BCR-2G was measured as a secondary standard to check accuracy (table 3.0-8) with most elements agreeing within 5% of reference values, Sc, Zn, Ni, W, Th, and low abundance M-HREE within 10%, and Cu within 17%. NIST612, an artificial silica glass standard, was also analysed multiple times throughout the analytical runs (table 3.0-9). Note that there is a systemic offset of approximately 10 – 14% from reference values for concentrations for the heavier masses in particular, showing the importance of matrix-matching standards to samples, even amongst glasses.

Trace element measurements of all melt inclusions and groundmass glasses investigated in this study are presented in Appendix C and D respectively.
Table 3.0-7: List of measured and preferred values for trace element abundances of glass standard BHVO-2-G. Reference values were obtained from Jochum & Enzweiler (2014). All values are given in ppm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>BHVO-2-G</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>2 SD</th>
<th>2 SD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>16727</td>
<td>16570</td>
<td>16860</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1317</td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>1334</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>15.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.0-8: List of measured and preferred values for trace element abundances of glass standard BCR-2. Reference values were obtained from Jochum & Enzweiler (2014). All values are given in ppm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>2 SD</th>
<th>2 SD (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>% Offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>36.04</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>14380</td>
<td>14290</td>
<td>14490</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>14100</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1573</td>
<td>1559</td>
<td>1592</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.34</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.0-9: List of measured and preferred values for trace element abundances of glass standard NIST612G. Reference values were obtained from Jochum & Enzweiler (2014). All values are given in ppm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NIST612</th>
<th>n = 30</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>2 SD</th>
<th>2 SD (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>% Offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>-25.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.5 Fractionation corrections

Post-entrapment olivine crystallization of melt inclusions along the host wall is common during eruptive cooling. Therefore, composition adjustments are required to account for element depletion (or enrichment) in the melt inclusion caused by post-entrapment crystallization. This most strongly affects to elements strongly enriched within the host crystal, such as Mg in olivine (Luhr, 2001). Using the method of Luhr (2001), host olivine composition was iteratively added to the melt inclusion glass major element composition until an olivine-melt \( K_D^{Fe-Mg} \) of 0.3 was reached (Roeder and Emslie, 1970).

\[
K_D = \frac{(X_{FeO}^{Oli})(X_{MgO}^{Liq})}{(X_{FeO}^{Liq})(X_{MgO}^{Oli})} \tag{3.3}
\]

These calculations require \( Fe^{2+} \), however as no olivine hosted spinel grains were analysed, due to an absence of observed spinel, \( Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} \) proportions were unable to be accurately determined on a sample basis. A conservative \( Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} \) ratio of 0.85/0.15 was used to determine \( Fe^{2+} \) from \( Fe^i \) as measured from EPMA analysis. This ratio was selected on the basis of reported measurements of Kermadec Arc samples (Gamble et al., 1993a). During reintegration of olivine into melt inclusions, both \( H_2O \) and \( CO_2 \) contents as measured by FTIR were added after a \( K_D \) of 0.3 was reached. All major element data discussed has been processed using this method, see appendix C for final melt compositions.

Major elements were modelled following a reverse crystallising trend to an \( MgO \) of 8 wt.% (e.g., Taylor & Martinez, 2003). This was useful for investigating source variations that required the removal of compositional variance due to fractional crystallisation.

\( FeO, Na_2O, \) and \( TiO_2 \) concentrations, were modelled to 8 wt.% \( MgO \) values following the methods of Taylor & Martinez (2003), using the equations:

\[
Fe_8 = \frac{[FeO + 8 - MgO]}{[1 + 0.25(8 - MgO)]} \tag{3.4}
\]
\[
N_{a_8} = \frac{[Na_2O + 0.115(8 - MgO)]}{[1 + 0.133(8 - MgO)]}
\]

\[
T_{i_8} = \frac{(TiO_2)(MgO)^{1.7}}{34.3}
\]

Trace metal (Cu, Zn, V, and Pb) abundances at 8 wt.% MgO were calculated using Petrolog 3.1.1.3 (Danyushevsky and Plechov, 2011). Only melt inclusion compositions were modelled to avoid effects of plagioclase fractionation that will have affected groundmass glasses. A constant pressure of 1 Kbar was set, based on a calculated magma chamber pressure from Wysoczanski et al. (2012). Fractionating minerals included were olivine, clinopyroxene and spinel, using models from Danyushevsky & Plechov (2011) and Nielsen (1985). As some samples had Cr$_2$O$_3$ contents, as measured by EPMA, that were too low for the purpose of modelling early spinel fractionation, 0.001 wt.% was added to allow for modelling of spinel fractionation. On the basis of CaO, Al$_2$O$_3$ and FeO vs MgO systematics in the sample glasses, clinopyroxene was included with olivine and spinel in reverse crystallisation modelling to reach 7 wt.% MgO, after which it was excluded.

Trace metal $K_0$ values were sourced from the GERM Partition Coefficient ($K_0$) Database for bulk compositions appropriate to the samples. Molybdenum was excluded due to lack of relevant partition coefficients for olivine, clinopyroxene, and plagioclase in basaltic magmas. $K_0$ values used were an average of suitable values, summarised in table 3.0-10 – 12.

Table 3.0-10: $K_0$ values used for trace metals in olivine during modelling using Petrolog.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>$K_0$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.0665</td>
<td>Bougault and Hekinian, (1974); Paster et al., (1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>Bougault &amp; Hekinian, (1974); Kloeck &amp; Palme, (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>Bougault &amp; Hekinian, (1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>McKenzie and O’Nions, (1991)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.0-11: $K_D$ values used for trace metals in clinopyroxene during modelling using Petrolog.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>$K_D$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.2036</td>
<td>Bougault &amp; Hekinian, (1974); Hart &amp; Dunn, (1993); Paster et al., (1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>Bougault and Hekinian, (1974); Paster et al., (1974); Matsui et al., (1977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>2.6175</td>
<td>Bougault and Hekinian, (1974); Hart and Dunn, (1993); Jenner et al., (1993); Hauri et al., (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.01202</td>
<td>Hauri et al., (1994)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.0-12: $K_D$ values used for trace metals in plagioclase during modelling using Petrolog.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>$K_D$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>Bougault &amp; Hekinian, (1974); Paster et al., (1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Bougault and Hekinian, (1974); Paster et al., (1974); Kravuchuk et al., (1981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Bougault &amp; Hekinian, (1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>Kravuchuk et al., (1981); McKenzie &amp; O’Nions, (1991)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 4: Results
4.1 Rock Descriptions

All analysed samples are porphyritic and vesicular with mineral compositions of olivine + pyroxene and plagioclase. The volume proportions of phenocrysts, vesicles, and groundmass vary between samples. All samples share characteristic glassy outer rim where the erupted lava was rapidly quenched. Glassy edges contain similar phenocryt assemblages and proportions to the interior portions, excluding potential microcrystal growth following emplacement. Groundmass material consists of devitrified glass and acicular plagioclase microcrystals. Additionally, samples display variable secondary alteration or mineral-crusted margins. The volume of these secondary margins are not included in total volume percent (vol.%) of the rock samples.

Table 4.0-1: Table of rock sample primary characteristics, including phenocryt total and individual abundance (vol.%), vesicularity (vol.%), and secondary alteration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Phenocryst vol.%</th>
<th>Olivine vol.%</th>
<th>Clinopyroxene vol.%</th>
<th>Plagioclase vol.%</th>
<th>Vesicularity vol.%</th>
<th>Secondary alteration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIIW-1</td>
<td>25 – 30</td>
<td>✓ 6</td>
<td>✓ 4</td>
<td>✓ 90</td>
<td>25 – 30</td>
<td>Red-brown alteration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIIW-2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>✓ 5 – 7</td>
<td>✓ 2</td>
<td>✓ 85 – 90</td>
<td>30 – 40</td>
<td>Minimal red-brown alteration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIIW-3</td>
<td>25 – 30</td>
<td>✓ 13</td>
<td>✓ 2</td>
<td>✓ 80 – 85</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Red-brown alteration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basin I</td>
<td>35 - 40</td>
<td>✓ 15</td>
<td>✓ 15</td>
<td>✓ 70</td>
<td>10 – 15</td>
<td>5 – 8 mm brown – orange mineral crusts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basin D</td>
<td>20 vol.%</td>
<td>✓ 4 – 5</td>
<td>✓ 1 – 3</td>
<td>✓ 80 – 90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Minor orange-brown crust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIII-1</td>
<td>3 vol.%</td>
<td>✓ 2 – 3</td>
<td>✓ 2 – 3</td>
<td>✓ 90 – 95</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>Minimal light-brown alteration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIII-2</td>
<td>3 – 5</td>
<td>✓ 5 – 7</td>
<td>✓ 2 – 3</td>
<td>✓ 85 – 90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RIIW-1: TAN1104 ES11C

Sample location:
On bottom: 35.354°S/178.524°E at 1150 mbsl
Off bottom: 35.362°S/178.519°E at 1440 mbsl
Sampling equipment used: epibenthic sled

Dark grey, vesicular, with a porphyritic texture. Phenocrysts are generally 0.8 – 1 mm in size, with the smallest being 0.3 mm. The phenocryst assemblage contains plagioclase + olivine + clinopyroxene. Plagioclase is the most abundant phenocryst phase, comprising 80-90% vol.% of phenocrysts, ranging in sizes from 0.25 – 1 mm. Olivine and pyroxene phenocrysts are similarly sized, ranging from ~ 0.3 – 0.8 mm and occur in proportions of approximately 6 vol.% and 4 vol.% of phenocrysts, respectively. Collectively phenocrysts compose ~27 vol.% of the rock. Vesicles are predominately 3 – 4 mm but range from 1 – 5 mm and constitute ~ 27 vol.% of the sample. Groundmass material comprises ~ 45 vol.% of the sample. The outer 1 – 2 mm of the samples are altered with a red-brown crust.

RIIW-2: TAN1104 ES16B

Sample location:
On bottom: 35.352°S/178.529°E at 1165 mbsl
Off bottom: 35.358°S/178.529°E at 1452 mbsl
Sampling equipment used: epibenthic sled

Dark grey, vesicular, with a porphyritic texture. Phenocrysts range in size from ~ 0.7 – 1 mm. Plagioclase, olivine, and clinopyroxene compose the phenocryst assemblage. Plagioclase phenocrysts are < 1 mm in size, and are ~ 85 vol.% of phenocrysts. Olivine crystals range from ~ 0.5 – 1 mm, and make up 5 – 7 vol.% of phenocrysts. Pyroxene crystals are predominantly <0.8 mm and ~ 2 vol.% of phenocrysts. Together the phenocrysts approximately 20 vol.% of the samples. Vesicles range in size from ~ 0.3 – 10 mm but are generally 0.5 – 0.8 mm and
combined constitute \( \sim 30 - 40 \) vol.\% of the sample. The total ground mass is \( \sim 40 \) vol.\%. The outer 1 – 3 mm the sample is glassy and minimally altered.

**RIIW-3 TAN1104 ES30C**

Sample location:
On bottom: 35.353°S/178.537°E at 1306 mbsl
Off bottom: 35.353°S/178.534°E at 1220 mbsl

Sampling equipment used: epibenthic sled

Dark grey – black, vesicular with a porphyritic texture. Maximum phenocryst size is 2 – 3 mm (plagioclase) but most are 0.5 – 1.5 mm in size. The mineral assemblage is dominated by plagioclase (~ 80 – 75 vol.\% of phenocrysts) with minor amounts of olivine and clinopyroxene. Plagioclase phenocrysts range in size from 0.5 – 1.5 mm. Olivine phenocrysts are 0.5 – 1 mm in size, and make up ~ 13 vol.\% of phenocrysts. Pyroxene phenocrysts are scarce, present as approximately 2 vol.\% and are generally ~ 0.8 mm sized grains. Combined, the phenocryst assemblage equates to ~ 25 – 30 vol.\% of the rock sample. Vesicles range from 0.5 – 4 mm in size but most range from 0.5 – 0.8 mm. They make up ~ 35 vol.\% of the sample. Groundmass in the interior is glass free and comprised of microcrystals. The outer 8 – 10 mm of the sample is glass lustrous black, and make up ~ 35 vol.\% of the sample. The edge of the rock sample (1 – 2 mm) is glassy, and stained brown – red-brown.

**Basin I: TAN1513 40**

Sample location:
35.316°S/177.739°E at 2182 mbsl

Sampling equipment used: epibenthic sled

Dark grey, vesicular with a porphyritic texture. Phenocrysts range between 0.5 – 8 mm. The phenocryst assemblage is dominated by pyroxene (~ 70 vol.\% of phenocrysts), with grain sizes ranging from 2 – 8 mm. Plagioclase and olivine occur in
approximately equal amounts and both range from ~ 0.5 – 1 mm. Phenocrysts compose 35 – 40 vol.% of the rock sample. Vesicles (10 – 15 vol.%) vary from ~ 0.8 – 2 mm but are mostly 0.8 – 1 mm. Groundmass material is ~ 40 – 45 vol.% of the sample. The outer 3 – 5 mm of the sample is glassy. Rock samples are highly altered with thick (5 – 8 mm) mineralized, dark-brown – orange-brown crusts.

**Basin D: TAN1213 51-6**

Sample location:
35.39°S/178.225°E at 2840 mbsl
Sampling equipment used: epibenthic sled

Dark grey, vesicular (20 vol.%) with a porphyritic texture. Phenocrysts are dominantly 0.4 – 1 mm. Plagioclase phenocrysts are elongate, and are 80 – 90 vol.% of phenocrysts. Olivine phenocrysts are approximately 0.8 – 1.5 mm and 4 – 5 vol.% of phenocrysts. Pyroxene grains are similar sized to olivine phenocrysts but sparse (1 – 3 vol.%). Collectively, phenocrysts are ~ 20 vol.% of the rock sample. The remaining ~ 60 vol.% is microcrystalline groundmass with the outer 3 – 8 mm glassy groundmass. The sample is lightly strained with a ~ 0.5 mm thick orange-brown crust.

**RIII-1: TAN1104 53-A**

Sample location:
On bottom: 35.739°S/178.497°E at 275 mbsl
Off bottom: 35.737°S/178.492°E at 470 mbsl
Sampling equipment used: epibenthic sled

Black – dark grey colour, with low vascularity (<5 vol.% vesicles), and a microcrystalline texture. Phenocrysts range in size from 0.3 – 5 mm but are dominated by 1 – 5 mm sized grains. The mineral assemblage is dominated by plagioclase, with smaller amounts of olivine and pyroxene. Plagioclase (90 – 95 vol.% of phenocrysts), range in size from 1 – 5 mm. Olivine phenocrysts are 0.4 – 0.8 mm and make up ~ 2 –
3 vol.% of phenocrysts. Pyroxene is similarly present as 2 – 3 vol.% and are generally < 1.5 mm in size. Collectively, phenocrysts are ~ 3 vol.% of rock sample. Vesicle sizes range from 0.3 – 25 mm, but most are 0.5 – 5 mm. Groundmass is 85 – 90 vol.% of sample with an outer ~ 10 mm thick glass region. The sample is notably fresh with minimal light-brown alteration.

RIII-2 TAN1104 55-A

Sample location:
On bottom: 35.739°S/178.497°E at 254 mbsl
Off bottom: 35.741°S/178.494°E at 400 mbsl
Sampling equipment used: epibenthic sled

This sample was only present as rock chips, therefore phenocryst and vesicle sizes are likely minimum estimates. It has a dark grey colour, is vesicular and has a microcrystalline texture. Phenocrysts are 0.5 – 1.5 mm in size with an assemblage of plagioclase, olivine, and pyroxene. Plagioclase phenocrysts make up approximately 85 – 92 vol.% of phenocrysts and range in size between ~ 1 – 1.5 mm. Olivine phenocrysts are mostly 0.4 – 1 mm in size and represent 5 – 7 vol.% of all phenocrysts. Pyroxene comprises 2 – 3 vol.% of phenocrysts and are ~ 0.5 – 0.7 mm in size. Phenocrysts comprise approximately 3 -5 vol.% of the sample. Vesicles (~ 10 vol.% of the sample) and are mostly 0.2 – 0.5 mm in size. The groundmass, comprises 85 – 90 vol.% of sample. Glassy are regions evident by notable portions of non-crystalline or minimally crystalline groundmass chips, likely the quenched outer portion of eruptive material.

4.2 Olivine grains and associated melt inclusions:

The olivine grains are generally colourless but rarely display a very slight yellow – brown colouration. Grains are relatively equant in shape unless they have been fractured during rock crushing, and may less commonly form glomerocrysts either with clinopyroxene or other olivine grains. Other than melt inclusions, some olivine grains contain mostly opaque mineral inclusions (e.g., spinel group minerals; figure 4.1B & D).
These are notably absent from the arc front olivine crystals from Rumble II West (RIIW-1, 2, & 3) and Rumble III (RIII-1 & 2) but are abundant in back-arc olivine grains from Basin D and also present, to a lesser extent in the back-arc Basin I samples. The opaque inclusions occur as single cubic opaque crystals or amalgamations of several cubic grains, positioned randomly throughout the olivine crystal.

Melt inclusions within the selected olivine crystals are predominantly glassy, brown in colour and positioned randomly within the grain (figure 4.1 A, B, C, D). The shape of inclusions is typically spherical but may also occur as oval-shaped or rarely as combined inclusion network (figure 4.1 B). This contrasts with the positioning of melt inclusions within other phenocryst phases such as plagioclase, where inclusions are focussed along crystallographic faces (figure 4.1 E). Olivine hosted melt inclusions may extend outwards towards the crystal edge, likely due to movement along a fracture. Inclusions commonly contain vapour bubbles (sometimes two) representing the diffusion and entrapment of vapour phases within the melt inclusion.

Figure 4.1: Plane polarised light photos of polished olivine grains (A, C, D, E) and a plagioclase grain (B) mounted in crystal-bond epoxy. Yellow circles identify exposed inclusions; only exposed surfaces are in focus. (A) RIIW-3 sample F, representing a typical olivine with melt inclusions. A transparent grain with randomly located spherical – oval melt inclusions. (B) Basin D sample E. olivine showing joined elongate inclusions as well as opaque mineral inclusion amalgamations. (C) RIIW-1 sample A. olivine showing a melt inclusion connected to the outer edge of the grain as well as a fully enclosed, exposed inclusion. (D) Basin D sample D. Olivine crystal with abundant opaque mineral inclusions. (E) A feldspar grain from RIIW-1, showing linear trends of melt inclusions along crystal/fracture planes. Fractures present in the photos are a result of polishing and were not present prior.
Three zoning traits were observed within the olivine crystals; minor to moderate zoned rims, major compositional zoning, and olivine growth at the edges of the inclusion (figure 4.2, 4.3, & 4.4). Most olivine samples have only minor zoned rims and inclusion edge olivine growth (e.g., figure 4.2). The thickness of the zoned rims varies from approximately 1 µm – 30 µm (figure 4.2), whereby thick rims of > 15 µm are only present in four of the analysed olivine grains (RIIW-1 samples F, J, K & L). Furthermore, six olivine grains display larger-scale compositional zoning, as evident by EPMA imaging (figure 4.3, Basin-I samples A, E, Basin D samples D, G, H, & RIIW-3 sample A). Composition zoning on the edge of melt inclusions (figure 4.4) indicates post-entrapment olivine crystallization on the edge of inclusions, these are typically <1-2 µm in width.

Figure 4.2: EPMA back-scatter imaging showing compositional variations in a selected range of olivine grains showing variable degree of rim compositional zoning. Zoning is evident by changes to lighter coloured regions around the edges. Darker regions beyond the olivine boundaries are vestigial glass salvages. Olivine samples included are: (A) RIIW-1 sample D, (B) RIIW-1 sample E, (C) RIIW-1 sample I, (D) RIIW-2 sample G, (E) RII-2 sample G, (F) RIIW-1 sample F, (G) RIIW-1 sample J, (H) RIIW-1 sample K, (I) RIIW-1 sample L. Scales in each image are provided by the white bars at the bottom of each image, scales are 100 µm except for C & D which are 10 µm.
Figure 4.3: EPMA back-scatter imaging showing compositional variations in analysed olivine crystals that show larger scale compositional zones. Faint compositional zones are visible using relatively high contrast imaging. Compositional zones are evident by regions of variable shades of grey. (A) Basin I A, (B) Basin I E, (C) Basin D sample H, (D) RI IW-3 sample A, (E) Basin D sample G, (F) Basin sample D D. Scales in each photo are 100 μm. C, D, E, & F feature vestigial glass salvages (bright edge regions). D) RI IW-3 sample A features a faint zonation, emphasised by yellow dashed line.

Figure 4.4: EPMA back-scatter imaging showing composition variations in analysed olivine crystals and melt inclusions. Bright halos around melt inclusions are post entrapment olivine growth rings. (A) RI IW-3 sample E, (B) Basin D sample E, (C) RI II-2 sample D, (D) RI II-2 sample H. Scale bars are 100 μm for A & C, and 10 μm for B & C.
4.3 Groundmass Glass

Groundmass glass material from all samples contain varying amounts of acicular plagioclase microcrystals, with Basin I showing the least (<5 vol.% whereas the other samples contain approximately 5 – 15 vol.% microcrystals). Vescularity varies from <5-10 vol.% (Rumble II West & Back-arc samples) to ~ 30-40 vol.% in Rumble III groundmass glasses (Figure 4.5).

![Figure 4.5: EPMA back-scatter imaging of representative groundmass glass shards.](image)

4.4 Major element geochemistry

All samples of both melt inclusions and groundmass glass are basaltic to basaltic-andesite in composition, following the classification of Le Maitre et al., (2002; ~ 47.5 – 56.5 wt.% SiO$_2$; Figure 4.6). The westernmost back arc sample, Basin I, is the only sample to be basaltic in composition for all glasses. Melt inclusions from all locations have higher MgO and lower K$_2$O + Na$_2$O contents than their associated groundmass glass, consistent with fractionation of the melt.
Figure 4.6: Silica vs total alkalis (TAS) diagram showing SKAHT samples investigated in this work. Light blue and grey fields represent SKAHT back-arc basin and arc front stratovolcano (Rumble II West, Rumble II East, Rumble III, and Rumble IV) whole rock compositions, respectively (Gamble et al., 1993c; Wright and Gamble, 1999; Haase et al., 2006; Pullan, 2018). Whole rock data for four samples are also shown (Timm et al., 2016; Pullan, 2018). Compositional fields are defined by Le Maitre et al. (2002).

In all samples MgO decreases with increasing SiO$_2$ content (figure 4.7A), with the groundmass glasses showing the lowest MgO, and highest SiO$_2$ for each sample location, with the exception of Rumble III-2. Rumble III-2 samples show notable overlap between the groundmass glasses and melt inclusion compositions on all elemental plots. Overall, the back-arc samples have significantly higher MgO (lower SiO$_2$) contents than the arc front samples with Basin D having higher MgO at a given SiO$_2$ content than Basin I. In addition, Rumble III samples contain slightly lower MgO at a given SiO$_2$ content than Rumble II West samples (figure 4.7A).

FeO$_{\text{total}}$ correlations with SiO$_2$ (and MgO) differ between the arc front and back-arc samples (figure 4.7C). Arc front samples show a strong trend of decreasing FeO$_{\text{total}}$ with increasing SiO$_2$ (and decreasing MgO), and generally contain higher FeO$_{\text{total}}$ at a given SiO$_2$ than the back-arc basins. RIIW-1 samples have a bimodal composition with inclusions split between compositions similar to either Basin D or the other Rumble II West samples. By contrast, the FeO$_{\text{total}}$ composition of the back-arc samples is relatively consistent over increasing SiO$_2$. Groundmass glasses of all samples are
slightly offset to higher FeO\textsubscript{total} at a given SiO\textsubscript{2} relative to their respective melt inclusions (figure 4.7C).

Overall, Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} shows a general slight decreasing trend with increasing SiO\textsubscript{2} (and decreasing MgO; figure 4.7D). Within individual samples there are minimal decreases or variations in Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} contents, however the groundmass glass compositions of most samples typically have higher SiO\textsubscript{2} and lower Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} than their respective melt inclusions. RIIW-1 samples however show a minor antithetic trend, with a slight increase in Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} with increasing SiO\textsubscript{2}. CaO, unlike Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, decreases with increasing, most notably within the backarc samples (figure 4.7E). Basin D glasses have slightly higher CaO than Basin I at a given SiO\textsubscript{2} content, however CaO vs. MgO systematics show more scatter (not shown). Groundmass glass compositions generally have lower CaO contents than their respective melt inclusions.

TiO\textsubscript{2} increases with increasing SiO\textsubscript{2} and decreasing MgO for all samples (figure 4.7B). At comparable SiO\textsubscript{2} values, Basin I samples have the highest TiO\textsubscript{2} contents followed by Basin D, RIIW-1 and -2 and then RIII and RIIW-3 samples with equally low TiO\textsubscript{2}.

K\textsubscript{2}O contents increase with increasing SiO\textsubscript{2} (figure 4.7H). However, most sample locations follow distinctly separate trends of K\textsubscript{2}O and SiO\textsubscript{2}. Basin I has the highest K\textsubscript{2}O content and is the only sample to be classified within the medium-K series (Gill, 1981). Rumble II West-1 and 2 samples have overlapping compositions following the same low-K\textsubscript{2}O trend versus SiO\textsubscript{2}. RIIW-3 is distinct from the other two Rumble II West samples however, with higher K\textsubscript{2}O at a given SiO\textsubscript{2}, and plotting on a similar trend to that of Basin D, although at higher SiO\textsubscript{2} values. Rumble III samples overlap with the highest K\textsubscript{2}O trend of those within the Low-K series. Basin I and D compositions are largely consistent with other back-arc basins, as too are arc front samples with arc front volcanoes (figure 4.7H).
Figure 4.7: Bivariate diagrams of select major, minor and volatile elements plotted against SiO$_2$, (A) MgO, (B) TiO$_2$, (C) FeO$_{total}$, (D) Al$_2$O$_3$, (E) CaO, (F) MnO, (G) Na$_2$O, (H) K$_2$O, (I) Cr$_2$O$_3$, (J) S$_{total}$, (K) Cl, and (L) H$_2$O. Light blue, grey, and green fields represent SKAHT back-arc basin, arc front stratovolcanoes (Rumble II West, Rumble II East, Rumble III, and Rumble IV), and Brothers volcano whole rock compositions, respectively (Gamble et al., 1993c; Wright and Gamble, 1999; Haase et al., 2006; Pullan, 2018). Whole rock samples of RIIW-1, RIIW-2, Basin I and Basin D are also shown (Timm et al., 2012; Pullan, 2018) Compositions for Brothers volcano are included to represent more evolved southern KA volcanic front magmas. K$_2$O classification scheme from Gill (1981). Errors (2 SD) are given in the top right hand corner of each plot.
Collectively, all samples display a clear increase in Na$_2$O content with increasing SiO$_2$, with slight variations between samples (figure 4.7G). Basin I groundmass glasses have notably higher Na$_2$O contents than their respective melt inclusions.

Both MnO and Cr$_2$O$_3$ show high degrees of scatter (figure 4.7F & I), likely associated with low contents and high analytical uncertainties. Broadly, MnO compositions stay moderately consistent between melt inclusions and groundmass compositions, with no distinct systematic variations. Despite significant scatter, back-arc basin samples generally contain higher Cr$_2$O$_3$ than the arc front samples. Conversely, MnO contents are generally higher within arc front samples than the back-arc samples.

Analysed melt inclusions and groundmass glasses are consistent with whole rock compositions of previously published proximal back-arc basins and arc front samples (figure 4.7; Gamble et al., 1993c; Wright and Gamble, 1999; Haase et al., 2006; Pullan, 2018). Basin I and D samples share strong similarities with Havre Trough basin whole rock compositions. Rumble III and Rumble II West samples are broadly consistent with SKA volcanoes (figure 4.7). These similarities are suggestive of generally consistent compositional trends between arc front and back-arc magmas.
4.4.2 Volatiles

Sulfur versus SiO$_2$ follows a strong negative curvilinear trend with consistent decreases in sulfur abundance from melt inclusions to associated ground mass glasses (figure 4.7J). Aside from two anomalously high RIIW-1 samples, all arc front melt inclusions have sulfur contents below ~0.06 wt.%, with most arc front samples ranging from ~0.05 – 0.003 wt.% sulfur. Back-arc basin melt inclusions are typically above 0.05 wt.% sulfur. Groundmass glasses of all samples lie below 0.02 wt.% sulfur, the highest being from Basin D.

All samples display increasing Cl content with increasing SiO$_2$ (figure 4.7K). The different sample locations, follow notably distinct trends however. Basin I glasses have the highest Cl contents at a given MgO or SiO$_2$ value, and follow a distinctly separate trend from all other samples. RIIW-1 and 2 show similar Cl compositions, and have the lowest Cl abundances relative to SiO$_2$. However, these two are distinct from RIIW-3 samples which have higher Cl contents and shares more similarities to the Rumble III samples. Basin D glasses distinct from Basin I, following a moderate trend similar to that of RIIW-3, although at higher MgO and lower SiO$_2$ (figure 4.7K).

H$_2$O contents of the melt inclusions are highly variable, both within and between sample locations, with samples displaying large variations of H$_2$O at similar SiO$_2$ contents (figure 4.7L). Rumble III samples show the least variance, ranging from 1.19 – 1.69 and 0.53 – 1.08 wt.% H$_2$O for RIII-1 and RIII-2, respectively. The highest and lowest measured H$_2$O values are 3.1 and 0.35 wt.% from RIIW-2 and RIIW-3 samples, respectively. Only two analysed glasses have measurable CO$_2$ contents, RIIW-1 inclusion D at 18 ppm, featuring 0.39 wt.% H$_2$O, and RIII-1 inclusion AA at 119 ppm CO$_2$ at 1.69 wt.% CO$_2$ (figure 4.7L).

4.5 Trace element geochemistry

Trace element data for the glasses are summarised in N-MORB normalised multi-element diagrams (figure 4.8) and chondrite normalised REE diagrams (figure 4.9). The samples typically show comparable composition patterns from individual locations with greater compositional variations apparent between sample locations.
All samples display relatively high concentrations of fluid mobile, LILE (e.g., Rb, Ba, Pb and Sr) relative to average N-MORB compositions (figure 4.8). For these elements, Rumble III and Basin I samples are most similar with the highest absolute concentrations whereas RIIW-1 and 2 samples have the lowest concentrations of LILE (figure 4.8A & B). Basin D and RIIW-3 samples display similar, moderately high LILE contents (figure 4.8D & C). High-field strength elements (e.g., Ta, Nb, Zr, Hf) show low concentrations and are depleted relative to NMORB (figure 4.8). Relative abundances of HFSE between locations are not consistent with the relative enrichment observed in the LILE. For example, Nb and Ta concentrations are lowest for Rumble III samples. Whereas, Basin I are only moderately depleted relative to N-MORB (figure 4.8 E & F). Basin D and RIIW-3 samples have comparable depletion of Nb and Ta that are close to N-MORB (figure 4.8 D & C). Similar variations are not followed for Zr and Hf, where all samples show slight to moderately lower concentrations than N-MORB, excluding the notably greater Zr and Hf depletion of Basin I samples (figure 4.8E). Rumble II West inclusion-K is compositionally distinct from all other samples with general lower abundances for most trace elements (figure 4.8). These patterns of relative enrichment of LILE and depletion of HFSE relatively to N-MORB are typical of subduction lavas (Gill, 1981).
Figure 4.8: N-MORB-normalized (after Gale et al., 2013) multitrace element diagram for SKAHT groundmass glass and melt inclusions investigated in this study. (A) RIIW-1, (B) RIIW-2, (C) RIIW-3, (D) Basin D, (E) Basin I, (F) RIII-1, (G) RIII-2. Melt inclusions are labelled as individual samples with unique symbols whereas groundmass glass compositions all share same symbol due to consistent compositions. Displayed on each is the average groundmass glass composition from Basin D as a reference.
Figure 4.8 Continued.
Chondrite normalised, REE multi-element plots indicate a general systematic arc-perpendicular trend, whereby samples furthest from the arc front (Basins D and I) show a steeper LREE to HREE trend whereas arc front samples (RIIW-1 and 2 and RIII-1 and 2) show notably shallower-flat trends (figure 4.9). RIIW-3 samples are more similar to Basin D than to the other Rumble II West samples (figure 4.9C & D), a relationship also observed for most trace elements (e.g., figure 4.8). Rumble III, RIIW-2, and two RIIW-1 samples (RIIW-1 inclusion E and average Groundmass) are similar to one another with an enrichment of approximately 16x – 19x for all REE, relative to chondrite values (figure 4.9A, B, F & G). RIIW-1 samples show the greatest variance, with one melt inclusion (RIIW-1 K) having the lowest chondrite-normalised REE pattern. Basin I shows the steepest REE pattern, with the greatest enrichment of LREE, followed by both Basin D and RIIW-3, which have very similar patterns. The back-arc basin and RIIW-3 samples also have the lowest HREE contents (excluding inclusion RIIW-1 K).
Figure 4.9: Chondrite normalised rare Earth element (REE) plots for Southern Kermadec Arc – Havre Trough melt inclusions and groundmass glasses. (A) RIIW-1, (B) RIIW-2, (C) RIIW-3, (D) Basin D, (E) Basin I, (F) RIIW-1, (G) RIIW-2. Blue line is N-MORB and red line is E-MORB (Gale et al., 2014). All normalization values are taken from Sun and McDonough (1989).
Figure 4.9 Continued.
4.5.1 Trace metals

Concentrations of selected trace metals are shown in figure 4.10. Rumble III and Basin I samples generally have higher Cu, V, Mo, Zn and Pb contents than Basin D and Rumble II West (figure 4.10). All samples are enriched in Cu, V, and Pb relative to average N-MORB (figure 4.10A, B, & C). In this dataset, Rumble III samples consistently exhibit the highest concentrations of metals, whereas Basin D is generally the least enriched at comparable SiO$_2$, with one inclusion having lower Cu concentrations than average N-MORB. Excluding Rumble III and the groundmass glasses of Basin I and RIIW-3, all other samples are depleted in Zn relative to average N-MORB. Rumble III glasses have Zn contents that are approximately 15% greater than N-MORB values. Molybdenum concentrations of Basin I are most similar to that of N-MORB. RIIW-1 and 2 samples have similar Mo concentrations to N-MORB but at higher SiO$_2$ values; the one RIIW-1 melt inclusion with comparable SiO$_2$ contents to N-MORB (and basin I) has notably lower Mo concentration (figure 4.10E). Generally, groundmass glass metal abundances are comparable or greater than those of their respective olivine-hosted melt inclusions however, Rumble III groundmass glass Cu, V, and Pb contents are lower than their respective most silicic melt inclusions (figure 4.10).
Figure 4.10: Bivariate element plots of select trace metals versus SiO$_2$ (wt.%). (A) Cu, (B) V, (C) Pb, (D) Zn, (E) Mo, and (F) Ni to indicate metal behaviour during fractional crystallization. Also included as reference are average N-MORB and E-MORB compositions, sourced from Gale et al., (2013).
Chapter 5: Discussion
5.1 Magmatic Processes

5.1.1 Magmatic Fractionation

All samples have phenocryst assemblages of plagioclase + olivine + clinopyroxene, as well as plagioclase microlites present within the groundmass. If the phenocrysts formed via fractional crystallization of the host melt, this should be apparent in the liquid evolution recorded by the major element concentrations in the analysed melt inclusions and groundmass glasses.

Melt inclusions sample relatively small regions within a magmatic system that are likely to be heterogeneous at the sampled scale. Therefore, inclusions may have considerable compositional variance. Conversely, groundmass glasses, the final melt phase quenched on eruption, are likely to represent a more homogenized sample (Maclennan, 2008; Laubier et al., 2012; Bo et al., 2018; Neave et al., 2018). This may introduce scatter to liquid lines of descent recorded by melt inclusions and groundmass glass. Nonetheless, some general and consistent trends can be observed in the samples.

The observed decrease in MgO with increasing SiO$_2$ (figure 4.7A) shown by all samples is consistent with the fractionation of Mg-bearing silicates such as olivine ± clinopyroxene. The different sample localities however, show distinct trends. Basin D, and to a lesser extent RIIW, are offset systematically to higher MgO for a given SiO$_2$ content than Basin I and Rumble III (figure 4.7A). These differences likely reflect either compositional differences in their respective primary magmas or differences in their magmatic evolution, or a combination of both.

The fraction of olivine ± pyroxenes dominating the magmatic evolution of the sampled melts is further supported by compositional trends in other major and minor element abundances.

Broad decreases in Ni with increasing SiO$_2$ (and decreasing MgO; figure 4.10F) are indicative of olivine fractionation. The back-arc basin samples show generally higher Ni than arc front samples, coupled with lower SiO$_2$ (and higher MgO). The decreasing Ni contents for Basins I and D samples indicate early onset and continuation of olivine fractionation, with the former showing lower Ni at a given SiO$_2$,
consistent with onset of olivine fractionation at lower SiO\textsubscript{2} contents. The arc front samples have notably lower Ni, with no obvious decreasing trends, indicative of melt inclusion entrapment following significant olivine fractionation has already occurred.

Overall, Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and CaO show general decreasing trends with increasing SiO\textsubscript{2} (figure 4.7D & E), consistent with the fractionation of clinopyroxene ± plagioclase. In particular, within individual sample locations, Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} decreases with increasing SiO\textsubscript{2} and, with the exception of the two Rumble III samples, groundmass glasses have lower A\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} contents than their respective melt inclusions (figure 4.7D), as expected from plagioclase fractionation.

The general increasing TiO\textsubscript{2} trends with increasing SiO\textsubscript{2} (decreasing MgO; figure 4.7) suggests TiO\textsubscript{2} is incompatible within fractionating phases. This indicates that the fractionation of a Ti bearing mineral phase such as spinel or magnetite was not significant in the evolution of the sampled magmas.

Opaque oxides were observed only as inclusions within back-arc basin olivine crystals, and were not observed in the arc front samples (figure 4.1). The opaque inclusions are likely to be spinel group minerals and their presence within the back-arc samples suggest that olivine hosts grew in more primitive magmas. Their absence in volcanic front suites, coupled with lower TiO\textsubscript{2} in the arc front samples relative to back-arc samples, indicates that any spinel fractionation occurred early in the arc front magma evolution and stopped prior to crystallisation of the samples olivine crystals and associated melt inclusion entrapment.

The increasing K\textsubscript{2}O with SiO\textsubscript{2} trends are consistent for each sample, reflecting evolution of individual magmas through crystal fractionation processes. The three of possibly four highly distinct trends cannot be explained by crystal fractionation alone and require different K\textsubscript{2}O contents in the primary mantle melts.

The Rumble III lavas are notably phenocryst-poor compared to the other lavas sampled (3 – 5 vol.% phenocrysts, compared to 20 – 40 vol.%), and are among the most evolved of the samples. These magmas may have stalled in the crust allowing for a larger degree of crystal settling compared to the other lavas, resulting in only a few, relatively late crystallising phenocrysts remaining suspended in the magma upon eruption. This is consistent with the very narrow range in melt inclusion and
groundmass glass compositions for the two Rumble III samples (e.g., ~ 1.3 wt.% range in MgO, compared with 2 – 3 wt.% for Basin I and Rumble II West-1 samples) and notable compositional overlap between inclusions and groundmass glasses. The Rumble III glasses therefore record a very limited range of magma evolution.

Rumble II West glasses, unlike Rumble III samples, span a wider range in magma evolution and show significant inter-sample variation. Rumble II West-2 glasses have lower TiO$_2$, K$_2$O, Na$_2$O, higher Al$_2$O$_3$, and similar FeO$_{total}$, MgO, and CaO contents relative to RIIW-3. Rumble II West-1 has inclusion compositions that share similarities to both RIIW-2 and 3, most clearly shown in their TiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, Na$_2$O, and K$_2$O compositions. This is suggestive of compositionally distinct magmas at Rumble II West (e.g., RIIW-2 and RIIW-3), variably mixing (RIIW-1) and this has been captured in the melt inclusions.

Four samples have available whole rock data (Timm et al., 2012; Pullan, 2018), RIIW-1;2, Basin D and Basin I (figure 4.7). If the whole rock compositions represent a mix of melt and phenocrysts in a closed system, the whole rock compositions should also follow the liquid line of descent defined by the glasses analyses, which is what is broadly observed. The minor offsets in some major element abundances suggests the separation of fractionating phases ± accumulation of antecrysts, modifying the bulk rock compositions. However, this appears to have been minimal.

In summary, the melt inclusions and groundmass glasses represent magmatic evolution of their respective magmas dominated by crystal fraction of olivine + clinopyroxene + plagioclase. In detail, Ni and Cr$_2$O$_3$ contents indicate significant olivine ± clinopyroxene fractionation had occurred prior to entrapment of the melt inclusions, particularly for the volcanic front samples which generally represent more evolved melts. The different sample locations have tapped different primary melt compositions, most clearly exemplified by variable K$_2$O contents, and including variable melt compositions sampled at a single locality (Rumble II West) and within a single magma (RIIW-1).
5.1.2 Volatiles

Measured H$_2$O abundances are highly variable (figure 4.7L) with only Basin I H$_2$O contents consistent with fractionation-driven degassing. It is generally expected that the H$_2$O contents of arc magmas will follow fractionation-driven degassing behaviour, based on other inclusion studies focussed on the SKAHT (e.g., Wysoczanski et al., 2006, 2012) and known H$_2$O saturation-devolatilisation behaviour (Wallace, 2005; Wallace et al., 2015). All samples analysed for volatile contents contain measurable H$_2$O. However, only two contained detectable traces of CO$_2$; RIIW-1 inclusion-D and RIII-1 inclusion-AA with 18 and 119 ppm CO$_2$, respectively (figure 5.1). This is significantly lower than expected from undegassed arc front lavas (~ 2500 ppm; Wallace, 2005) indicating all samples have undergone a substantial amount of degassing and only RIIW-1 inclusion-D, and RIII-1 inclusion-AA may preserve undegassed magmatic H$_2$O contents. Given the relative solubility of these volatiles (Holloway and Jakobsson, 1986; Newman and Lowenstern, 2002; Wallace et al., 2015), even the two samples with measurable CO$_2$, likely represent significantly degassed melts, including the partial loss of H$_2$O. Therefore, all measured H$_2$O compositions are minimum estimates.

The Rumble III inclusion with 119 ppm CO$_2$ provides the best minimum H$_2$O estimate for the Rumble III system, at 1.08 wt.% H$_2$O. The highest measured H$_2$O is from RIIW-3 (3.1 wt.%; figure 5.1A), which is higher than that previously recorded for SKA lavas (~ 2.6 wt.% at Rumble II East; Wysoczanski et al., 2006, 2012). This suggests that the mantle beneath the arc front may have higher water contents than previously considered. Basins D and I have maximum H$_2$O contents of 2.5 and 1.7 wt.% H$_2$O, respectively (figure 5.10A), consistent with the back-arc mantle having a lower H$_2$O content than the volcanic front mantle, however as all these samples have lost CO$_2$, these values will also be minimum estimates. Fracturing and leakage of volatiles from melt inclusions, or post entrapment enrichment due to secondary olivine crystallisation may also produce variable H$_2$O contents. However, no inclusions with evidence of fracturing, or more than minimal secondary olivine growth were selected for analysis to minimise these effects. Therefore, a range of H$_2$O contents is believed to reflect true variations in volatile contents of the SKAHT. A similar line of argument was made by Luhr (2001), where higher H$_2$O contents in melt inclusions most likely
corresponds to greater entrapment depths, as \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and other volatiles continually exsolve from the melt during ascent to preserve saturation limits. This is consistent with calculated saturation pressures of the glasses using VolatileCalc 2.0 (figure 5.1A; Newman and Lowenstern, 2002) which indicate that the olivine-hosted melt inclusions were trapped right through the magmatic process.

Figure 5.1: Volatile species in SKAHT olivine hosted melt inclusions of this study. (A) \( \text{CO}_2 \) vs. \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \). Also shown are degassing trends of \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) during open and closed system behaviour (black dashed lines), and saturation pressures measured in bars (red lines) calculated using VolatileCalc 2.0 (Newman and Lowenstern, 2002). (B) \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) vs \( \text{S}_{\text{total}} \).

Total sulfur contents show a broad curvilinear decrease with increasing \( \text{SiO}_2 \) consistent with sulfur loss during magmatic evolution. This is supported by lower sulfur contents in the groundmass glasses than their respective inclusions (figure 4.7J), suggesting that fractionation driven degassing plays a role (Wysoczanski et al., 2012). The back-arc samples typically have >0.05 wt.% sulfur whereas the arc front samples have mainly <0.05 wt.% sulfur. This general separation in sulfur contents is expected as back-arc lavas are generally less evolved and consequently less degassed. All groundmass glass samples have <0.02 wt.% sulfur, which is likely due to extensive degassing immediately prior to and during eruption. The higher sulfur contents of back-arc basin groundmass glasses than arc front samples are consistent with eruption at greater water depths (higher hydrostatic pressures). Two inclusions from RIIW-1 have relatively high sulfur contents of 0.093 and 0.219 wt.% at 50.9 and 51.7 wt.% \( \text{SiO}_2 \), respectively, aligning with the trends of the more primitive back-arc samples (figure 4.7L). This suggests that the majority of sulfur degassing occurs relatively
rapidly over a range of 3 – 4 wt.% SiO$_2$ from approximately 48 to 50 wt.% SiO$_2$, following which the limited remaining sulfur degasses much more slowly.

Sulfur solubility in mafic magmas is complicated by variable responses to conditions depending on oxygen fugacity and the valence states of sulfur. Both S$^{2-}$ and S$^{6+}$ become more soluble with increasing temperature, thus a decrease in solubility and observed lower S concentrations with melt evolution may be partially attributed to melt cooling. The solubility of S$^{2-}$ decreases with increasing pressure, with the opposite true for S$^{6+}$. Furthermore, S$^{2-}$ solubility appears to increase with greater Fe$^{2+}$ proportions, suggesting a link to a dissolved FeS complex (Wallace et al., 2015; Wallace & Edmonds, 2011). The transition of a S$^{2-}$ to a S$^{6+}$ dominated basaltic melt occurs between a melt oxygen fugacity of 0 – 1 (ΔQFM). Unfortunately, there are no data available to evaluate the oxidation state of the lavas studies here, however, a prior study of lavas from Rumble II West, Rumble III and the Havre Trough, indicate S$^{6+}$ proportions of approximately 25 – 40% at similar MgO values to arc front and back-arc samples analysed in this study (Wysoczanski et al., 2012). It seems likely that the samples studied here would have similar sulfur speciation. Furthermore, Wysoczanski et al. (2012) show that sulfide (S$^{2-}$) proportions increase between melt inclusions and groundmass glasses, suggesting magmas become more reduced during sulfur degassing, implying that the removal of sulfur from SKAHT lavas is primarily as S$^{6+}$ (Mathez, 1984; Burgisser and Scaillet, 2007).

The relationship between sulfur and H$_2$O shows significant scatter (figure 5.1). The most distinct trend is shown by RIII-1 and 2 inclusions, which show sulfur contents increasing with decreasing H$_2$O. Conversely, Basins I and D show weak positive trends. The highly variable H$_2$O contents of these melts combined with few arc front samples with lesser degrees of sulfur degassing makes it difficult to discern a systematic relationship. However as both volatiles are controlled by degassing associated with oversaturation driven by fractional crystallization and decreasing pressure, it is likely that back-arc samples are most representative of the general trend where both volatile species are lost during magma ascent.

Chlorine appears to be broadly incompatible during crystal fractionation, with generally increasing contents with increasing SiO$_2$ (figure 4.7K). In detail, however, the largest Cl enrichment in the melts occurs relatively late stage with a significant
increase in Cl abundance between the most evolved melt inclusions and their respective groundmass glasses, whereas Cl concentrations in the melt inclusions remain relatively constant (figure 4.7K). This is likely associated with Cl becoming more melt-soluble at lower pressure (Aiuppa et al., 2009). All samples are within the expected typical range of basaltic arc magmas (500 – 2000 ppm) and have higher than that of MORB (~ 40 – 60 ppm), consistent with input of Cl to the mantle wedge source via subduction-related slab devolatilisation (Schilling et al., 1978; Ito et al., 1983). The SKAHT samples are likely under-saturated in Cl, based on maximum Cl values of both H₂O saturated rhyolites and basalts at 0.3 – 3 wt.% Cl, respectively. The relatively constant Cl contents amongst melt inclusions at varying SiO₂ is suggestive of partial degassing of Cl during the majority of melt evolution, consistent with an affinity for degassing aqueous phases (H₂O) that are less soluble at lower pressures (Aiuppa et al., 2009). Chlorine contents in the SKAHT lavas also behaves generally like K₂O, indicating that saline fluids did not enter the magma chamber (Kent et al., 2002).

5.2 Magma source compositions

5.2.1 Major elements and source variations

Significant variations in major element compositions are observed between sample locations, best exemplified by distinct magmatic trends for in K₂O and Cl vs SiO₂ (and MgO; figure 4.7H & K; 5.2A). Offsets in K₂O contents between different lavas at comparable SiO₂ and MgO compositions are indicative of variable primary magma compositions resulting from variations in source composition and/or partial melting conditions.

Variable K₂O contents in subduction zone settings have been linked to distances above the subducting slab (depth of the Wadati-Benioff Zone), with higher K₂O contents correlating with deeper sourced magmas (Hatherton and Dickinson, 1969; Marsh and Carmichael, 1974). The differences in K₂O contents of the SKAHT lavas sampled here is not consistent with this relationship however, as there is no correlation between K₂O contents and distance from the arc trench; the two highest
K$_2$O lavas come from Basin I and Rumble III. Furthermore, there are variations in the K$_2$O contents of melts samples from single locality, Rumble II West. Similarly, some of the most potassic lavas reported from the SKAHT come from a volcanic front volcano, Clark, that dominantly erupted more typical low-K lavas (Gamble et al., 1997).

K$_2$O may be used as an indicator of source fertility as it behaves incompatibly during partial melting of the mantle, has higher concentrations in less depleted mantle (Sun and McDonough, 1989; Gale et al., 2013), and can also be affected by degree of partial melting with lower degree melts being more alkalic. However, K$_2$O as well as Cl, can also be added to the mantle via subduction component inputs (Kent and Elliott, 2002; Pearce and Stern, 2006).

Relatively high Cl and K$_2$O contents of Rumble III samples appear to be related to subduction enrichment processes, based on the positive correlation of K$_2$O/Nb versus Cl/Nb (figure 5.2B). Similar correlations have previously been interpreted as indicating increasing subduction enrichment in arc magmas (e.g., Kent and Elliott, 2002; Pearce and Stern, 2006). Niobium is a HFSE and considered immobile in slab-derived melts and fluids. If K$_2$O and Cl behave incompatibly, ratioing K$_2$O and Cl to Nb negates the effects of fractional crystallisation and partial melting (Pearce and Stern, 2006). The high K$_2$O and Cl contents in the Rumble III samples correlate with the highest K$_2$O/Nb and Cl/Nb values, suggesting significant enrichment of these elements by subduction processes rather than reflecting partial melting of a relatively fertile source or low degrees of partial melting. By contrast, the comparably high K$_2$O and Cl contents of Basin I glasses are coupled with lower K$_2$O/Nb and Cl/Nb, hence likely reflect melting of a more fertile mantle source with a lesser influence from subduction-related enrichment (figure 5.2B). Basin D and RIIW-3 samples have similar K$_2$O vs SiO$_2$ trends, however, the Cl content of RIIW-3 glasses notably higher than that of Basin D glasses and more similar to that of the Rumble III samples (figure 4.7H). According to Kent & Elliott (2002), a higher Cl/Nb may also be indicative of greater crustal assimilation and fractional crystallization (AFC). Regardless, RIIW-3 appears to be sourced from a more enriched mantle compared to that of RIIW-1 and 2, although all three Rumble II West samples have consistent K$_2$O/Cl (figure 5.2B) suggesting a common enrichment mechanism.
Tracing major and minor element compositions along magmatic trends to a common MgO composition (e.g., 8 wt.% MgO) allows differences in source compositions to be evaluated. Following methods of Taylor and Martinez, (2003), Fe₈, Na₈, and Ti₈ concentrations were calculated, representing approximate values of respective oxides at 8 wt.% MgO (figure 5.3). Samples from individual locations form distinct clusters most clearly shown in Ti₈ vs Fe₈, and Na₈ vs Fe₈ to a lesser extent (figure 5.3A & B). For a given Fe₈ value, Basin D has the highest Na₈ and Ti₈, and conversely the two Rumble III samples generally have the lowest Na₈ and Ti₈. Rumble II West-1 shows the greatest scatter with Na₈ and Ti₈ concentrations sharing similarities with both Basin D and RIIW-1 and 2 samples. Distinct trends are also apparent in Na₈/Ti₈ versus Fe₈, with back-arc samples characterised by lower Na₈/Ti₈ (figure 5.3). The significant scatter in RIIW-1 glasses suggests that RIIW-1 inclusions potentially sampled distinct melt batches. As both TiO₂ and Na₂O are incompatible in mantle assemblages, similar to K₂O, these variations may reflect variable degrees of melting, with lower Ti₈ and Na₈ resulting from higher degrees of partial melting (Taylor and Martinez, 2003; Kelley et al., 2009). Alternatively, higher Ti and Na abundances may be the result of partial melting of a more fertile source.
5.2.2 Mantle Fertility

The fertility of a mantle source is linked to prior partial melting events, with a more depleted mantle resulting from extraction of larger partial melt fractions. Mantle source fertility for arc magmas can be investigated though the use of incompatible trace element ratios such as Nb/Yb (figure 5.4A), which compare a highly incompatible to a less incompatible trace element, both of which are relatively immobile in slab-derived fluids and melts (Pearce and Stern, 2006). In typical arc settings, the mantle wedge back-arc magma source is generally less depleted than the mantle wedge beneath the arc front due to trench-ward circulation of the mantle. Niobium/Yb in the glasses analysed here, suggest Basin D and RIIW-3 mantle sources are slightly enriched relative to an average N-MORB composition (Nb/Yb = 1.45 – 1.28 and 1.13 – 1.02,
respectively, Nb/Yb of N-MORB = 1.1; Gale et al., 2013), whereas arc front samples, Rumble III and RlW-1 and 2, have significantly more depleted sources (Nb/Yb = 0.27 – 0.31, 0.59 – 0.72, and 0.57 – 0.67, respectively). Basin I (Nb/Yb = 0.87 – 1) is similar to N-MORB, despite being the furthest distance from the trench, although, back-arc basin sources are generally more fertile than arc front sources. Thus, although the back-arc basin melts appear derived from generally more fertile sources than the arc front melts, there is significant variation from this pattern, suggesting a considerably heterogeneous inflowing mantle wedge material. A similar conclusion has been noted by Todd et al. (2010), with Nb/Yb in cross-arc Rumble V Ridge basalts suggesting heterogeneous histories of melt extraction perpendicular to the trench.

![Element ratio plots showing (A) mantle fertility (Nb/Yb), (B) proportions of slab components (Ba/Th = shallow aqueous component, Th/Nb = deep component, (C) total subduction component (Ba/Nb) and sediment melt ([La/Sm]n) of SKAHT samples investigated in this study. N-MORB values are representative of a melt composition with no subduction components (Gale et al., 2013).](image)
5.2.3 Subduction Components

Several incompatible trace element ratios can be utilised to evaluate subducting slab derived inputs into the overlying mantle wedge. These ratios can differentiate between components such as shallow aqueous fluid input and deeper slab melts or supercritical fluids (e.g., Pearce and Stern, 2006). Barium, Th, and Nb are commonly utilised in tracing these components for several reasons. Firstly, they have similar partition coefficients during both partial melting of the mantle and fractional crystallisation. Therefore, ratios involving these elements should be minimally affected by degree of partial melting or crystal fractionation. The latter is evident, for example, from no systematic changes in ratio values between melt inclusions and groundmass glass compositions for individual locations (figure 5.4). Secondly, chemical properties of these elements mean they are variably mobilised by different subduction zone components. Niobium, like other HFSE, is fluid-immobile and behaves conservatively in a subduction setting (Pearce and Stern, 2006). Its abundance represents a baseline, mantle wedge composition, prior to subduction modification. Barium, being a LILE, is highly mobile in aqueous fluids, and is transported by fluid released from the subducting slab. This is a relatively shallow process, although Ba can be added by deeper processes as well (Pearce and Stern, 2006). Thorium is immobile during aqueous fluid flow but is mobile in higher temperature phases (e.g., melts and supercritical fluids; Manning, 2006; Pearce and Stern, 2006; Ni et al., 2017) which predominantly occur deeper in the subduction system. Thus, Ba/Nb can be used as a proxy of the total subduction component (figure 5.4C), Ba/Th for the shallow, aqueous component, and Th/Nb for deeper components (figure 5.4B).

Figure 5.4B showing Ba/Th vs Th/Nb reveals a general trend of decreasing Ba/Th values with distance from the arc front, with Rumble III samples displaying the largest shallow component and Basin I, the lowest. Interestingly, RIIW-3 is distinct from the other two Rumble II West samples with values more like back-arc samples. Overall the observed spatial trend in Ba/Th is consistent with shallow aqueous fluid release from the subducting slab most strongly affecting the active volcanic front (e.g., Eiler, 2003; Wysoczanski et al., 2012; Bebout, 2014).

Deep subduction components, as represented by Th/Nb (figure 5.4B), show less systematic variations with distance from the arc trench. Basin I glasses display the
highest values (~0.8 – 0.93) with Rumble III glasses only slightly lower (0.66 – 0.79). RIIW-1 and 2 samples contain the lowest Th/Nb (~ 0.12 – 0.2), whereas, RIIW-3 has a higher Th/Nb than Basin D (0.27 – 0.299 and 0.212 – 0.23, respectively). Notably, Basin I and Rumble III glasses, with the highest Th/Nb values represent the furthest and nearest from arc trench localities, respectively. However, both these locations are also offset southwards along strike of the arc from Rumble II West and Basin D, suggesting an along strike variation in the deep component may be more significant than trench-perpendicular variations.

A deep component can be further examined using \([\text{La/Sm}]_n\) values (chondrite normalised La/Sm) to target input of slab derived melts, given that sediment melts will have elevated \([\text{La/Sm}]_n\) values (Kent and Elliott, 2002). All samples show evidence for minor addition of sediment melt, with elevated \([\text{La/Sm}]_n\) values relative to N-MORB (figure 5.4C). On the basis of \([\text{La/Sm}]_n\), Basin I appears to have the greatest slab derived melt component, followed by Basin D and RIIW-3. However, the \([\text{La/Sm}]_n\) values may be higher in Basin D and RIIW-3 due to their more fertile sources.

With respect to both subduction components, and mantle fertility, RIIW-3, is compositionally more similar to that of the back-arc basin samples than to RIIW-1 and 2 samples, with deep subduction components (Th/Nb) and mantle fertility (Nb/Yb) intermediate between that of Basin I and Basin D. RIIW-3 also has distinctly higher Cl and K2O contents than the other two Rumble II West samples. The similar location of RIIW-1 and 2 samples and distinctly different composition to RIIW-3 samples suggests distinctly different mantle sources. RIIW-3 glasses may also represent a melt composition that has resulted from the mixing of partial melts sourced from mantle sources similar to RIIW-1;2 and nearby Basin D, which could explain the intermediate trace element composition of RIIW-3 glasses.

5.2.4 Partial melting

Differences in the degree of partial melting between melts can have significant effects on element concentrations, particularly elements that are incompatible within the mantle. In order to evaluate the effects of variations in partial melting, degrees of partial melting (f) were calculated for the analysed glasses using the methodology of
Kelley et al. (2006), utilizing Ti as a proxy. Relatively low Mg (<7 wt.%) glass compositions were included in this analysis due to the limited number of suitable samples, despite issues with relatively fractionated compositions. The use of potentially less suitable samples will likely increase scatter in the calculated degrees of partial melting. The partial melting values determined using this method will reflect total melt removal from a mantle source rather than just the partial melting that resulted in the production of the sampled magma. Nonetheless, this method gives insight into potential variability of partial melting of the mantle, and the effects on primary melt compositions.

In general, the calculated total melt fractions decrease from arc front to back-arc with Basin I sample source from slightly higher partial melting than Basin D (figure 5.5). Basins D and I samples have calculated melt fractions (approximately 9 – 20%) that are similar to those calculated for other back-arc samples including Ngatoroirangi Rift, and other basins of the Havre Trough, as well as the Lau Basin and Mariana Trough (e.g., Kelley et al., 2006; Wysoczanski et al., 2012). Higher degrees of partial melting (15 – 50%) are calculated for the arc front volcanoes (Rumble II West and Rumble III). This is consistent with those calculated by Wysoczanski et al. (2012) for Rumble II West (~ 30%) and other SKA volcanoes (Rumble II East and Clark volcanoes) as well as the Kermadec Ridge (~ 20 – 36%).

The calculated degree of partial melting is affected by the depletion of the mantle source, in that a more depleted source has already experienced prior melt extraction event(s) and that calculation cannot distinguish between single or multiple melt extraction histories. This link is evident in the SKAHT glasses with a negative correlation between calculated degrees of partial melting and Nb/Yb (mantle fertility proxy; figure 5.5D). It is unlikely that the calculated degrees of partial melting truly reflect the sampled melts, especially those of Rumble III with upwards of 50% partial melting calculated. Instead these values likely reflect a lower degree of melting from a mantle source that has experienced higher amounts of partial melting than other samples (e.g. Basin D and I). The high calculated degrees of partial melting and significant source depletion of Rumble III is consistent with it being one of the largest SKAHT volcanoes (Tontini et al., 2013).
The calculated degrees of partial melting form a broad negative correlation with chondrite normalised [La/Lu]$_n$ ratios. Lanthanum and Lu are moderately incompatible and less incompatible elements, respectively. Thus La/Lu decrease with greater degrees of partial melting. This relationship is observed in the sample suite, however Basin I inclusions feature the highest measured [La/Lu]$_n$, and the second lowest calculated degree of melting. [La/Lu]$_n$ as with [La/Sm]$_n$, discussed previously, can also be affected by the addition of a deep subduction component. This may explain why Basin I, with the highest [La/Sm]$_n$ and Th/Nb, has a higher [La/Lu]$_n$ value than would be predicted from the relationship with degree of partial melting.

The calculated total degree of partial melting for the glasses show broad positive correlations with proxies of subduction inputs (Ba/Nb and Ba/Th; figure 5.5A & B). The correlations with Ba/Nb, a proxy for total slab input into the mantle wedge (figure 5.5A) appear to follow two separate trends; Rumble II West samples, and Rumble III – Basin I, both anchored by Basin D samples. A stronger correlation with
Ba/Th, a slab-derived aqueous fluid proxy, holds for all locations (figure 5.5B) suggesting that aqueous fluid input may be a significant control on degree of melting. This would be consistent with fluid-flux melting, whereby the mantle peridotite solidus in lowered by the addition of water (Schmidt and Poli, 2003; Tamura et al., 2007; Timm et al., 2016).

Alternatively, the total degree of melting may be linked to trench-ward cycling of the mantle with progressive tapping and subsequent depletion of the mantle source from back-arc to arc front. The measured distance of the sample locations from the Kermadec trench generally correlates with the degree of melting (figure 5.6), however with more scatter than the relationship between calculated degree of melting and Ba/Th (figure 5.5B). Basin I samples are also significantly offset at ~296 km from trench, with slightly higher calculated degrees of melting than Basin D. As progressive mantle tapping with convection and aqueous fluid release from the subducting slab are both linked to distance from the trench (Eiler, 2003; Wysoczanski et al., 2012; Bebout, 2014) it is difficult to separate the relative effects of each on the total degree of partial melting. It is likely that the calculated degrees of partial melting represent the combined effects of continual source tapping and the particular degree of melting that resulted in the specific melt compositions of the analysed SKAHT glasses.

Collectively, between source variation, mantle fertility, and extent of partial melting proxies there are differences between samples from different locations. Basin D samples, with the highest Ti\textsubscript{8} values (1.02 – 0.72 wt.%), correspond to the most fertile mantle source as shown by Nb/Yb ratios and lowest calculated degrees of partial
melting ($f = 9 – 15\%$). Contrastingly, RIIW-3 glasses, with a slightly more fertile source than Basin I, have notably lower Ti$_8$ concentrations, similar to RIIW-1 and 2. This could be explained if the melt that produced the RIIW-3 glasses was the result of a higher degree of partial melting of a more fertile mantle than Basin I, thus leading to lower Ti$_8$ and higher Nb/Yb within RIIW-3 samples compared to Basin I. This is consistent with a generally higher calculated total degree of partial melting for RIIW-3 glasses than for the Basin I glasses.

Proxies of mantle fertility such as Nb/Yb are not fully consistent with an interpretation of K$_2$O contents being an indicator of mantle fertility. For example, Basin I and Rumble III samples, with notably high K$_2$O values ($\sim 0.4 – 0.8$ and $0.5 – 0.7$ wt.$\%$, respectively) have relatively low Nb/Yb ($\sim 0.87 – 1$ and $0.28 – 0.33$), suggesting that K$_2$O (and also Cl), reflect a significant subduction input to the mantle sources of Basin I and Rumble III magmas (5.2B). This is further supported by the comparison of Cl and K$_2$O contents to Ba/Th and Th/Nb (shallow and deep subduction components, respectively) indicating that Cl and K$_2$O of arc front samples, excluding RIIW-3, correlate strongly with an aqueous-fluid subduction component (figure 5.7). Chlorine and K$_2$O contents of back-arc samples and RIIW-3 glasses show a stronger positive correlation with deep subduction components, especially Basin I, with significantly higher Th/Nb and Cl (wt.\%) values.

Figure 5.7: Alkali elements (Cl & K$_2$O) vs shallow (Ba/Th) and deep (Th/Nb) subduction components. (A) Cl vs Ba/Th, (B) Cl vs Th/Nb, (C) K$_2$O vs Ba/Th, and (D) K$_2$O vs Th/Nb.
5.3 Metals in the SKAHT

The overall metal contents in the Kermadec Arc – back-arc lavas reflect the combined influence of a number of variables, including source composition, degree of partial melting, fractional crystallisation and degassing processes, and crustal contamination. The preceding discussion has placed some constraints on such processes. This section explores how specific metals (Cu, V, Zn, Pb, and Mo) behave in the KAHT system setting. These metals are of particular interest due to their relatively high abundance in hydrothermal mineralization deposits, a notable feature of Rumble II West, Brothers and Clark volcanoes within the SKA.

5.3.1 Metals during magma evolution

Lead displays a general increase in concentration with increasing SiO$_2$ (figure 5.8C), consistent with incompatibility during crystal fractionation. Furthermore, the positive relationship flattens with increasing SiO$_2$, with less variation in Pb contents beyond approximately 52 wt.% SiO$_2$, suggesting Pb becomes less incompatible within fractionating phases. Two distinct, subparallel trends are observed; high Pb defined by Basin I and Rumble III samples, and a lower Pb trend defined by Basin D and Rumble II West samples.

Vanadium and Mo show similar general trends, increasing in concentration with increasing SiO$_2$ (figure 5.8 B & E). This suggests both V and Mo behave incompatibly during crystal fractionation. A decrease in incompatibility of these metals within the melt is less apparent than Pb, although Rumble III groundmass glasses also have lower V concentrations compared to melt inclusions (figure 5.8B). Vanadium and Mo show three distinct trends with SiO$_2$; high V and Mo (Basin I and RIII-1;2), moderate V and Mo (Basin D and RIIW-3), and low V and Mo (RIIW-1 and RIIW-2). Zinc shows similar variations between localities but less passive enrichment via fractional crystallisation than V and Mo and more scatter (figure 5.8D).

Basin D glasses are the only samples to show significantly increasing Cu contents with increasing SiO$_2$ (figure 5.8A). The Cu concentrations of other samples show relatively low variations, or scatter that does not correlate with SiO$_2$. As with Pb,
V, Mo, and Zn, Cu concentrations are higher for Basin I and Rumble III for a given SiO$_2$ than Basin D and Rumble II West samples (figure 5.8B & E), however RIIW-2 glasses have significantly higher Cu, similar to RIII samples and are offset from the other Rumble II West samples. Copper contents in RIII-1 and 2 groundmass glasses slightly decreased relative to respective melt inclusions, similar to V and Pb.

Overall, the trace metal behaviour is consistent with the elements being incompatible within the dominant fractionating crystal phases (olivine + clinopyroxene + plagioclase). This is consistent with calculated partition coefficients of basaltic systems in natural and laboratory settings (e.g., Bougault and Hekinian, 1974; Paster et al., 1974; Matsui et al., 1977; Klock and Palme, 1988; McKenzie and O’Nions, 1991; Hart and Dunn, 1993; Jenner et al., 1993; Hauri et al., 1994), excluding V which is slightly compatible in clinopyroxene, however this effect is likely minimal as all samples show increasing V concentrations with increasing SiO$_2$ (figure 5.8B).

Based on the trends defined by Basin I glasses relative enrichment of each metal prior to approximately 52 wt.% SiO$_2$ are Pb, Cu > Mo > V > Zn. There appears to be no systematic differences in metal behaviour between arc front and back-arc samples. Rather, from approximately 52 wt.% SiO$_2$ Pb and Cu and perhaps Zn, appear to become less incompatible within the melt, likely the result of fractionating of a phase in which these elements are compatible, decreasing or mitigating the enrichment effects of removal of the main crystallising phases. More significant differences in metal contents occur between more southern samples (Basin I and Rumble III) and northern samples (Basin D and Rumble II West), which as for the lithophile elements discussed earlier, likely reflect source variations. These differences with be explored later in sections 5.3.2 and 5.3.3.
A potential pathway for metal removal from the system is via degassing of volatile phases such as CO$_2$, H$_2$O, S, and potentially Cl. It is expected that during the continual degassing of the magma, as evident by decreasing sulfur contents, metals may be removed from the melt by partitioning into volatile phases, carried predominantly as sulfide species. This has been suggested for CuS complexes (Heinrich et al., 1992, 1999, Pokrovski et al., 2005, 2008; Simon et al., 2006; Cauzid et al., 2007; Seo et al., 2009; Zajacz and Halter, 2009; Zajacz et al., 2010). However, elements with similar valences (e.g., V, Mo, Zn and Pb) may also be incorporated. The interplay of fractionation related enrichment (or depletion if a compatible phases crystallises) and degassing related depletion will cause metal abundances to either increase, or

Figure S.8: Bivariate element plots of (A) Cu, (B) V, (C) Pb, (D) Zn and (E) Mo against SiO$_2$. Coloured arrows indicate the general trends of metal abundances with increasing crystal fractionation. Note only Rumble III samples show systematic decreases (downwards trend) in Cu, V, and Pb. Average N-MORB and E-MORB compositions are also shown.
decrease, respectively, depending on their relative importance. The relationship between traces metals and sulfur contents may provide insights in potential metal loss due to sulfur species degassing.

Back-arc basins D and I as well as RIIW-1 and 3 show general increasing metal abundances with decreasing sulfur content (figure 5.9). Rumble II West-2 glasses show less metal content variance with decreasing sulfur, with no obvious increases in V, Zn, and Mo with decreasing Sulfur below 0.05 wt.% sulfur. Rumble III-1 and 2 samples are the only to show significant decreases of Cu and V concentrations during late stage sulfur degassing, suggesting that these metals were potentially removed as sulfide complexes during magma degassing. However, given that only Rumble III samples display this trend there is likely a physiochemical difference between RIII magmas and those of the other sampled SKAHT localities. The late stage metal loss in Rumble III lavas, as shown by the groundmass glasses, may relate to eruption at significantly shallower depths at ~ 250 mbsl compared to ~ 1300 – 2800 mbsl of Rumble II West and the back-arc basins, respectively. Despite apparent late stage metal loss, Rumble III lavas generally have the highest trace metal contents of these SKAHT samples. The greater depths of Rumble II West and the back-arc basins may hinder late degassing and associated metal loss on eruption due to a higher hydrostatic pressure.

Together, metal trends with both SiO₂ and S, support competing effects of metals loss to devolatilisation, and enrichment due to incompatible crystal fractionation. The increases in trace metal concentrations are most significant in back-arc basin samples, which display the least evolved samples and feature the majority of sulfur degassing from approximately 0.15 – 0.05 wt.% sulfur (figure 5.9). Metal elements are predominantly removed by S²⁻ species (Zajacz and Halter, 2009; Zajacz et al., 2010; Richards, 2011) suggesting that most sulfur degassing of these SKHAT lavas was likely dominated by the more oxidized species (S⁶⁺). This is consistent with findings of Wysoczanski et al. (2012), whereby SKAHT melt inclusions generally had higher proportions of oxidised sulfur than respective pillow glasses. If S²⁻ dominated a smaller degree of metal enrichment or a net metal loss would be expected. Assuming mineral fractionation is constant, it would appear that the more evolved arc front magmas have greater metal losses compared to less evolved back-arc samples, as suggested by
greater metal enrichment in back-arc samples, despite the majority of sulfur already being degassed from arc front glasses (figure 5.9).

All samples featured comparable final sulfur contents within groundmass glasses, yet only the back-arc samples and RIIW-3 show significant increases in metal contents below 0.05 wt.% sulfur (figure 5.9). Although sulfur speciation was not measured in these samples a decreasing $S^{6+}$ content with sulfur degassing between melt inclusions and groundmass glass has been observed for other SKAHT glass samples, including from Rumble III, Rumble II West, and Havre Trough basalts (Wysoczanski et al., 2012). The variation in metal behaviours may be explained by more reduced melt conditions (higher $S^{2-}$ proportions) within the more evolved arc
front samples. A higher proportion of $S^{2-}$ would result in greater propensity for metal removal from the melt as degassing metal sulfide complexes.

These trends are also similar to the early stage effects associated with magnetite fractionation (Jenner et al., 2010). This event may have a twofold effect on chalcophile and siderophile elements. Firstly, if a sulfide phase begins to fractionate, Cu and similar transition metals may be incorporated and removed from the melt. Secondly, the drive from a $S^{6+}$ to $S^{2-}$ dominated melt assists metal incorporation into a degassing volatile phase even if sulfide does not reach saturation. It is generally considered that magnetite crystallisation occurs in arc settings at ~ 55 – 60 wt.% SiO$_2$, or at an Mg number of ~ 40 (Jenner et al., 2010, 2015; Timm et al., 2012). This would likely mean that these SKAHT samples are not sufficiently evolved for significant magnetite fractionation that would result in significant decreases in trace metal concentrations. Due to the high abundances of H$_2$O, arc magmas are capable or a relatively early onsite of magnetite crystallisation (Jenner et al., 2010, 2015). Therefore, samples with a recorded maximum H$_2$O content of 3.1 wt.% (representing a minimum water content) could feature an earlier crystallisation of magnetite fractionation. This is supported by negative correlation between FeO$_{total}$ and SiO$_2$ in the arc front lavas from Rumble III and Rumble II West from ~52 wt.% SiO$_2$. However, magnetite fractionation and the related subsequent sulfide increase, if present must be relatively minor as trace metal concentrations between all samples do not show strong decreases. The flattening trends of metals in arc front samples are similar in composition and gradient, to those observed in other Kermadec Arc lavas at ~ 53 – 55 wt.% SiO$_2$ at the point of inflection prior to onset of significant Cu, V, and Zn depletion (figure 2.3; Timm et al., 2012).

There is also the possibility that metals were transported as chloride complexes despite Cl behaving incompatibly during crystal fractionation (figure 4.10K). The fractionation between sulfur and Cl is expected with continued magma degassing (Lesne et al., 2011; Blundy et al., 2015). Metal contents in the glass samples show generally positive relationships with Cl contents. However, Rumble III groundmass glasses display noted decreases in most metal contents relative to melt inclusions (figure 5.10). As no coinciding loss of Cl is apparent, the majority of metal loss cannot be attributed to Cl degassing. Metal transport appears to be dominated by sulfide
complexes instead of chloride complexes (Heinrich et al., 1992, 1999, Pokrovski et al., 2005, 2008; Simon et al., 2006; Cauzid et al., 2007; Seo et al., 2009; Zajacz and Halter, 2009; Zajacz et al., 2010). For Au, Au-hydrosulfide complexes, stabilities are greatly increased by the presence of minor amounts of KCl or NaCl (Zajacz et al., 2010). Therefore, although Cl may not be a significant carrier itself, as noted by a lack of prominent degassing, its incorporation, however minor, into volatile phases may play an important role in the sequestration of some metals from melt. Furthermore, the relationship of metals with both sulfur and Cl, suggest metals are more likely lost to a fractionating volatile phase and not a crystalline phase such as a sulfide, especially given that these lavas are likely considerably far from $S^2-$ saturation (Wallace et al., 2015).

In summary, there are clear fractionation effects impacting the concentrations of metal elements within these SKAHT glasses. The evidence suggests that the metals behave largely incompatibly during magma evolution and the fractionation of olivine + clinopyroxene + plagioclase. The exceptions to this are Rumble III samples, which display a relationship with sulfur consistent with Cu, V (possibly Pb) loss through degassing. Furthermore, although the metal behaviour with magmatic evolution is broadly consistent among the different samples, they form distinct subparallel trends that indicate that there are variations in metal concentrations related to different primary melt and mantle source compositions.
5.3.2 Metals and partial melting

The effects of partial melting on metal concentrations are investigated here through the use of calculated degrees of partial melting ($f$) and reverse modelling of metal concentration to a standard MgO composition of 8 wt.%. This method minimises the effect of crystal fractionation using Petrolog (Danyushevsky and Plechov, 2011).

Copper$_8$ shows strong positive relationships with degree of melting (figure 5.11A), suggesting that the degree of partial melting has considerable influence on Cu concentrations within primary melts. However, Basin I samples are offset to notably higher Cu$_8$ values. A similar relationship is also shown by V$_8$ and Zn$_8$. Copper$_8$ and V$_8$ systematics are consistent with trends shown by other SKAHT glasses (Wysoczanski et al., 2012). Wysoczanski et al. (2012) suggested the behaviour of these metals indicates
the presence of a Cu, V bearing residual phase in the mantle sources. However, the positive relationship displayed by Zn₈ here (figure 5.11D) is contradictory to Zn₈ contents observed in the SKAHT glasses analysed by Wysoczanski et al. (2012). Lead₈ generally displays decreasing concentrations with increasing degree of melting for the Rumble II West and back-arc basin samples (figure 5.11C). This is consistent with other SKAHT glasses (e.g., Wysoczanski et al., 2012) and the incompatible behaviour of Pb within the mantle (Sun and McDonough, 1989; Gale et al., 2013). Rumble III glasses are offset from this trend however, with considerably higher Pb₈ at higher degrees of melting.

As discussed (section 5.2) the effects of partial melting, source fertility and subduction components are all strongly interwoven, therefore relationships between degree of melting and metal₈ values may also be influenced by subduction inputs. This is likely the case for arc front localities, primarily Rumble III, which shows significant subduction derived inputs, including Pb. As a result, the roles of the source compositions versus degree of partial melting can be difficult to deconvolve, and the role of subduction-derived components in metal concentrations needs to be explored.

![Modelled metal concentrations (ppm) at 8 wt.% MgO, (A) Cu₈, (B) V₈, (C) Pb₈, and (D) Zn₈ against calculated degree of melting to investigate the effects of partial melting on metal concentrations.](image)
5.3.3 Subduction related metal inputs

As with investigating the effects of partial melting, the impacts of crystal fractionation need to be accounted for. The metals of interest (Pb, Cu, V, Mo, Zn) behave generally incompatibly in individual locations (figure 5.8). Therefore, a ratio of metals to another incompatible trace element (e.g., Yb; Timm et al., 2012) should mitigate the effects of fractionation, which can then be compared to ratio proxies of various subduction inputs.

The addition of Pb to the source of subduction zone magmas via slab-derived components has been well documented (e.g., Timm et al., 2012; Wysoczanski et al., 2012; Ryan and Chauvel, 2013). Compared to average N-MORB values (Melson et al., 2002; Gale et al., 2013), Pb/Yb is significantly enriched in the SKAHT glasses (figure 5.12). Enrichment varies from ~ 2.6 (RIIW-1) to ~ 7.7 times (Basin I) N-MORB Pb/Yb values. Lead/Yb displays a general positive relationship with shallow subduction inputs (Ba/Th), excluding Basin I which is offset to high Pb/Yb – low Ba/Th values (figure 5.12). There are distinct trends similar to those noted in metal versus SiO₂ plots, whereby RIIW-1 is closely related to RIIW-2, and Basin D is similar to RIIW-3. Lead/Yb also appears strongly linked with a deep subduction component (Th/Nb; figure 5.12). Given low Ba/Th and high Th/Nb, the high Pb/Yb values of Basin I samples indicate Pb in the Basin I melts is largely sourced from a deep subduction component. The larger shallow components of RIIW-1 and 2 samples compared to RIIW-3 and Basin D samples, with comparable Pb/Yb values suggests that deep subduction components may be at least as effective for introducing Pb to the mantle as shallow aqueous components. The two southern localities (Rumble III and Basin I) have higher Pb concentrations overall than the northern localities (figure 4.10), consistent with overall higher slab-components, both deep and shallow, (section 5.2.3). For example, Rumble III glasses have a much higher shallow subduction component coupled with significantly higher Pb/Yb compared to Rumble II West samples 1 and 2, despite relatively similar Ba/Th values.

It should be noted, however, that the arc front mantle sources (RIII-1 and 2 and RIIW-1 and 2) are considerably less fertile (figure 5.4A) relative those of the back-arc and RIIW-3 samples, with a likely significant depletion of Pb prior to aqueous fluid input. Thus, the Pb enrichment via subduction inputs are likely to be greater than indicated by the relationships between Pb/Yb and Ba/Th and Th/Nb for Rumble III and
RIIW-1 and 2 glasses. This reasoning is consistent with the known high aqueous fluid mobility of Pb (Pearce and Stern, 2006; Wysoczanski et al., 2012). Additional Pb/Yb enrichment of back-arc and RIIW-3 may also be due to higher apparent sediment melt (\([\text{La/Sm}]_n\)) within these samples (figure 5.12).

Copper/Yb systematics with subduction zone inputs are broadly similar to Pb/Yb (figure 5.12). All samples show enrichments of Cu/Yb relative to average N-MORB, from \(\sim 1.03 - 1.5\) (Basin D) to \(\sim 3.6 - 4.4\) times (Basin I) average N-MORB Cu/Yb, however the back-arc basins show significant scatter (figure 5.12). RIIW-2 glasses and one RIIW-1 sample show generally higher Cu/Yb values than RIIW-3 glasses. This may be the result of a Cu enriched mantle source, or alternatively by the melt intercepting a metal-enriched zone at shallower depths. The latter possibility is consistent with the presence of hydrothermal activity and VMS deposits at Rumble II West (e.g., Leybourne et al., 2012). The Cu/Yb values for all samples excluding Basin I, correlated best with a shallow subduction input, however mobility within the deep component is also likely, and dominates in Basin I samples (figure 5.12). Unlike Pb/Yb, Cu/Yb should be less influenced by relative mantle fertility of the back-arc versus arc front samples. Copper appears to have behaved compatibly during partial melting (section 5.3.2) and will be less fractionated from the slightly incompatible Yb than the highly incompatible Pb during partial melting.

Zinc/Yb values relative to average N-MORB range from \(\sim 0.97\) (Basin D) to 1.9 times (Basin I; figure 5.12). The Zn/Yb of arc front samples excluding RIIW-3, correlate most strongly with a shallow subduction input, whereas the back-arc basins and RIIW-3 samples show little correlation with Ba/Th. There is a slight positive correlation between Th/Nb and Zn/Yb amongst all samples, which is more apparent amongst the northern locations (Rumble II West and Basin D) than the southern locations (Rumble III and Basin I; figure 5.12). These relationships suggest that Zn is potentially introduced into the mantle wedge by both shallow and deep subduction components, with again, greater deep components influencing the two more southern localities. Vanadium/Yb relationships with subduction components are notably similar to Zn/Yb with slightly higher enrichments relative to N-MORB, with \(\sim 1.2\) (RIIW-1) to 2.2 (Basin I) times N-MORB values (figure 5.12). Despite the correlation between both Zn/Yb and V/Yb and a shallow subduction input in arc front samples, laboratory studies have
shown that Zn and V are significantly less fluid mobile than Pb and Cu (e.g., Kogiso et al., 1997). Kogiso et al. (1997) reported that during dehydration of amphibolite (representative of oceanic crust) at 5.5 GPa and 900°C that Pb, and Cu abundances were reduced by up to 85 and 50%, respectively, whereas, Zn and V only decreased by 3.7% and 7.1%, respectively. Timm et al. (2012) suggest that a significant control on Zn and V enrichment systematics between arc front and back-arc lavas may be the variable oxidation states which is commonly greater in the arc front than back-arc magmas (Jugo, 2009; Kelley et al., 2009; Wallace and Edmonds, 2011). Variability in oxidation state is likely to have a greater influence over V as it becomes more significantly incompatible within the mantle at higher oxygen fugacity, transitioning from V²⁺ and V³⁺ to V⁴⁺ and V⁵⁺ (Lee et al., 2003). However, the overall influence of aqueous fluids on the transport of Zn and V, either directly or through increasing oxidation state, is limited, based on their lower (to no) enrichment relative to N-MORB, compared to those of Pb and Cu.

Molybdenum/Yb enrichment relative to average N-MORB range from ~ 1 (RIIW-1) – 2.5 times (Rumble III; figure 5.12). Molybdenum/Yb broadly increases within arc front samples (excluding RIIW-3) with increasing shallow and deep subduction components. Basins I and D as well as RIIW-3 glasses show near identical Mo/Yb values. The relatively strong correlation between Mo/Yb and a shallow subduction component in Rumble II West -1 and 2 and Rumble III samples suggest any Mo enrichment is primarily related to the shallow aqueous fluid release from the subducting slab (figure 5.12). Unlike other metals, the southern localities, Basin D and Rumble III, show a negative correlation between Mo/Yb and a deep slab component, suggesting Mo is not significantly affected by this component. Similar to Zn/Yb, the Mo/Yb of the back-arc basins and RIIW-3 are only minimally enriched relative to MORB compositions (figure 5.12). However, Basins I and D as well as RIIW-3 samples feature higher Mo/Yb with comparable Nb/Yb relative to N-MORB (figure 5.4A; figure 5.12). This indicates that some Mo enrichment by subduction processes is likely required as most samples contain higher Mo contents than average N-MORB (figure 4.10E)
Figure 5.12: Variations of metals/Yb to total (Ba/Nb); aqueous (Ba/Th); deep (Th/Nb); and sediment melt ([La/Sm]n) subduction components. Arrow on each graph indicate increasing input of the respective subduction component. Red and blue fields represent MORB and BAB fields, respectively (Melson et al., 2002; Pullan, 2018). (A) Pb/Yb vs. Ba/Nb, (B) Pb/Yb vs. Ba/Th, (C) Pb/Yb vs. Th/Nb, (D) Pb/Yb vs. [La/Sm]n, (E) Cu/Yb vs. Ba/Nb, (F) Cu/Yb vs. Ba/Th, (G) Cu/Yb vs. Th/Nb, (H) Cu/Yb vs. [La/Sm]n, (I) Zn/Yb vs. Ba/Nb, (J) Zn/Yb vs. Ba/Th, (K) Zn/Yb vs. Th/Nb, (L) Zn/Yb vs. [La/Sm]n, (M) V/Yb vs. Ba/Nb, (N) V/Yb vs. Ba/Th, (O) V/Yb vs. Th/Nb, (P) V/Yb vs. [La/Sm]n, (Q) Mo/Yb vs. Ba/Nb, (R) Mo/Yb vs. Ba/Th, (S) Mo/Yb vs. Th/Nb, (T) Mo/Yb vs. [La/Sm]n.
In summary, Pb, Cu, V, Zn, Mo/Yb values for most SHAHT glasses are variably enriched relative to an average N-MORB composition. Overall relative enrichments in the metals can be summarised as Pb >> Cu > Mo, V > Zn. These enrichments are due to an interplay of influences including relative mantle fertility, degree of partial melting, and subduction related metasomatism of the mantle wedge. As these processes are inextricably linked to one another, as previously discussed, unequivocally separating the relative effects of each process on each metal is difficult.

The relative enrichment of trace metals found here is comparable and consistent with that reported from other SKAHT magmas (e.g., Timm et al., 2012; Wysoczanski et al., 2012). Wysoczanski et al. (2012) found similar relative enrichment trends of Pb >> Cu > Zn, with Pb being primarily supplied by slab-derived fluids, consistent with the correlations between Pb/Yb and shallow subduction processes of this study. The notably high Pb$_8$ values (figure 5.11C) of Rumble III samples are therefore, most likely the result of the high aqueous fluid input, decoupling Pb concentrations from depletion effects of higher degrees of partial melting.

Enrichments in the other metals are much less pronounced than for Pb, indicating subduction inputs play a much subtler role for these metals, particularly Zn. Nonetheless, there is evidence for enrichments in all metals that correlated with slab-derived components. It should be noted, however, that for Zn, Mo and V in particular, apparent correlation with slab-derived components may result from increased mobility (incompatibility) during partial melting in a more oxidised enrichment than direct addition of these metals via the slab-derived component (e.g., Timm et al., 2012) and/or by larger degrees of partial melting enabled by fluid-flux melting.

5.4 SKAHT metals and VMS deposits

The likelihood of VMS deposit formation for these SKAHT magmatic centres cannot be entirely addressed without considering controls over heat generation, hydrothermal flows, fault systems, as well as a greater understanding of magma venting activity, which is beyond the scope of this study. However, a metal rich substrate, such as a cooled igneous body or erupted material is a key requirement for the formation of VMS deposits, and some constrains can be made. It has been noted
that in black smoker fluids, transitional metal concentrations are lower than expected for mineral estimated solubilities in the reaction zone (German and Von Damm, 2003). This may indicate that hydrothermal fluids are undersaturated in trace metals which would explain the strong correlation between metals contents within the source volcanic rock and the associated VMS deposit (e.g., Hannington, 2014). By identifying how metal enrichment occurred in SKAHT melts, an association can be established between which magmatic variables would likely result in more metalliferous VMS deposits.

The strong correlation between total subduction inputs and trace metal concentrations suggests this a significant method of metal enrichment and variability between locations at a given arc. Therefore, VMS hydrothermal systems hosted in volcanic rocks with melt sources that are more strongly enriched by subduction related mantle metasomatism would be expected to contain higher metal contents (of at least Cu, V, Mo, Zn, and Pb) compared with volcanic rocks with lesser or no subduction enrichments, assuming all other factors were equal. From the localities samples here, it is apparent that arc-parallel variations can be significant, with consistently higher concentrations of metals (and subduction related metasomatism) observed in the two more southern sites: Rumble III and Basin D.

Partial melting and source fertility are also important factors in determining trace metal enrichment. In these factors, Pb appears to behave differently to the other metals investigated. Higher Pb contents in the melts are broadly favoured by more fertile sources and lower degrees of partial melting. Conversely, the more compatible behaviour of Cu, Zn, V and Mo, mean higher concentrations in the melt will be favoured by less fertile sources and larger degrees of partial melting. The degree of partial melting in arc settings is expected to closely correlated with subduction inputs. Therefore, the relative depletion of incompatible highly fluid mobile elements (e.g., Pb) due to higher degrees of partial melting is likely to be readily negated by subduction related inputs.

Another important control of metal content within melts is enrichment of depletions caused by crystal fractionation and volatile degassing. Metals within SKAHT lavas are predominantly enriched during early stage magma evolution (figure 5.8). However, substantial loss of trace metals occurs with the onset of metal-compatible
fractionating phases (e.g., sulfide minerals or volatile phases; e.g., Jenner et al., 2010, 2015; Timm et al., 2012). This may imply that basaltic andesite compositions, where metal contents appear to peak (e.g., figure 5.8), are likely to enhance metal contents in associated hydrothermal deposits. The resulting loss of metals from melt may not necessarily negatively impact VMS deposits as long as bulk rock metal content through the hydrothermal flow path and reaction zone is not decreased (figure 1.3). No net loss of metals requires fractionating sulfides to predominantly remain within lavas, although these phases are likely to be retained at the base of the crust, and may thus be isolated from upper-crustal hydrothermal processes (Cooke et al., 2013). A higher oxygen fugacity, which limits SO₂ saturation, would extend metal retention within the lava, to be transported to shallower crustal depths.

The effects metal loss to volatiles phases relating to VMS deposits is less certain. Sulfur degassing is a primary method of porphyry deposit formation, which may concentrate metals within the upper crust, however moving metals from the primary reaction zone may reduce the ability for metals to be incorporated within hydrothermal fluids. Alternatively, the addition of magmatic fluids, or other sources of metals has been invoked to explain larger, or higher grade, VMS deposits (Urabe, 1987; Stanton, 1990, 1994; Large et al., 1996; Scott, 1997; Yang and Scott, 2006). The necessity of other inputs relates to the requirement of both relatively substantial regions for metal leaching, and a subvolcanic heat source. Hannington (2014) estimated that for an average VMS deposit a reasonable source region may be on the order of 100 km³ and requiring a similarly sized heat source to circulate and heat (1°C – 350°C) the necessary hydrothermal fluid (assuming concentration of only 1 – 5 ppm Cu and 5 – 10 ppm Zn in the fluid). Therefore, magmatic systems with substantial loss of trace metals by degassing may promote higher grade VMS deposits, favouring melts with higher volatile contents.

Of the locations studied here, both Rumble III and Rumble II West are known to be hydrothermally active, however the formation of VMS deposits has only been observed for Rumble II West. If conditions were favourable for the formation of a VMS deposit at Rumble III however, it may be notably richer in the metals investigated here than an equivalent Rumble II West deposit.
Chapter 6: Conclusions
6.1 Concluding Points

The sample locations investigated in this study of select SKAHT stratovolcanoes and back-arc basins show evidence for significant variations in primary melt compositions due to varying degrees of mantle fertility, partial melting and subduction component enrichment.

Broad back-arc to arc front spatial variations are evident. Back-arc basins D and I (including RIIW-3) samples are the result of lower degrees of partial melting of more fertile mantle enriched with relatively minor amounts shallow subduction components. The reverse is generally true for arc front samples (Rumble II West, samples-1 and 2 and Rumble III). Degree of melting and mantle fertility are strongly related to distance from the trench, due to both increased aqueous fluid input from the subducting slab triggering higher degrees of fluid flux melting, as well as mantle wedge convection, cycling more fertile mantle from the back-arc to the arc front. The interplay between these processes makes it difficult to attribute element enrichments within arc lavas specifically to either of these processes.

The sample locations were selected to sample a trench-perpendicular transect across the arc. However, it is apparent from the composition trends in the analysed glasses that the offset of Basin I and Rumble III volcano to the south of Rumble II West volcano and Basin D, was sufficient to tap significant lateral variations in the mantle. Melts from Basin I and Rumble III are generally more enriched in metal elements, due to a relatively high shallow subduction component within the source of Rumble III melts, and significant deep subduction components in the source of both Rumble III and Basin I melts. Considerably greater deep subduction components in both Rumble III and Basin I glasses compared to Rumble II West and Basin D samples, indicate that lateral variations along the strike of the trench can be as significant as trench perpendicular variations.

Rumble II West samples highlight how a single volcano can tap compositionally distinct sources, with glasses reflecting both relatively enriched and depleted mantle melts, with variable degrees of mantle melting and subduction related enrichments. Furthermore, magma mixing is evident from RIIW-1 inclusions, with compositions of
individual melt inclusions varying between compositions similar to RIIW-2 and Basin I/RIIW-3 melts.

The SKAHT melts are variably enriched in trace metals relative to N-MORB, in the order Pb >> Cu > Mo, V > Zn. Correlations between subduction component proxies (Ba/Th and Th/Nb) and metals/Yb suggest that these enrichments are at least partially and variably related to subduction related metasomatism of the ambient mantle source. Lead, and to a lesser extent Cu and Mo, are predominantly carried within hydrous shallow subduction components, however V and Zn are increasingly mobilized during partial melting of the mantle wedge by increased oxygen fugacity and/or higher degrees of partial melting caused by the addition of aqueous fluids. The degree of partial melting is likely to exert significant control over Cu and V enrichment.

Crystal fractionation during magma evolution led to further enrichment of Pb, Cu, Mo, V and Zn within the SKAHT glasses between approximately 50 – 56 wt.% SiO₂. However, from ~ 52 – 56 wt.% SiO₂ enrichment in Pb and especially Cu decreases or shows no significant increase. This is mostly likely associated with increased metal loss via the degassing of volatiles phases, which incorporate metals as predominantly sulfide complexes and potentially the onset of magnetite fractionation.

Magmatic systems with higher slab derived subduction components (e.g., arc front stratovolcanoes) should be more optimal for the development of higher grade VMS deposits although Basin I glasses illustrate that back-arc localities may also be significantly enriched in base metals. Additionally, the observed along arc strike variation in metal enrichment between Rumble II West and Rumble III volcanoes suggests the VMS deposits developed in the same subduction zone may vary significantly in metal enrichment.
6.2 Suggestions for future studies

This study investigated the sources, abundances, and behaviour of trace metals within SKAHT arc front stratovolcanoes and back-arc basins. In addition to the conclusions summarised above, the study has highlighted limitations in the approach undertaken here. The following are suggestions for future work.

1) Significant compositional variations, relating to source variations, within individual sample locations (e.g., RIIW-1) indicates this is an aspect that would benefit from more extensive sampling from individual locations. This may allow for improved understanding on how individual magmatic systems may tap variable sources, and the roles of magma mixing and recharge at arc front volcanoes.

2) A greater number of sampled inclusions covering a wider range SiO$_2$ and MgO contents would significantly improve resolution of trace metal and volatile behaviour during magma evolution. Relatively primitive melt compositions are missing from the majority of the arc front samples studied here. As the Rumble III samples contained both the lowest proportions of phenocrysts phases and the smallest range in the melt inclusion SiO$_2$ contents, targeting more phenocryst-rich lavas may prove more fruitful. Conversely, extending analysis to more evolved lavas from the same localities, if available may better capture onset of metal loss accompanied by degassing and magnetite crystallisation.

3) Similarly, this study could be improved with more volatile analyses (e.g., H$_2$O and CO$_2$). The measured H$_2$O abundances feature considerable scatter, limiting interpretations relating to H$_2$O degassing and metal behaviour. Furthermore, if more primitive inclusions, with measurable CO$_2$ abundances could be analysed, more accurate minimum H$_2$O contents of the arc magmas could be determined. H$_2$O abundances and variations from arc front stratovolcanoes to back-arc basins would be useful for better understanding potential relationships between subduction inputs and volatile contents.
4) Investigations of variations in sulfur speciation related to both arc-perpendicular distance from the trench and magmatic evolution are relatively limited within literature, and direct measurement of oxidation states of SKAHT magmas (e.g., by XANES or EPMA) would add valuable constraints to the interpretations of metal and volatile behaviour.

5) Radiogenic isotope ratios (Sr, Pb, Nd, Hf) have been successfully employed in arc systems for fingerprinting different slab derived components, and the sources of the respective elements. Stable isotopes of the metals of interest may potentially shed light on different processes affecting their behaviour in the subduction system. This would most likely require bulk rock analysis however.

6) This study focusses primarily on spatial variations, between arc front and back-arc magmatism, however a temporal element is missing. If relative ages of lavas from single edifices or basins, or better yet absolute age differences constrained by dating techniques, could be established, temporal relationships relating to variable subduction inputs or conditions within the crust affected magma evolution, could be explored.
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**Appendix A:**

Table A-1:
Major and minor element contents of olivine crystal investigated in this study.

**Appendix B:**

Table B-1:
Original compositions (not normalised) of olivine-hosted melt inclusions from the SKAHT investigated in this study.

**Appendix C:**

Table C-1:
Final melt inclusion compositions (major and trace) of olivine-hosted melt inclusions from the SKAHT investigated in this study.

**Appendix D:**

Table D-1:
Major element analyses of groundmass glasses of the SKAHT samples (normalised).

Table D-2:
Trace element analyses of groundmass glasses from the SKAHT samples.